# On Some Characteristics of Generalized $\gamma$ -Closure Spaces

M. BADR<sup>1</sup>, RADWAN ABU-GDAIRI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, New Valley University, EGYPT

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Zarqa university, zarqa 13132, IORDAN

Abstract: In this paper, we study that a pointwise symmetric  $\gamma$ -isotonic ( $\gamma Iso$ ),  $\gamma$ -closure ( $\gamma Cl$ ) mapping is uniquely specified by the pairs of sets it separates. Then, we demonstrate that when the  $\gamma Cl$  mapping of the domain is  $\gamma Iso$  and the  $\gamma Cl$  mapping of the co-domain is  $\gamma Iso$  and pointwise  $\gamma$ -symmetric ( $\gamma sym$ ), mappings that only separate already separated pairs of sets are  $\gamma$ -continuous.

*Key-words:* -  $\gamma Cl$  separated;  $\gamma Cl$  mapping;  $\gamma$ -continuous mappings.

Received: April 9, 2024. Revised: September 4, 2024. Accepted: September 24, 2024. Published: October 22, 2024.

**2020 AMS Classification Codes:** 54A05, 54A10, 54B05,54C10, 54D10.

#### 1 Introduction

The Nobel Prize 2016 in Physics was jointly bestowed upon three researchers in recognition of their work on phase transitions and topological phases of matter. As this occurrence, people are more aware of the necessity of gaining further topological understanding.

General topological spaces are becoming more and more important in several fields of use, for example Information systems are the data mining, [1]. essential tools used in any real-world field to learn from data. Mathematical models can be made for both quantitative and qualitative data based on topological structures that describe how data is collected. So far, there have been a lot of attempts by topologists to use the idea of closure spaces to investigate different topological problems, [2, 3, 4]. Within this context, the symbols  $(U_1;\tau)$  and  $(U_2;\sigma)$  or just  $U_1andU_2$ stand for topological spaces. Let  $K_1 \subseteq U_1$  is called a  $(\gamma - open, [5])$  or (b - open, [6]) or (sp-open, [7]), if  $K_1 \subseteq (Cl(Int(K_1))) \bigcup (Int(Cl(K_1)))$ . The complement of a  $\gamma$  – open set is called  $\gamma$  – closed. The intersection of all  $\gamma-closed$  sets containing a set  $K_1$  is called the  $\gamma Cl(K_1)$ .

We may not have at the moment an application of theoretical mathematics that is being formulated, but someone will find an application for it and who will be fluent in using it, and that is why theoretical mathematics is very important. Recently, a lot of research has been published in Gamma, Nano Topology and Soft, [8]. Previously, we have used topology to study the similarity of DNA sequences and to identify mutations in genes, chromosomes, [9]. Many topologists studied topological models

in medicine, [10, 11]. We also used topology to study the recombination of DNA and to form a mathematical model for the recombination process. El-Sharkasy[12] used  $\tau$  to study the recombination of DNA and it was better as it gives more than one space. In biomathematics, topological concepts can be used to build flexible mathematical models.

# 2 Generalized $\gamma Cl$ structures

Throughout this section, we will proceed under the assumption that set W is a nonempty finite universal set and that set  $2^W$  is its power set.

**Definition 2.1.** A closure structure ([13], [14], [15]) is a pair (W, Cl) and  $Cl : 2^W \to 2^W$  is a mapping associating with  $\forall U_1 \subseteq W$  and  $Cl(U_1) \subseteq W$ , called the closure of  $U_1$ , where:

- (1)  $Cl(\phi) = \phi$ ,
- (2)  $U_1 \subseteq Cl(U_1)$ ,
- (3)  $Cl(U_1 \bigcup U_2) = Cl(U_1) \bigcup Cl(U_2),$
- (4) The interior  $Int(U_1)$  of  $U_1$  is  $(Cl(U_1^c))^c$ ,
- (5) The set  $U_1$  is a neighborhood of an element  $u_1 \in W$  if  $u_1 \in Int(U_1)$ ,
- (6)  $U_1$  is closed if  $U_1=Cl(U_1)$ ,
- (7)  $U_1$  is open if  $U_1=Int(U_1)$ .

Because the subsequent lemmas' proofs are clear, we omit them.

**Lemma 2.2.** In a closure structure (W, Cl), the following hold:

- (1)  $U_1$  is open if and only if  $U_1^c$  is closed, for any  $U_1 \subseteq W$ .
- (2) If  $U_1 \subseteq U_2$ , then  $Cl(U_1) \subseteq Cl(U_2)$  and consequently  $Int(U_1) \subseteq Int(U_2)$ .
- (3)  $Cl(\bigcap_{i\in I} U_{1i}) \subseteq \bigcap_{i\in I} Cl(U_{1i}).$

**Lemma 2.3.** The open sets of a closure structure (W, Cl), satisfy

- (1)  $\phi$  and W are open,
- (2) If  $U_1$ ,  $U_2$  is open, therefore  $(U_1 \cap U_2)$  is open,
- (3) If  $U_{1i}$  is open,  $\forall i \in I$ , then  $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_{1i}$  is open.
- **Definition 2.4.** (1) The generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure is a pair  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  consisting of a set  $U_1$ , a  $\gamma Cl$  mapping  $(\gamma Cl)$ , a mapping from the power set of  $U_1$  to itself.
- (2)  $\gamma Cl$  of  $K_1 \subseteq U_1$ , denoted  $\gamma Cl(K_1)$  is the image of  $K_1$  under  $\gamma Cl$ .
- (3) The  $\gamma$ -Exterior ( $\gamma Ext$ ) of  $K_1$  is  $\gamma Ext(K_1) = U_1 \setminus \gamma Cl(K_1)$  and  $\gamma$ -Interior ( $\gamma Int$ ) of  $K_1$  is  $\gamma Int(K_1) = U_1 \setminus \{\gamma Cl(U_1 \setminus K_1)\}.$
- (4)  $K_1$  is  $\gamma$ -closed when  $K_1 = \gamma Cl(K_1)$ ,  $K_1$  is  $\gamma$ -open when  $K_1 = \gamma Int(K_1)$  and M is a  $\gamma$ -neighborhood  $(\gamma nbd)$  of  $u_1$  if  $u_1 \in \gamma Int(M)$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A  $\gamma Cl$  mapping defined on  $U_1$  is called:

