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#### Abstract

The complementary join of a graph $G$ is introduced in this paper as the join $G+\bar{G}$ of $G$ and its complement considering them as vertex-disjoint graphs. The aim of this paper is to study some properties and some graph invariants of the complementary join of a graph. We find the diameter, the radius and the domination number of $G+\bar{G}$ and determine when $G+\bar{G}$ is self-centered. We obtain a characterization of the Eulerian complementary joins, and show that the complementary join of a nontrivial graph is Hamiltonian. We give the clique and independence numbers of $G+\bar{G}$ in terms of the clique and independence numbers of $G$. We conclude this paper by determining the chromatic number, the $L(2,1)$-labeling number, the locating chromatic number and the partition dimension of the complementary join of a star.


Key-Words: Complementary join Eulerian $L$ (2, 1)-labeling number locating chromatic number partition $\|$ шயШШШШШШ1/dimension.

Received: April 18, 2023. Revised: November 15, 2023. Accepted: December 11, 2023. Published: March 8, 2024.

## 1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite with no loops and no multiple edges. For standard undefined notions the reader is referred to [ 1$]$.

The join $H_{1}+H_{2}$ of two vertex-disjoint graphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ is the graph obtained from the union $H_{1} \cup H_{2}$ by adding all edges that have one end vertex in $H_{1}$ and the other in $H_{2}$, [ 1$]$. If $G$ and $\bar{G}$ are considered as vertex-disjoint graphs, then the complementary prism $G \bar{G}$ of $G$ is the graph obtained from the union of $G$ and $\bar{G}$ by adding the perfect matching between corresponding vertices of $G$ and $\bar{G}$, [2]]. Comparing the complementary prism $G \bar{G}$ and its complement $\overline{G \bar{G}}$ it is obvious that each of them consists of a copy of $G$ and a copy of $\bar{G}$ together with a set of edges (say $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$, respectively) joining these copies. Notice that the join $G+\bar{G}$ also consists of a copy of $G$ and a copy of $\bar{G}$ together with the set of edges $E$ joining these copies where $E$ is just the union of the two disjoint sets $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$.

The complementary prism gained the attention of many authors, see for example, $[3],[4],[5],[6]$. Also the complement of the complementary prism has been studied, some of its properties were investigated in [7]. The aim of this paper is to start studying some properties and some graph invariants of the complementary join $G+\bar{G}$ of a graph $G$. Notice that the complementary join $G+\bar{G}$ can be viewed as a supergraph of each of the complementary prism $G \bar{G}$ and the complement $\overline{G \bar{G}}$ of the complementary prism. In-
deed each of $G \bar{G}$ and $\overline{G \bar{G}}$ is isomorphic to a spanning subgraph of $G+\bar{G}$.

In this paper, we show that the complementary join of a nontrivial graph is Hamiltonian, and obtain a characterization of those complementary joins that are Eulerian. We determine the diameter and the radius of the complementary join. We express the clique and independence numbers of $G+\bar{G}$ in terms of the clique and independence numbers of $G$. In particular, we determine four graph invariants ( the chromatic number, the $L(2,1)$-labeling number, the locating chromatic number and the partition dimension) for the complementary join of a star.

We give a formal definition in which the adapted labeling of the vertices of $G+\bar{G}$ will be used throughout this paper.
Definition 1 Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$. The complementary join $G+\bar{G}$ of $G$ is the graph whose vertex set is the union of the two disjoint sets $V(G)=$ $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}, V(\bar{G})=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right\}$ where $b_{i}$ is the corresponding vertex of $a_{i}$, and whose edge set is the union of the three mutually disjoint sets $E(G)$, $E(\bar{G})$, and $E=\left\{a_{i} b_{j}: 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$.

For example, the complement of the complementary prism $C_{3} \overline{C_{3}}$ is the 3 -sun, while the complementary join $C_{3}+\overline{C_{3}}$ of $C_{3}$ is the multipartite graph $K_{1,1,1,3}$.

