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1. ‘The mathematical analysis is missing. The mathematical background should be added.’
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   A mathematical analysis of the results has been added to the article.

2. ‘What are the benefits of the authors` analysis? The authors should make clear the benefits of their study.’
   Answer:
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1. ‘More details about the figure 5 must be incorporated inside the text.’
   Answer:
   A quantitative analysis of the graphs shown in Figure 5 has been added.

2. ‘The authors claim: “However, the observed changes in bolt forces do not result in a loss of load-bearing capacity of the connection.” However, this is not so clear. We want more details.’
   Answer:
   An explanation has been added in the text of the article by reference to Table 3.

3. ‘This paper describes the results but little discussion is included, therefore the authors should improve notably the discussion of their results to show the scientific contribution.’
   Answer:
   The discussion of the results has been expanded.

4. ‘The section 3 "Problem Solution" is very poor. The authors must write it better and must extend it.’
   Answer:
   Section 3 'Problem Solution' has been expanded.
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