- (1)  $\gamma$ -grounded if  $\gamma Cl(\phi) = \phi$ .
- (2)  $\gamma Iso \text{ if } \gamma Cl(K_1) \subseteq \gamma Cl(K_2) \text{ for } K_1 \subseteq K_2.$
- (3)  $\gamma$ -enlarging if  $K_1 \subseteq \gamma Cl(K_1), \forall K_1 \subseteq U_1$ .
- (4)  $\gamma$ -idempotent if  $\gamma Cl(K_1) = \gamma Cl(\gamma Cl(K_1)), \forall K_1 \subseteq U_1.$
- (5)  $\gamma$ -sublinear if  $\gamma Cl(K_1 \bigcup K_2) \subseteq \gamma Cl(K_1) \bigcup \gamma Cl(K_2), \forall K_1, K_2 \subseteq U_1$ .
- (6)  $\gamma$ -additive if  $\bigcup_{i \in U_1} \gamma(K_{1i}) = \gamma Cl(\bigcup_{i \in I} K_{1i})$  for  $K_{1i} \subseteq U_1$ .
- **Definition 2.6.** (1)  $A, B \subseteq U_1$  are called  $\gamma Cl$ -separated( $\gamma Cl$ -sep) in a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  if  $K_1 \bigcap \gamma Cl(K_2) = \phi$  and  $\gamma Cl(K_1) \bigcap K_2 = \phi$ , or a similar expression, if  $K_1 \subseteq \gamma Ext(K_2)$  and  $K_2 \subseteq \gamma Ext(K_1)$ .
- (2)  $\gamma Ext$  points are called  $\gamma Cl$  sep in a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  if  $\forall K_1 \subseteq U_1$  and  $\forall u_1 \in \gamma Ext(K_1)$ ,  $K_1$  and  $\{u_1\}$  are  $\gamma Cl sep$ .

### **3** Some Basic Properties

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  be a generalized  $\gamma$ -closure structure in which  $\gamma Ext$  points are  $\gamma Cl$ -sep and let  $K_1$  be the pairs of  $\gamma Cl$ -sep sets in  $U_1$ . Therefore,  $\forall K_1 \subseteq U_1$ , the  $\gamma Cl$  of  $K_1$  is  $\gamma Cl(K_1) = \{u_1 \in U_1 : (\{u_1\}, K_1) \notin K_1\}$ .

Proof. For any generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure  $\gamma Cl(K_1)\subseteq\{u_1\in U_1:(\{u_1\},K_1)\notin K\}.$  Indeed, Suppose that  $u_2\notin\{u_1\in U_1:(\{u_1\},K_1)\notin K\}$  which is,  $(\{u_2\},K_1)\in K$ , therefore  $\{u_2\}\bigcap\gamma Cl(K_1)=\phi$ , then  $u_2\notin\gamma Cl(K_1).$  Let  $u_2\notin\gamma Cl(K_1).$  According to the hypothesis,  $(\{u_2\},K_1)\in K.$  Hence  $u_2\notin\{u_1\in U_1:(\{u_1\},K_1)\notin K\}.$ 

- **Definition 3.2.** (1) A  $\gamma Cl$  mapping defined on a set  $U_1$  is called pointwise  $\gamma sym$  when, for any  $u_1,u_2 \in U_1$ , if  $u_1 \in \gamma Cl(\{u_2\})$ , then  $u_2 \in \gamma Cl(\{u_1\})$ .
- (2) A generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is said to be  $R_0 \gamma$  when, for any  $u_1, u_2 \in U_1$ , if  $u_1$  is in every  $\gamma nbd$  of  $u_2$ , then  $u_2$  is in every  $\gamma nbd$  of  $u_1$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  be a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure in which  $\gamma Ext$  points are  $\gamma Cl$ -sep. Then  $\gamma Cl$  is pointwise  $\gamma sym$  and  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is  $R_0\gamma$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\gamma Ext$  points be  $\gamma Cl$ -sep in  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$ . If  $u_1 \in \gamma Cl(\{u_2\})$ , then  $\{u_1\}$  and  $\{u_2\}$  are not  $\gamma Cl$ -separated. This means that  $u_2 \in \gamma Cl(\{u_1\})$ . Then,  $\gamma Cl$  is pointwise  $\gamma sym$ . Assume that  $u_1$  belongs to every  $\gamma nbd$  of  $u_2$ , that is,  $U_1 \in M$  whenever  $y \in \gamma Int(M)$ . Letting  $K_1 = \{U_1 \setminus M\}$  and rewriting in the other direction,  $u_2 \in \gamma Cl(K_1)$  whenever  $u_1 \in K_1$ . Suppose that  $u_1 \in \gamma Int(M)$ ,  $u_1 \notin \gamma Cl(U_1 \setminus M)$ . So  $u_1$  is  $\gamma Cl$ -sep from  $\{U_1 \setminus M\}$ , and hence,  $\gamma Cl(\{u_1\}) \subseteq M$ ,  $u_1 \in (\{u_1\})$ , so  $u_2 \in \gamma Cl(\{u_1\}) \subseteq M$ . Hence  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is  $R_0 \gamma$ .

Note that these three axioms are not equal with one another in general; nevertheless, they are equivalent with one another when  $\gamma Cl$  mapping is  $\gamma Iso$ 

**Theorem 3.4.** If  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure with  $\gamma Cl \gamma Iso$ , then the next statement are equivalent:

- (1)  $\gamma Ext$  points are  $\gamma Cl$ -sep.
- (2)  $(\gamma Cl)$  is pointwise  $\gamma sym$ .
- (3)  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is  $R_0 \gamma$ .

*Proof.* Let (2) be true. Assume that  $K_1 \subseteq U_1$ , and  $u_1 \in \gamma Ext(K_1)$ . Then, as  $\gamma Cl$  is  $\gamma Iso$ ,  $\forall u_2 \in K_1$ ,  $u_1 \notin \gamma Cl(\{u_2\})$ , and hence,  $u_2 \notin \gamma Cl(\{u_1\})$ . Thus  $K_1 \bigcap \gamma Cl\{u_1\} = \phi$ . Then (2)  $\rightarrow$  (1), and by Corollary 3.1,(1)  $\rightarrow$  (2). Let (2) be true and suppose

that  $u_1, u_2 \in U_1$  since  $u_1$  is in each  $\gamma nbd$  of  $u_2$ , i. e.,  $u_1 \in M$  when  $u_2 \in \gamma Int(M)$ . Therefore  $u_2 \in \gamma Cl(K_1)$  when  $u_1 \in K_1$ , and especially since  $u_1 \in \{u_1\}, \ u_2 \in \gamma Cl(\{u_1\})$ . As a consequence,  $u_1 \in \gamma Cl(\{u_2\})$ . Hence if  $u_2 \in K_2$ , therefore  $u_1 \in \gamma Cl(\{u_2\}) \subseteq \gamma Cl(K_2)$ , as  $\gamma Cl$  is  $\gamma Iso$ . Then, if  $u_1 \in \gamma Cl(K_3)$ , then  $u_2 \in K_3$ , that is,  $u_2$  is in each  $\gamma nbdofu_1$ . Then, (2) implies (3).