The problem of assigning radio frequencies to transmitters at different locations without causing interference is called the frequency assignment problem. It was formulated as a vertex coloring problem
in [8]. A variation of this problem (which is known as the $L(2,1)$-labeling or radio 2 -coloring) where closed transmitters receive different frequencies while very closed transmitters receive frequencies that differ by at least 2 was introduced in [9]. Let $H$ be a connected graph of diameter $d$. Let $k$ be an integer with $1 \leq k \leq$ $d$. The distance $d(u, v)$ between the two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $H$ is the number of edges in a shortest $u, v$ path in $H$. A radio $k$-coloring of $H$ is a function $f$ from the vertex set of $H$ to the set of positive integers such that $d(u, v)+|f(u)-f(v)| \geq 1+k$ for any two distinct vertices $u$ and $v$ of $H$, [10]. It is obvious that the radio 1 -coloring is just the standard vertex coloring. It is worth to mention that the codomain of the radio $k$-coloring function $f$ is assumed by some authors to be the set of positive integers凹[10@-11], while by many others it is assumed to be the set of nonneg-
 paper, we will follow the later assumption. Thus for clarity, we restate explicitly the following definition of the span of an $L(2,1)$-labeling and the $\lambda$-number of a graph.

Definition 2 An $L(2,1)$-labeling of a graph $H$ is a function $f$ from $V(H)$ to the set of nonnegative integers (called colors) such that $|f(u)-f(v)| \geq 1$ if $d(u, v)=2$ and $|f(u)-f(v)| \geq 2$ if $u$ and $v$ are adjacent. The span of $f$ is the difference between the largest and the smallest colors in $f(V(H))$. The $L(2,1)$-labeling number $\lambda(H)$ (also called the $\lambda$ number of $H$ ) is the minimum span over all $L(2,1)$ labelings of $H$.

Notice that the span of an $L(2,1)$-labeling is defined in [11], to be the difference between the largest and the smallest colors plus 1.

For a subset $S$ of the vertex set of a connected graph $H$ and a vertex $u$ of $H$, the distance between $u$ and $S$ is $d(u, S)=\min \{d(u, x): x \in S\}$. A $k$ coloring $f$ of a connected graph $H$ is an onto function from the set of vertices of $H$ to the set of colors $\{1,2, \cdots, k\}$ such that adjacent vertices have different colors. The coloring $f$ induces an ordered partition $\pi=\left\{R_{1}, R_{2}, \cdots, R_{k}\right\}$ of the vertex set of $H$, where for $1 \leq i \leq k$, the color class $R_{i}$ is the set of vertices of $H$ receiving the color $i$. The color code of a vertex $u$ is the $k$-tuple $f_{\pi}(u)=$ $\left(d\left(u, R_{1}\right), d\left(u, R_{2}\right), \cdots, d\left(u, R_{k}\right)\right)$. A locating coloring of $H$ is a coloring of $H$ in which every two distinct vertices have different color codes. The locating chromatic number $\chi_{L}(H)$ is the smallest $k$ such that $H$ has a locating $k$-coloring. The concept of locating chromatic number was introduced in [18]. The locating chromatic number of some classes of graphs was determined by several authors, [18], [19], [20], [21], [22].

Locating chromatic number is related to both coloring and partition dimension of a graph. For an ordered $k$-partition $\pi=\left\{R_{1}, R_{2}, \cdots, R_{k}\right\}$ of the vertex set of a connected graph $H$, the representation of a vertex $u$ of $H$ with respect to the partition $\pi$ is $r(u \mid \pi)=\left(d\left(u, R_{1}\right), d\left(u, R_{2}\right), \cdots, d\left(u, R_{k}\right)\right)$. The partition $\pi$ is a resolving partition if distinct vertices have different representations. The partition dimension $p d(H)$ of the graph $H$ is the minimum $k$ such that $H$ has a resolving $k$-partition. Studying partition dimension of graphs starts in [23]. Many authors were interested in determining the partition dimension of some classes of graphs, [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28]. The concept of partition dimension was extended also for disconnected graphs, [29],[30].

The following result was obtained in [25], it will be referred to in the proofs of the last two theorems in section 5 of this paper.

Lemma 3 Let $\pi$ be a resolving partition of the vertex set of a connected graph $H$. If $u$ and $v$ are distinct vertices of $H$ such that $d(u, x)=d(v, x)$ for all $x \in V(H)-\{u, v\}$, then $u$ and $v$ belong to different partition classes of $\pi$.