Now, assume that  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is  $R_0 \gamma$  and  $u_1 \in \gamma Cl(\{u_2\})$  such that  $\gamma Cl$  is  $\gamma Iso$ ,  $u_1 \in \gamma Cl(K_2)$  whenever  $u_2 \in K_2$  or,  $u_2$  is in each  $\gamma nbd$  of  $u_1$  where  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is  $R_0 \gamma$ ,  $u_1 \in \gamma Int(M)$ . Then,  $u_2 \in \gamma Cl(K_1)$  whenever  $u_1 \in K_1$ , and especially since  $u_1 \in \{u_1\}$ ,  $u_2 \in \gamma Cl(\{u_1\})$ . Hence, (3)  $\Longrightarrow$  (2).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let W be a set of unordered pairs of subsets of a set of  $U_1$ . Then:

- (1) If  $K_1 \subseteq K_2$  and  $(K_2, K_3) \in W$ , then  $(K_1, K_3) \in W$ ,  $\forall K_1, K_2, K_3 \subseteq U_1$ ;
- (2) If  $(\{u_1\}, K_2) \in W$ ,  $\forall u_1 \in K_1$  and  $(\{u_2\}, K_1) \in W$ ,  $\forall u_2 \in K_2$ , then  $(K_1, K_2) \in W$ ,  $\forall K_1, K_2, K_3 \subseteq U_1$ . Then there is a unique pointwise  $\gamma sym$ ,  $\gamma Iso$  and  $\gamma Cl$  mappings  $\gamma Cl$  on  $U_1$  which  $\gamma Cl$ -sep the elements of W.

Proof. Define γCl by γCl(K<sub>1</sub>) = {u<sub>1</sub> ∈ U<sub>1</sub> : ({u<sub>2</sub>}, K<sub>1</sub>) ∉ W} for each K<sub>1</sub> ⊆ U<sub>1</sub>. If K<sub>1</sub> ⊆ K<sub>2</sub> ⊆ U<sub>1</sub> and u<sub>1</sub> ∈ γCl(K<sub>1</sub>), then ({u<sub>2</sub>}, K<sub>1</sub>) ∉ W, thus ({u<sub>1</sub>}, K<sub>2</sub>) ∉ W, meaning that, u<sub>1</sub> ∈ γCl(K<sub>2</sub>). Then, γCl({u<sub>1</sub>}) is γIso, Moreover, u<sub>1</sub> ∈ γCl({u<sub>2</sub>}) iff ({u<sub>1</sub>}, {u<sub>2</sub>}) ∉ W iff u<sub>2</sub> ∈ γCl({u<sub>1</sub>}). Hence γCl is pointwise γsym. Let (K<sub>1</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>) ∈ W. Therefore K<sub>1</sub> ∩ {γCl(K<sub>2</sub>)} = K<sub>1</sub> ∩ {u<sub>1</sub> ∈ U<sub>1</sub> : ({u<sub>1</sub>}, K<sub>2</sub>) ∉ W} = {u<sub>1</sub> ∈ K<sub>1</sub> : ({u<sub>1</sub>}, K<sub>1</sub>) ∉ W} = φ. Similarly, γCl(K<sub>1</sub>) ∩ K<sub>2</sub> = φ. Then, if (K<sub>1</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>) ∈ W, then K<sub>1</sub>, and K<sub>2</sub> are γCl-sep.

Now, Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be  $\gamma Cl$ -sep. Then  $\{u_1 \in K_1 : (\{u_1\}, K_2) \notin W\} = K_1 \bigcap \gamma Cl(K_2) = \phi$  and  $\{u_1 \in K_2 : (\{u_2\}, K_1) \notin W\} = \gamma Cl\{K_1 \bigcap K_2 = \phi$ . Thus,  $(\{u_1\}, K_2) \in W, \forall u_1 \in K_1$  and  $(\{u_2\}, K_1) \in W, \forall u_2 \in K_2$ . Then,  $(K_1, K_2) \in W$ .

Many features of  $\gamma Cl$  mappings can be stated wise the sets they separate, as shown below:

**Theorem 3.6.** If W is the pairs of  $\gamma Cl$ -sep sets of a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  in which  $\gamma Ext$  points are  $\gamma Cl$ -sep, then  $\gamma Cl$  is

- (1)  $\gamma$ -grounded iff  $\forall u_1 \in U_1, (\{u_1\}, \phi) \in W$ .
- (2)  $\gamma$ -enlarging iff  $\forall (K_1, K_2) \in W$ ,  $K_1 \cap K_2 = \phi$ .

(3)  $\gamma$ -sub linear iff  $(K_1, K_2 \bigcup K_3) \in W$  whenever  $(K_1, K_2) \in W$  and  $(K_1, K_3) \in W$ . In addition, if  $\gamma Cl$  is  $\gamma$ -enlarging and for  $K_1, K_2 \subseteq U_1$ .  $(\{u_1\}, K_1) \notin W$  whenever  $(\{u_1\}, K_2) \notin W\}$  and  $(\{u_2\}, K_1) \notin W, \forall u_2 \in K_2$ , then  $\gamma Cl$  is  $\gamma$ -idempotent. Also,if  $\gamma Cl$ -Iso and  $\gamma$ -idepotent, then  $(\{u_1\}, K_1) \notin W$  whenever  $(\{u_1\}, K_1) \notin W$  and  $(\{u_2\}, K_1) \notin W, \forall u_2 \in K_2$ .

Proof. By Theorem 3.1,  $\gamma Cl(K_1) = \{u_1 \in U_1 : (\{u_1\}, K_1) \notin W\}$  for each  $K_1 \subseteq U_1$ . Let  $\forall u_1 \in U_1$ ,  $(\{u_1\}, \phi) \in W$ . Therefore  $\gamma Cl(\phi) = \{u_1 \in U_1, (\{u_1\}, \phi) \notin W\} = \phi$ . Then  $\gamma Cl$  is  $\gamma$ -grounded. Conversely, if  $\phi = \gamma Cl(\phi) = \{u_1 \in U_1, (\{u_1\}, \phi) \notin W\}$ , and hence  $(\{u_1\}, \phi) \in W$ , for every  $u_1 \in U_1$ . Let for each  $(K_1, K_2) \in W$ ,  $K_1 \cap K_2 = \phi$ . Since  $(\{a\}, K_1) \notin W$  if  $a \in K_1$ ,  $K_1 \subseteq \gamma Cl(K_1), \forall K_1 \subseteq U_1$ . Then  $\gamma Cl$  is  $\gamma$ -enlarging. Conversely, suppose that  $\gamma Cl$  is  $\gamma$ -enlarging and  $(K_1, K_2) \in W$ . Therefore  $K_1 \cap K_2 \subseteq \gamma Cl(K_1) \cap K_2 = \phi$ . Let  $(K_1, K_2 \cup K_3) \in W$  whenever  $(K_1, K_2) \in W$  and  $(K_1, K_3) \in W$ , and Let  $u_1 \in U_1$  and  $(K_2, K_3) \subseteq U_1$  where  $(\{u_1\}, K_2 \cup K_3) \notin W$ 

Let  $(K_1, K_2 \cup K_3) \in W$  whenever  $(K_1, K_2) \in W$  and  $(K_1, K_3) \in W$ , and Let  $u_1 \in U_1$  and  $K_2, K_3 \subseteq U_1$  where  $(\{u_1\}, K_2 \cup K_3) \notin W$ . Therefore  $(\{u_1\}, K_2) \notin W$  or  $(\{u_1\}, K_3) \notin W$ . Thus  $\gamma Cl(K_2 \cup K_3) \subseteq \gamma Cl(K_2) \cup \gamma Cl(K_3)$ . Hence,  $\gamma Cl$  is  $\gamma$ -sublinear.

Conversely,  $\gamma Cl$  $\gamma$ -sublinear, let be  $(K_1, K_2), (K_1, K_3)$ and  $\in$ W.  $\gamma Cl(K_2 \bigcup K_3) \cap K_1$ Therefore  $\subseteq$  $(\gamma Cl(K_2) \bigcup \{\gamma Cl(K_3)) \cap K_1$ =  $(\gamma Cl(K_2) \cap K_1) \cup (\gamma Cl(K_3) \cap K_1)$  $(K_2 \bigcup K_3) \bigcap \gamma Cl(K_1)$  $(K_2 \cap \gamma Cl(K_1)) \cup (K_3 \cap \gamma Cl(K_1)) = \phi$ . Assume that  $\gamma Cl$  is  $\gamma$ -enlarging and let  $(\{u_1\}, K_1) \notin W$ whenever  $(\{u_2\}, K_2) \notin W$  and  $(\{u_2\}, K_1) \notin$  $W, \forall u_2 \in K_2$ , then  $\gamma Cl(\gamma Cl(K_1)) \subseteq \gamma Cl(K_1)$ . If  $u_1 \in \{\gamma Cl\{\gamma Cl(K_1)\}\}, \text{ hence } (\{u_1\}, \gamma Cl(K_1)) \notin$  $W, (\{u_2\}, K_1) \notin W, \forall u_2 \in \gamma Cl(K_1), \text{ and hence}$  $(\{u_1\}, K_1) \notin W$ . Where  $\gamma Cl$  is  $\gamma$ -enlarging, therefore  $\gamma Cl(K_1) \subseteq \gamma Cl(\gamma Cl(K_1))$ .  $\gamma Cl(\gamma Cl(K_1)) = \gamma Cl(K_1), \forall K_1 \subseteq U_1$ . Lastly, let's say that  $\gamma Cl$  be  $\gamma Iso$  and  $\gamma$ -idempotent. Suppose that  $u_1 \in U_1$  and  $K_1, K_2 \subseteq$ since  $(\{u_1\}, K_2) \notin W$  and  $\forall u_2$  $\in$  $(\{u_2\}, K_1) \notin W$ , then  $u_1 \in \gamma Cl(K_2)$  and  $\forall u_2 \in K_2$ ,  $u_2 \in \gamma Cl(K_1)$ , (i. e.,  $K_2 \subseteq \gamma Cl(K_1)$ ). Then,  $u_1 \in \gamma Cl(K_2) \subseteq \gamma Cl(\gamma Cl(K_1)) = \gamma Cl(K_1).$ 

**Definition 3.7.** If  $(U_1, (\gamma Cl)_{U_1})$  and  $(U_2, (\gamma Cl)_{U_2})$  are generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structures, then a mapping  $T: U_1 \to U_2$  is called:

(1)  $\gamma Cl$  preserving if  $T((\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(K_1)) \subseteq (\gamma Cl)_{U_2}(T(K_1)), \forall K_1 \subseteq U_1.$ 

- (2)  $\gamma$ -continuous if  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(T^{-1}(K_2)) \subseteq T^{-1}((\gamma Cl)_{U_2}(K_2)), \forall K_2 \subseteq U_2.$
- **Theorem 3.8.** Let  $(U_1, (\gamma Cl)_{U_1})$  and  $(U_2, (\gamma Cl)_{U_2})$  be generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structures and  $T: U_1 \rightarrow U_2$  be a mapping:
- (1) If T is  $\gamma Cl$  preserving and  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$  is  $\gamma I$  so, then T is  $\gamma continuous$ .
- (2) If T is  $\gamma$ -continuous and  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}$  is  $\gamma I$  so, then T is  $\gamma Cl$  preserving.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \textit{Proof.} & \text{Assume that } T \text{ is } \gamma Cl \text{ preserving and, } (\gamma Cl)_{U_2} \text{ is } \gamma Iso. & \text{Let } K_2 \subseteq U_2, \\ \text{therefore} & T(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}((T^{-1}(K_2))) & \subseteq \\ (\gamma Cl)_{U_2}((T(T^{-1}(K_2))) & \subseteq (\gamma Cl)_{U_2}(K_2) \\ \text{hence,} & (\gamma Cl)_{U_1}((T^{-1}T(K_2))) & \subseteq \\ T^{-1}((T(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}T^{-1}(K_2))) & \subseteq T^{-1}((\gamma Cl)_{U_2}(K_2)). \\ \text{Let } T \text{ be } \gamma\text{-continuous and } (\gamma Cl)_{U_1} \text{ is } \gamma Iso. & \text{Suppose that } K_1 \subseteq U_1. & \text{Then } \\ (\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(K_1) & \subseteq (\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(T^{-1}(T(K_1))) & \subseteq \\ T^{-1}((\gamma Cl)_{U_2}(T^{-1}(K_1))). & \text{Then, } T(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(K_1) \subseteq \\ T(T^{-1}(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}T(K_1)) \subseteq (\gamma Cl)_{U_2}(T(K_1). & \Box \\ \end{array}$ 

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $(U_1, (\gamma Cl)_{U_1})$  and  $(U_2, (\gamma Cl)_{U_2})$  be generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structures and  $T: U_1 \to U_2$  be a mapping, if  $\forall K_1, K_2 \subseteq U_1, T(K_1)$  and  $T(K_2)$  are not  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$ -sep whenever  $K_1$  and  $k_2$  are not  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}$ -sep, Then, we say, T is non  $\gamma$ -sep. Notice that T is non  $\gamma$ -sep iff  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}$ -sep, whenever  $T(K_1)$  and  $T(K_2)$  are  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$ -sep.

**Theorem 3.10.** Suppose that  $(U_1, (\gamma Cl)_{U_1})$  and  $(U_2, (\gamma Cl)_{U_2})$  are a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structures and  $T: U_1 \to U_2$  is a mapping:

- (1) If  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$  is  $\gamma I$  so and T is non  $\gamma$ -sep, then  $T^{-1}(C)$  and  $f^{-1}(D)$  are  $((\gamma Cl)_{U_1})$ -sep for every C and D are  $((\gamma Cl)_{U_2})$ -sep.
- (2) If  $((\gamma Cl)_{U_1})$  is  $\gamma I$  so and  $T^{-1}(C)$  and  $T^{-1}(D)$  are  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}$ -sep for every C, D is  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$ -sep, then T is non  $\gamma$  sep.