## 2 Diameter, $[5$ adius and] omination Number

The complementary join $K_{1}+\overline{K_{1}}$ is isomorphic to $K_{2}$, which is connected. So assume that $n \geq 2$ and let $i, j \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. The two vertices $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ are adjacent in $G+\bar{G}$. On the other hand, when $i \neq j$ we have: The two vertices $a_{i}$ and $a_{j}$ are joint by the path $a_{i} b_{1} a_{j}$, and the two vertices $b_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ are joint by the path $b_{i} a_{1} b_{j}$. This implies that $G+\bar{G}$ is connected.

The diameter of $G+\bar{G}$ is determined in the following result.

Proposition 4 For any graph $G$ of order $n$, we have

$$
\operatorname{diam}(G+\bar{G})= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n=1 \\ 2 & \text { if } n \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Obviously, $\operatorname{diam}\left(K_{1}+\overline{K_{1}}\right)=1$. So assume that $G$ is a nontrivial graph and let $x$ and $y$ be two distinct vertices of $G+\bar{G}$. If one of $x, y$ belongs to $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ while the other belongs to $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right\}$, then $x$ and $y$ are adjacent in $G+\bar{G}$. Thus assume that both $x$ and $y$ belong to the same set $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ or $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right\}$. Then $x w y$ is an $x, y$-path in $G+\bar{G}$ where $w=b_{1}$ or $w=a_{1}$ according to whether $x$ and $y$ belong to $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ or $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right\}$, respectively. Thus $d_{G+\bar{G}}(x, y) \leq 2$. But since $G$ is not the trivial graph, we have at least one of $G$ and $\bar{G}$ is not complete. Thus $G+\bar{G}$ has two nonadjacent vertices $x_{0}, y_{0}$
with either $x_{0}, y_{0} \in\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}$ or $x_{0}, y_{0} \in$ $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right\}$. This implies that $d_{G+\bar{G}}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=2$ and therefore $\operatorname{diam}(G+\bar{G})=2$.

For any vertex $x$ of a graph $G$ of order $n$, we have $0 \leq \operatorname{deg}_{G} x \leq n-1$, we will say that 0 and $n-1$ are the extreme degrees for $G$. Obviously, extreme degrees for $G$ need not be attained.

Definition 5 A vertex $x$ of a graph $G$ of order $n$ is said to be of extreme degree if $\operatorname{deg}_{G} x \in\{0, n-1\}$. Moreover, we will say that $G$ has an extreme degree whenever it has a vertex of extreme degree.

For example, every vertex of the complete graph $K_{n}$ is of extreme degree, while $P_{4}$ has no vertex of extreme degree.

Theorem 6 Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$. Then
$\operatorname{rad}(G+\bar{G})=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { if G has an extreme degree } \\ 2 & \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$.
Proof. By Proposition 4 , we have $\operatorname{rad}(G+\bar{G}) \leq 2$. Clearly $\operatorname{rad}(G+\bar{G})=1$ if and only if there exists a vertex $x$ that is adjacent to all other vertices of $G+\bar{G}$. Now, if $x$ is of the type $a_{i}$, then $\operatorname{deg}_{G} x=n-1$, while if $x$ is of the type $b_{i}$, then the corresponding vertex $a_{i}$ satisfies $\operatorname{deg}_{G} a_{i}=0$.

A self-centered graph is a graph whose radius and diameter are equal, [31]. Using Proposition 4 and Theorem 6 we have the following result.

Corollary 7 Let $G$ be a graph. Then $G+\bar{G}$ is selfcentered if and only if either $G$ is the trivial graph or $G$ has no vertex of extreme degree.

The domination number $\gamma$ of $G+\bar{G}$ can be computed in view of Theorem 6.
Corollary 8 Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$. Then

$$
\gamma(G+\bar{G})= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } G \text { has an extreme degree } \\ 2 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Obviously, $\gamma(G+\bar{G})=1$ if and only if $\operatorname{rad}(G+\bar{G})=1$. Thus by Theorem 6 we have $\gamma(G+\bar{G})=1$ if and only if $G$ has a vertex of extreme degree. So assume that $G$ has no vertex of extreme degree. Then $\gamma(\underline{G}+\bar{G})>1$. But $\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}\right\}$ is a dominating set of $G+\bar{G}$, therefore $\gamma(G+\bar{G})=2$.