Proof. Let C and D be  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$ -sep subsets, such that  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$  is  $\gamma Iso$ . Suppose that  $K_1 = T^{-1}(C)$ ,  $K_2 = T^{-1}(D)$  then  $T(K_1) \subseteq C$ ,  $T(K_2) \subseteq D$  and  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$  is  $\gamma Iso$ ,  $T(K_1)$  and  $T(K_2)$  are also  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$ -sep, as a result of this,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$ -sep in  $U_1$ . Assume that  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}$  is  $\gamma Iso$  and let  $K_1, K_2 \subseteq U_1$  where  $C = T(K_1)$  and  $D = T(K_2)$  are  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}$ -sep, therefore  $T^{-1}(C)$  and  $T^{-1}(D)$  are  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}$ -sep and since  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1} \gamma Iso$ ,  $K_1 \subseteq T^{-1}(T(K_1)) = T^{-1}(C)$  and  $K_2 \subseteq T^{-1}(T(K_2)) = T^{-1}(D)$  are  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}$ -sep as well. □

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $(U_1, (\gamma Cl)_{U_1})$  and  $((U_2, \gamma Cl)_{U_2})$  be generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structures and Assume that  $T:U_1 \to U_2$  be a mapping. If T is  $\gamma Cl$  preserving, then T is non  $\gamma$ -sep.

 $\begin{array}{llll} \textit{Proof.} & \text{Let} & T & \text{be} & \gamma Cl & \text{preserving} & \text{and} \\ K_1, K_2 & \subseteq & U_1 & \text{be} & \text{not} & (\gamma Cl)_{U_1}\text{-sep.} & \text{Assume} \\ \text{that} & (\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(K_1) \bigcap K_2 & \neq & \phi. & \text{Therefore} \\ \phi & \neq & T((\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(K_1)) \bigcap K_2)) & \subseteq & T((\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(K_1)) \\ \bigcap & T(K_2) & \subseteq & (\gamma Cl)_{U_2}(T(K_1)) \bigcap T(K_2). \\ \text{Similarly} & K_1 \bigcap (\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(K_2) & \neq & \phi, & \text{hence} \\ & T(K_1) \bigcap ((\gamma Cl)_{U_2}(T(K_2))) & \neq & \phi. & \text{Then} & T(K_1) \\ \text{and} & T(K_2) & \text{are not} & (\gamma Cl)_{U_2}\text{-sep.} & \Box \end{array}$ 

**Theorem 3.12.** Let  $(U_1, (\gamma Cl)_{U_1})$  and  $(U_2, (\gamma Cl)_{U_2})$  be generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structures which  $\gamma Ext$  points  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$ -sep in  $U_2$  and let  $T:U_1 \rightarrow U_2$  be a mapping. Then T is  $\gamma Cl$  preserving iff T is non  $\gamma$ -sep.

Proof. If T is  $\gamma Cl$  preserving, then T is non  $\gamma$ -sep. Let T be non  $\gamma$ -sep and  $K_1 \subseteq U_1$ . If  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(K_1) = \phi$ , therefore  $T(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(K_1) = \phi \subseteq (\gamma Cl)_{U_2}(T(K_1))$ . Assume  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(K_1) \neq \phi$ . Let  $W_{U_1}$  and  $W_{U_2}$  be denote pairs of  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1} - sep \subseteq U_1$  and the pairs of  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$ -sep subsets of  $U_2$ , respectively. Suppose  $u_2 \in T((\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(K_1))$ ,  $u_1 \in (\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(K_1) \cap T^{-1}(u_2)$ . Where  $u_1 \in \{(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(K_1), (\{u_2\}, K_1)\} \notin W_{U_1}$  and since T is non  $\gamma$ -sep,  $\{\{u_2\}, T(K_1)\} \notin W_{U_2}$ . Where  $\gamma Ext$  points are  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$ -sep,  $u_2 \in (\gamma Cl)_{U_2}(T(K_2))$ . Thus  $T(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}(K_1) \subseteq (\gamma Cl)_{U_2}(T(K_1)), \forall K_1 \subseteq U_1$ .

**Corollary 3.13.** Let  $(U_1, (\gamma Cl)_{U_1})$  and  $(U_2, (\gamma Cl)_{U_2})$  be generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structures with  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1}$  gamma-Iso and assume that  $T: U_1 \rightarrow U_2$  be a mapping, if T is  $\gamma$ -continuous. Then T is non  $\gamma$ -sep.

*Proof.* If T is  $\gamma$ -continuous and  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_1} \gamma Iso$ , then by (Theorem 3.4) if T is  $\gamma Cl$  preserving. by (Theorem 3.7), T is non  $\gamma$ -sep.

**Corollary 3.14.** Let  $(U_1, (\gamma Cl)_{U_1})$  and  $(U_2, (\gamma Cl)_{U_2})$  be a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structures with  $\gamma I$  so closure mappings and with  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$ -pointwise  $Sy\gamma$  and let  $f: U_1 \to U_2$  be a mapping. Then f is  $\gamma$ -continuous iff f non- $\gamma$ -sep.

*Proof.* . Since  $(\gamma Cl)_{U_2}$  is  $\gamma Iso$  and pointwise Sy $\gamma$ , Ext  $\gamma$  points are  $\gamma Cl$  sep in  $(U_2, (\gamma Cl)_{U_2})$  (Theorem 3.1). Since both  $\gamma Cl$  mappings are  $\gamma Iso$ , f is  $\gamma Cl$  preserving (Theorem 3.4) iff f is  $\gamma$ -continuous. Thus, we can apply the (Theorem 3.7).

# 4 $\gamma$ -Connected Generalized $\gamma Cl$ structures

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  be a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure.  $U_1$  is called  $\gamma$ -connected if  $U_1$  is not a union of disjoint nontrivial  $\gamma Cl$ -sep pair of sets.

**Theorem 4.2.** If  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  be a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma I$  so and  $\gamma$ -enlarging  $\gamma Cl$ , then the next are equivalent:

- (1)  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is  $\gamma$ -connected,
- (2)  $U_1$  can not be a union of nonempty disjoint  $\gamma$ -open sets.

*Proof.* . (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Assume that  $K_1, K_2be\gamma$ -open sets. Then  $U_1 = K_2 \cup K_2$  and  $K_1 \cap K_2 = \phi$ . This implies  $K_2 = U_1 \ K_1$  and  $K_1$  is a  $\gamma - open$  set. Thus,  $K_2$  is  $\gamma - closed$ , hence  $K_1 \bigcap \gamma Cl(K_2) = K_1 \bigcap K_2 = \phi$ . By using the same method, we get  $\gamma Cl(K_1) \bigcap K_2 = \phi$ . Hence,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are  $\gamma Cl$ - sep and hence  $U_1$  is not  $\gamma$ -connected. A contradiction.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Assume that  $U_1$  is not  $\gamma$ -connected. Then  $U_1 = K_1 \bigcup K_2$ , since  $K_1 \cap K_2 = \phi$ ,  $\gamma Cl$ - sep sets, i.e,  $K_1 \bigcap \gamma Cl(K_2) = \gamma Cl(K_1) \bigcap K_2 = \phi$ . We have  $\gamma Cl(K_2) \subset U_1 - K_1 \subset K_2$  such that  $\gamma Cl$  is  $\gamma$ -enlarging, we get  $\gamma Cl(K_2) = K_2$ , then,  $K_2$  is  $\gamma$ -closed. By using  $\gamma Cl(K_1) \bigcap K_2 = \phi$ . Similarly, it is clear that  $K_1$  is  $\gamma$ -closed. Inconsistency.  $\square$ 

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  be a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso\gamma Cl$ . Then,  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is called a  $T_1 - \gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso$  structure if  $\gamma Cl(u_1) \subset \{u_1\}, \forall u_1 \in U_1$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** If  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso \gamma Cl$ , then the next statement are equivalent:

- (1)  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is  $\gamma$ -connected,
- (2) Every  $\gamma$ -continuous mapping  $T: U_1 \to U_2$  is constant for all  $T_1 \gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma I$  so structure  $U_2 = \{0, 1\}$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Assume that  $U_1$  is  $\gamma$ -connected and  $T: U_1 \to U_2$  is  $\gamma$ -continuous and it isn't constant. Therefore there is a set  $U_{11} \subseteq U_1$  where  $U_{11} = \{T^{-1}\{0\}\}$  and  $U_1 \backslash U_{11} = T^{-1}(\{1\})$ . such that T is  $\gamma$ -continuous and  $U_2$  is  $T_1 - \gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso$  structure, then  $\gamma Cl(U_{11}) = \gamma Cl(T^{-1}\{0\}) \subset T^{-1}(\gamma Cl(\{0\})) \subset T^{-1}(\{0\}) = U_{11}$  and hence  $\gamma Cl(U_{11}) \cap \{U_1 \backslash U_{11}\} = \phi$ . Similarly, we have  $U_{11} \cap \gamma Cl(U_1 \backslash U_{11}) = \phi$ . Contradiction, then, T is constant.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let  $U_1$  is not  $\gamma$ -connected. Therefore there exists  $\gamma Cl$  sep sets  $U_{11}$  and  $U_{12}$  where  $U_{11} \bigcup U_{12} = U_1$ . We have  $\gamma Cl(U_{11}) \subset U_{11}$ 

and  $\gamma Cl(U_{12}) \subset U_{12}$  and  $U_1 \ U_{11} \subset U_{12}$ . Such that  $\gamma Cl$  is  $\gamma Iso$  and  $U_{11}, U_{12}$  are  $\gamma Cl$ - sep, hence  $\{\gamma Cl(U_1 \backslash U_{11}) \subset \gamma Cl(U_{12}) \subset \{U_1 \backslash U_{11}\}$ . Let the structure  $(U_2, \gamma Cl)$  by  $U_2 = \{0,1\}, \gamma Cl(\phi) = \phi, \gamma Cl(\{0\}) = \{0\}, \gamma Cl(\{1\}) = \{1\}, \text{ and } \gamma Cl(U_2) = U_2$ , therefore the structure  $(U_2, \gamma Cl)$  is a  $T_1 - \gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma$ -Iso structure, we define the mapping  $T: U_1 \to U_2$  as  $T(U_{11}) = \{0\}$  and  $T(U_1 \backslash U_{11}) = \{1\}$ . Assume that  $K_1 \neq \phi$  and  $K_1 \subset U_2$ . If  $K_1 = U_2$ , and hence  $T^{-1}(K_1) = U_1$ ,  $\gamma Cl(\{U_1\}) = \gamma Cl(T^{-1}(K_1)) \subset U_1 = T^{-1}(K_1) = T^{-1}(\gamma Cl(K_1))$ . If  $K_1 = \{0\}$ , therefore  $T^{-1}(K_1) = T^{-1}(K_1) = T^{-1}(K_1)$ . If  $K_1 = \{1\}$ , then  $T^{-1}(K_1) = \{U_1 \backslash U_{11}\}$ , hence  $\gamma Cl(U_1 \backslash U_{11}) = \gamma Cl(T^{-1}(K_1))$ . Thus, T is  $\gamma$ -continuous such that T is not constant. Inconsistency.

**Theorem 4.5.** If  $T:(U_1, \gamma Cl) \to (U_2, \gamma Cl)$  and  $g:(U_2, \gamma Cl) \to (U_3, \gamma Cl)$  are  $\gamma$ -continuous maps, then,  $g \circ T:U_1 \to U_3$  is  $\gamma$ -continuous.

*Proof.* Let T and g be  $\gamma$ -continuous. For every  $K_1 \subset U_3$  we get  $\gamma Cl((g \circ T)^{-1}(K_1)) = \gamma Cl(T^{-1}(g^{-1}(K_1))) \subset T^{-1}(\gamma Cl(g^{-1}(K_1))) \subset T^{-1}(g^{-1}(\gamma Cl(K_1))) = (g \circ T)^{-1}(\gamma Cl(K_1))$ . Then,  $g \circ T : U_1 \to U_3$  is  $\gamma$ -continuous.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$ ,  $(U_2, \gamma Cl)$  be generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structures with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso\gamma Cl$  and  $T:(U_1, \gamma Cl) \rightarrow (U_2, \gamma Cl)$  be a  $\gamma$ -continuous mapping from  $U_1$  onto  $U_2$ . If  $U_1$  is  $\gamma$ -connected, then  $U_2$  is  $\gamma$ -connected,  $g \circ T:U_1 \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is constant and then "g" is constant mapping. By (Theorem 4.2),  $U_2$  is  $\gamma$ -connected.

*Proof.* Let  $\{0,1\}$  be a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structures with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso \ \gamma Cl$  and  $g:U_2 \to \{0,1\}$  is a  $\gamma$ -continuous mapping. Since T is  $\gamma$ -continuous, by (Theorem 3.3),  $g \circ T:U_1 \to \{0,1\}$  is  $\gamma$ -continuous. where  $U_1$  is  $\gamma$ -connected,  $g \circ T$  is constant, then g is constant. Consequently,  $U_2$  is  $\gamma$ -connected.  $\square$ 

**Definition 4.7.** Let  $(U_2, \gamma Cl)$  be a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso \ \gamma Cl$ , and more than one element. A generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso \ \gamma Cl$  is called  $U_2$ - $\gamma$ -connected if any  $\gamma$ -continuous mapping  $T:U_1\to U_2$  is constant.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $(U_2, \gamma Cl)$  be a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso$ ,  $\gamma$ -enlarging  $\gamma Cl$ , and more than one element. Then every  $U_2$ - $\gamma$ -connected generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso$  is  $\gamma$ -connected.