## 3 When Hamiltonian? And When Eulerian?

The following two results determine precisely when $G+\bar{G}$ is Hamiltonian and when it is Eulerian. Recall that a graph $H$ of order $m \geq 3$ in which every vertex has degree greater than or equal to $\frac{m}{2}$ is Hamiltonian, [1].

Proposition 9 For any nontrivial graph $G$, the complementary join $G+\bar{G}$ is Hamiltonian.

Proof. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n>1$. Then $G+\bar{G}$ has order $2 n \geq 4$ and for any vertex $x$ in $G+\bar{G}$ we have $\operatorname{deg}_{G+\bar{G}} x \geq n$ because every vertex of the type $a_{i}$ is adjacent to every vertex of the type $b_{i}$. Therefore $G+\bar{G}$ is Hamiltonian.

It is well known that a nontrivial connected graph is Eulerian if and only if all of its vertices have even degrees.

Theorem 10 Let $G$ be a nontrivial graph of order $n$. Then $G+\bar{G}$ is Eulerian if and only if $n$ is odd and every vertex of $G$ has odd degree.

Proof. Assume that $n$ is odd and every vertex of $G$ has odd degree. Then for every $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ we have $\operatorname{deg}_{G+\bar{G}} a_{i}=\operatorname{deg}_{G} a_{i}+n$ which is even, and we have $\operatorname{deg}_{G+\bar{G}} b_{i}=\operatorname{deg}_{\bar{G}} b_{i}+n=\left(n-1-\operatorname{deg}_{G} a_{i}\right)+n$ which is also even because $\operatorname{deg}_{G} a_{i}$ is odd. Therefore $G+\bar{G}$ is Eulerian.

Conversely, assume that $G+\bar{G}$ is Eulerian and let $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. Let $\operatorname{deg}_{G} a_{i}=m$. Then $\operatorname{deg}_{G+\bar{G}} b_{i}=(n-1-m)+n$ is even since $G+\bar{G}$ is Eulerian. Thus $m$ must be odd. So every vertex of $G$ has odd degree. But $\operatorname{deg}_{G+\bar{G}} a_{i}=m+n$ is also even since $G+\bar{G}$ is Eulerian. This implies that $n$ must be odd.

## 4 Clique and Independence Numbers

A complete subgraph of a graph $H$ is a clique in $H$. The clique number $\omega$ of $H$ is the order of a largest clique in $H$. An independent set $S$ of $H$ is a subset of the vertex set of $H$ such that any two elements of $S$ are not adjacent in $H$. The cardinality of a maximum independent set of $H$ is the independence num$\operatorname{ber} \beta$ of $H$. It is well known that the clique number of a graph equals the independence number of its complement. This means that for any graph $H$, we have $\omega(H)=\beta(\bar{H})$ and $\beta(H)=\omega(\bar{H})$. The next result determines the clique and independence numbers of the complementary join $G+\bar{G}$ in terms of the clique and independence numbers of $G$.

Theorem 11 For any graph $G$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(G+\bar{G}) & =\omega(G)+\beta(G) \\
\text { and } \beta(G+\bar{G}) & =\max \{\beta(G), \omega(G)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We will compute $\omega$ and $\beta$ of the complementary join $G+\bar{G}$ by computing $\beta$ and $\omega$ of its complement $\overline{G+\bar{G}}$, respectively. The vertex set of $\overline{G+\bar{G}}$ is $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\} \cup\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right\}$. But every vertex
of the type $a_{i}$ is not adjacent in $\overline{G+\bar{G}}$ to any vertex of the type $b_{i}$. Thus the edge set of $\overline{G+\bar{G}}$ is the union of the two sets $\left\{a_{i} a_{j}: i \neq j, a_{i} a_{j} \notin E(G)\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{i} b_{j}: i \neq j, b_{i} b_{j} \notin E(\bar{G})\right\}$. Therefore, $\overline{G+\bar{G}}$ is isomorphic to $\bar{G} \cup G$. Now it follows that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(G+\bar{G}) & =\beta(\overline{G+\bar{G}}) \\
& =\beta(\bar{G} \cup G) \\
& =\beta(\bar{G})+\beta(G) \\
& =\omega(G)+\beta(G)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(G+\bar{G}) & =\omega(\overline{G+\bar{G}}) \\
& =\omega(\bar{G} \cup G) \\
& =\max \{\omega(\bar{G}), \omega(G)\} \\
& =\max \{\beta(G), \omega(G)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\overline{G+\bar{G}} \cong \bar{G} \cup G$ where $\bar{G}$ and $G$ have disjoint vertex sets.