Proof. Assume that  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  be a  $U_2$ - $\gamma$ -connected generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso \gamma Cl$ . Let  $T:U_1 \to \{0,1\}$  is a  $\gamma$ -continuous mapping, since  $\{0,1\}$  is a  $T_1\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso$  structure. Where  $U_2$  is a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso$ ,  $\gamma$ -enlarging  $\gamma Cl$  and more than one element, therefore there is a  $\gamma$ -continuous injection  $g:\{0,1\}\to U_2$ . By (Theorem 4.3),  $g\circ T:U_1\to U_2$  is  $\gamma$ -continuous. Such that  $U_1$  is  $U_2$ - $\gamma$ -connected, then  $g\circ T$  is constant. Then, T is constant hence, by (Theorem 4.2),  $U_1$  is  $\gamma$ -connected.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  and  $(U_2, \gamma Cl)$  be a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structures with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso \ \gamma Cl$  and  $T: (U_1, \gamma Cl) \rightarrow (U_2, \gamma Cl)$  be a  $\gamma$ -continuous mapping onto  $U_2$ . If  $U_1$  is Z- $\gamma$ -connected, then  $U_2$  is Z- $\gamma$ -connected.

*Proof.* Let  $g:U_2\to Z$  is a  $\gamma$ -continuous mapping. Then  $g\circ T:U_1\to Z$  is  $\gamma$ -continuous. Since  $U_1$  is Z- $\gamma$ -connected, therefore  $g\circ T$  is constant. Therefor "g" is constant. Then  $U_2$  is Z- $\gamma$ -connected.

**Definition 4.10.** the generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is strongly  $\gamma$ -connected if there is no a countable collection of pairwise  $\gamma Cl$ - sep sets  $\{K_n\}$  where  $U_1 = \bigcup \{K_n\}$ .

**Theorem 4.11.** Every strongly  $\gamma$ -connected generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso$   $\gamma Cl$  is  $\gamma$ -connected.

**Theorem 4.12.** Let  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  and  $(U_2, \gamma Cl)$  be a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma I$  so  $\gamma Cl$  and  $T:(U_1, \gamma Cl) \rightarrow (U_2, \gamma Cl)$  be a  $\gamma$ -continuous mapping onto  $U_2$ . If  $U_1$  is strongly  $\gamma$ -connected, then  $U_2$  is strongly  $\gamma$ -connected.

Proof. . let  $U_2$  is not strongly  $\gamma$ -connected. Then, there exists a countable collection of pairwise  $\gamma Cl$  sep sets  $\{K_n\}$  such that  $U_2 = \bigcup \{K_n\}$ . Since  $T^{-1}(K_n) \bigcap \gamma Cl(T^{-1}(K_m)) \subset (T^{-1}(K_n)) \bigcap (T^{-1}(\gamma Cl(K_m))) = \phi$  for all  $n \neq m$ , then the collection  $\{T^{-1}(K_n)\}$  is pairwise  $\gamma Cl$ -sep. This is a contradiction. Thus,  $U_2$  is strongly  $\gamma$ -connected.

**Theorem 4.13.** Let  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)_{U_1}$ ,  $(U_2, \gamma Cl)_{U_2}$  be a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structures. Then the subsequent are equivalent for a mapping  $T: U_1 \to U_2$ 

(1) T is  $\gamma$ -continuous,

(2)  $T^{-1}(\gamma Int(K_2)) \subseteq \gamma Int(T^{-1}(K_2)), \forall K_2 \subseteq U_2.$ 

**Theorem 4.14.** If  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is a generalized  $\gamma Cl$  structure with  $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso \gamma Cl$ , then

 $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is strongly  $\gamma$ -connected iff  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is  $U_2$ - $\gamma$ -connected for any countable  $T_1 - \gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma I$  so structure  $(U_2, \gamma Cl)$ .

Proof. (Necessity): Assume that  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is strongly connected and  $(U_1, \gamma Cl)$  is not  $U_2 - \gamma$ -connected for some countable  $T_1 - \gamma$ -grounded. There is a  $\gamma$ -continuous mapping  $T: U_1 \to U_2$  this isn't constant and as a result  $H = T(U_1)$  is a type of countable set that contains several elements. for every  $a_n \in H$ , there exists  $K_n \subset U_1$  since  $K_n = T^{-1}(a_n)$  hence  $U_2 = \bigcup K_n$ . Such that T is  $\gamma$ -continuous and  $U_2$  is  $\gamma$ -grounded, then  $\forall n \neq m$ ,  $\{K_n\} \bigcap \gamma ClK_m\} = T^{-1}(a_n) \bigcap \gamma Cl(T^{-1}(a_m)) \subset T^{-1}\{a_n\} \bigcap T^{-1}(\gamma Cl\{a_m\}) \subset T^{-1}\{a_n\} \bigcap T^{-1}\{a_m\} = \phi$ . Contradicts, for strong  $\gamma$ -connectedness of  $U_1$ . Hence,  $U_1$  is  $U_2$ - $\gamma$ -connected.