## 5 Main Results

This section is devoted to determine the chromatic number, the $L(2,1)$-labeling number, the locating chromatic number and the partition dimension of the complementary join of a star $K_{1, m}$.

For $m \geq 2$, throughout this section we will assume that $a_{m+1}$ is the central vertex of the star $K_{1, m}$, and we will denote it simply by $a$. Thus $V\left(K_{1, m}\right)=\{a\} \cup$ $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}\right\}$ where $\operatorname{deg}_{K_{1, m}} a=m$.

Coloring the subgraph of $G+\bar{G}$ induced by $V(G)$ using $\chi(G)$ colors, and then coloring the subgraph of $G+\bar{G}$ induced by $V(\bar{G})$ using new $\chi(\bar{G})$ colors, we obtain a $(\chi(G)+\chi(\bar{G}))$-coloring of $G+\bar{G}$. But since each vertex in $V(\bar{G})$ is adjacent to every vertex in $V(G)$, we cannot have a common color used in both sets $V(G)$ and $V(\bar{G})$. So we have the following result.

Proposition 12 For any graph $G$, we have $\chi(G+$ $\bar{G})=\chi(G)+\chi(\bar{G})$. In particular $\chi\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)=$ $2+m$.

Now, we compute the $L(2,1)$-labeling number of the complementary join of a star.

Theorem 13 (a) For $m \geq$ 2, we have $\lambda\left(K_{1, m}+\right.$ $\left.\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)=3 m+1$.
(b) $\lambda\left(K_{1,1}+\overline{K_{1,1}}\right)=5$.

Proof. (a) Let $m \geq 2$ and let $f$ be any $L(2,1)$-labeling of $K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}$. Since $\left\{a, a_{1}\right\} \cup$
$\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{m}\right\}$ induces in $K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}$ a clique $B$ of order $m+2$, the colors assigned by $f$ to any two distinct vertices of $B$ must differ by at least 2 . Thus $\lambda\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right) \geq \lambda(B) \geq 2 m+2$. But for every $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq m$, we have $d_{K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}}\left(a_{i}, a\right)=$ $d_{K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right)=1$ for any $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq m$, and $d_{K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}}\left(a_{i}, a_{1}\right)=2$. This implies that for every $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq m$, $f\left(a_{i}\right)$ must differ than $f\left(a_{1}\right)$, and $f\left(a_{i}\right)$ must differ by at least 2 than each of $f(a)$ and $f\left(b_{j}\right)$ for any $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq m$. Clearly $f\left(a_{i}\right) \neq f\left(a_{j}\right)$ for every distinct $i, j \in\{2,3, \cdots, m\}$ because $d_{K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}}\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)=2$. Thus the values of $f\left(a_{2}\right), f\left(a_{3}\right), \cdots, f\left(a_{m}\right)$ are different and each of them pushes the lower bound $2 m+2$ of $\lambda\left(K_{1, m}+\right.$ $\left.\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)$ up by 1 . This implies that $\lambda\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right) \geq$ $(2 m+2)+(m-1)=3 m+1$.

Now define the function $g$ on $V\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(b_{i}\right) & =2 i-2 \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq m \\
g(a) & =2 m \\
g\left(a_{1}\right) & =2 m+2 \\
g\left(a_{i}\right) & =2 m+i+1 \text { for } 2 \leq i \leq m \\
\text { and } g(b) & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that since $m \geq 2$, we have $g(x)-g(b) \geq$ $2 m-1 \geq 3$ for every $x \in N(b)=\left\{a_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq\right.$ $m\} \cup\{a\}$. One can easily check that $g$ is an $L(2,1)$ labeling of $K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}$ with span $3 m+1$. Therefore $\lambda\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right) \leq 3 m+1$ and hence $\lambda\left(K_{1, m}+\right.$ $\left.\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)=3 m+1$.
(b) The function $g$ defined on $V\left(K_{1,1}+\overline{K_{1,1}}\right)$ by $g\left(a_{1}\right)=0, g(a)=2, g\left(b_{1}\right)=4$, and $g(b)=5$ is clearly an $L(2,1)$-labeling of $K_{1,1}+\overline{K_{1,1}}$. Therefore $\lambda\left(K_{1,1}+\overline{K_{1,1}}\right) \leq 5$. Suppose to the contrary that $f$ is an $L(2,1)$-labeling of $K_{1,1}+\overline{K_{1,1}}$ having span less than 5 . But since $\left\{a, a_{1}, b_{1}\right\}$ induces in $K_{1,1}+$ $\overline{K_{1,1}}$ a clique, we must have $\left\{f(a), f\left(a_{1}\right), f\left(b_{1}\right)\right\}=$ $\{0,2,4\}$. Now $f(b) \in\{1,3\}$ because $d(b, x) \leq 2$ for any $x \in\left\{a, a_{1}, b_{1}\right\}$. This contradicts the fact that both $|f(b)-f(a)|$ and $\left|f(b)-f\left(a_{1}\right)\right|$ must be at least 2 since $b$ is adjacent to both $a$ and $a_{1}$. Therefore $\lambda\left(K_{1,1}+\overline{K_{1,1}}\right)=5$.