(Sufficiency): Assume that  $U_1$  is  $U_2 - \gamma$ -connected for any countable  $T_1 - \gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso$  structure  $(U_2, \gamma Cl)$ . Let  $U_1$  b not strongly  $\gamma$ -connected. There is a countable collection of pairwise  $\gamma Cl$  sep sets  $\{K_n\}$  where  $U_1 = \bigcup K_n$ . We take the structure  $(Z, \gamma Cl)$  such that Z is the set of integers and  $\gamma Cl : P(Z) \rightarrow P(Z)$  is defined as  $\gamma Cl(H) = H, \forall H \subset Z$ . Obviously  $(Z, \gamma Cl)$  is a countable  $T_1$ - $\gamma$ -grounded  $\gamma Iso$ structure. Let  $K_k \in \{K_n\}$ . We define a mapping  $T: U_1 \to Z$  by  $T(K_k) = \{u_1\}$  and  $T(U_1 \backslash K_k) = \{u_2\}$  since  $u_1, u_2 \in Z$  and  $u_1 \neq u_2$ . Where  $\gamma Cl(K_k) \bigcap \{K_n\} = \phi$ , for each  $n \neq k$ , therefore  $\gamma Cl(K_k) \cap (\bigcup \{K_n\}) = \phi, n \neq k$ hence,  $\gamma Cl(K_k) \mid |\{\bigcup\{K_n\}\}| = \emptyset$ ,  $n \neq k$ hence,  $\gamma Cl(K_k) \subset \{K_k\}$ . Put  $\phi \neq H \subset Z$ . If  $u_1, u_2 \in H$  then  $T^{-1}(H) = U_1$  and  $\gamma Cl(T^{-1}(H)) = \gamma Cl(U_1) \subset U_1 = T^{-1}(H) = T^{-1}(\gamma Cl(H))$ . If  $u_1 \in H$  and  $u_2 \notin H$ , then  $T^{-1}(H) = K_k$  and  $\gamma Cl(T^{-1}(H)) = \gamma Cl(K_k) \subset K_k = T^{-1}(H) = T^{-1}(\gamma Cl(H))$ . If  $u_2 \in H$  and  $u_1 \notin H$  then  $T^{-1}(H) = \{U_1 \setminus K_1\}$ . Since  $\gamma Cl(H) = H \lor H \subset T^{-1}(H) = \{U_1 \setminus K_1\}$ . Since  $\gamma Cl(H) = H \lor H \subset T^{-1}(H) = \{U_1 \setminus K_1\}$ .  $T^{-1}(H) = \{U_1 \backslash K_k\}$ . Since  $\gamma Cl(H) = H, \forall H \subset Z$ , then  $\gamma Int(H) = H, \forall H \subset Z$ . Also,  $(U_1 \backslash K_k) \subset K_{n \neq k} \{U_k\} \subset \{U_1 \backslash \gamma Cl(K_k)\} =$  $\gamma Int(U_1 \backslash K_k)$ . Then,  $T^{-1}(\gamma Int(H)) = U_1 \backslash K_k = T^{-1}(H) \subset \gamma Int(U_1 \backslash K_k) = \gamma Int(T^{-1}(H))$ . Alternatively,  $\forall n \neq k, K_k \cap \gamma Cl(k_n) = \emptyset$ , hence  $K_k \cap \bigcup \{\gamma Cl(K_n), n \neq k\} = \emptyset$ . As a result, it can be concluded that  $K_k \cap \gamma Cl(K_n), n \neq k = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $\gamma Cl(U_1 \backslash K_k) \subset U_1 \backslash K_k$ . Such that  $\gamma Cl(H) = H, \forall H = Z$ , we get  $\gamma Cl(T^{-1}(H)) =$  $\gamma Cl(U_1 \backslash K_k) \subset U_1 \backslash K_k$ . Hence, T is  $\gamma$ -continuous. Since T is not constant, this goes against what was stated in Z- $\gamma$ -connectedness of  $U_1$ . Hence,  $U_1$  is strongy  $\gamma$ -connected.

#### 5 Conclusion

This research explores closure structures in point-set topology, with emphasis on  $\gamma$ -closure operators.

We investigate the characteristics of  $\gamma$ -isotonic and  $\gamma$ -closure mappings and provide useful definitions, lemma, and propositions related to the  $\gamma$ -closure structure.

Closure structure in point-set topology gives novel topological qualities (such as separation axioms, connectedness, and continuity) that are useful in studying digital topology, [16]. Thus, we may emphasize  $\gamma$  closure operators as a branch of them and their application in quantum physics, [17], and computer graphics, [18]. In the future, we can use these results to study the processes of nucleic acid "mutation, recombination, and crossover."

#### References:

- [1] Z. Pawlak, rough sets: theoretical aspects of reasoning about data and System theory, knowledge engineering and problem solving. Vol. 9. Dordrecht: Kluwer; 1991.
- [2] M. Caldass S. Jafar, R.M. Latif and A.A. Nasef, On a closure space via semi-closure operators, Journal of Mathematical and computational Sciences, 21, February (2020),1-13.
- [3] Tella, Yohanna, and Anas Usman. "Some Operations and Their Closure Properties on Multiset Topological Spaces." Asian Research Journal of Mathematics 20.8 (2024): 19-32.
- [4] Singh, Kushal, Asha Gupta, and Manjeet Singh. "A new closure operator and continuity." AIP Conference Proceedings. Vol. 3081. No. 1. AIP Publishing, 2024.
- [5] A. A. El-Atik, A study of some types of mapping on topological spaces, Master's Thesis, Faculty of Science, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt, 1997.
- [6] D. Andrijevic, *On b-open sets*, Mat. Vesmik, 48 (1996), 59-64.
- [7] J. Dontchev and M. Przemski, *On the various decompositions of continuous and some weakly continuous mapping*, Acta Math. Hungar, 71 (1-2) (1996), 109-120.
- [8] Alzahrani, S., Nasef, A. A., Youns, N., El-Maghrabi, A. I., & Badr, M. S. (2022). Soft topological approaches via soft γ-open sets. AIMS Mathematics, 7(7), 12144-12153.
- [9] Badr, M.; Abu-Gdairi, R.; Nasef, A.A. Mutations of Nucleic Acids via Matroidal Structures. Symmetry 2023, 15, 1741.
- [10] Rodyna A. Hosny, Radwan Abu-Gdairi and Mostafa K. El-Bably, Approximations by Ideal Minimal Structure with Chemical Application, Intelligent Automation and Soft Computing, vol. 36, no.3, pp. 3073–3085, 2023.

- [11] Singh, Y., Farrelly, C. M., Hathaway, Q. A., Leiner, T., Jagtap, J., Carlsson, G. E., & Erickson, B. J. (2023). Topological data analysis in medical imaging: current state of the art. Insights into Imaging, 14(1), 58.
- [12] El-Sharkasy, M. M., and M. Shokry. "Separation axioms under crossover operator and its generalized." International Journal of Biomathematics 9.04 (2016): 1650059.
- [13] E. Cech, *Topological spaces*, Wiley, Chicester, (1966) (revised edition by Zdnek Frolik and Miroslav katetov).
- [14] G. C. Rao, N. Rafi and Ravi Kumar Bandaru, *Closure Operators in almost Distributive Lattices*, International Mathematical Forum, 5, no. 19 (2010), 929-935.
- [15] M. B. Smyth, *Semi-metrics, closure spaces* and digital topology, Theoretical Computer Science, 151 (1995) 275-276.
- [16] Han, Sang-Eon. "Remarks on pseudocovering spaces in a digital topological setting: A corrigendum." Filomat 38.2 (2024): 569-576.
- [17] Myers, David Jaz, Hisham Sati, and Urs Schreiber. "Topological Quantum Gates in Homotopy Type Theory." Communications in Mathematical Physics 405.7 (2024): 172.
- [18] E. D. Kalimsky, R. Kopperman, P. R. Meyer, Computer graphics and connected topologies on finite ordered sets, Topol. Appl. 36 (1990), 1-17.

# Contribution of Individual Authors to the Creation of a Scientific Article (Ghostwriting Policy)

The authors equally contributed in the present research, at all stages from the formulation of the problem to the final findings and solution.

#### Sources of Funding for Research Presented in a Scientific Article or Scientific Article Itself

This research is funded by Zarqa University, Jordan.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (Attribution 4.0 International, CC BY 4.0)

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en\_US">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en\_US</a>