Next, we determine the locating chromatic number of the complementary join of a star.

Theorem 14 (a) For $m \geq 2$, we have $\chi_{L}\left(K_{1, m}+\right.$ $\left.\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)=2 m+1$.
(b) $\chi_{L}\left(K_{1,1}+\overline{K_{1,1}}\right)=4$.

Proof. (a) Let $m \geq 2$. First, we will show that the locating chromatic number of $K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}$ is greater than or equal to $2 m+1$. Let $f$ be any locating
coloring of $K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}$. Observe that $E\left(K_{1, m}+\right.$ $\left.\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)$ contains all edges of the form $x y$ where $x \in$ $V\left(K_{1, m}\right)=\{a\} \cup\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}\right\}$ and $y \in$ $V\left(\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)=\{b\} \cup\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{m}\right\}$. Thus the ordered partition of $V\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)$ induced by $f$ must be of the form $\pi=\left\{R_{1}, R_{2}, \cdots, R_{k}, S_{1}, S_{2}, \cdots, S_{p}\right\}$ for some positive integers $k$ and $p$, where $\cup_{i=1}^{k} R_{i}=$ $V\left(K_{1, m}\right)$ and $\cup_{i=1}^{p} S_{i}=V\left(\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)$. Clearly $\{a\}$ is one of the color classes $R_{1}, R_{2}, \cdots, R_{k}$ since $a$ is adjacent to $a_{i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, say $\{a\}=R_{1}$. But $\left\{a, a_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{m}\right\}$ induces in $K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}$ a clique $B$ of order $m+2$, so $f$ must use different $m+2$ colors $1,2, \cdots, m+2$ to color the vertices of $B$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $f\left(b_{i}\right)=i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m, f(a)=m+1$ and $f\left(a_{1}\right)=m+2$. Then for every $i$ with $2 \leq$ $i \leq m$, we have $f\left(a_{i}\right)>m+1$ because $a_{i}$ is adjacent to each vertex in $\{a\} \cup\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{m}\right\}$. But $\pi$ is a resolving partition since $f$ is a locating coloring of $K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}$, thus for every distinct $i, j \in$ $\{1,2, \cdots, m\}$, we must have $f\left(a_{i}\right) \neq f\left(a_{j}\right)$ according to Lemma 3. Therefore $f$ must use new $m-1$ colors, say $m+3, m+4, \cdots, m+(m+1)$, to color the vertices $a_{2}, a_{3}, \cdots, a_{m}$, respectively. Thus $\chi_{L}\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right) \geq(m+2)+(m-1)=2 m+1$.

Second, we will show that the locating chromatic number of $K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}$ is less than or equal to $2 m+1$ by providing a locating coloring $g$ that uses exactly $2 m+1$ colors. Define the function $g$ on $V\left(K_{1, m}+\right.$ $\left.\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(b_{i}\right) & =i \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq m \\
g(a) & =m+1 \\
g\left(a_{i}\right) & =i+m+1 \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq m \\
\text { and } g(b) & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left\{b, b_{1}\right\}$ is the only color class induced by $g$ that contains more than one element. Observe that since $m \geq 2$, the vertices $b$ and $b_{1}$ have different color codes because $d(b, S)=2$ while $d\left(b_{1}, S\right)=1$ where $S=\left\{b_{2}\right\}$ is the color class having the color 2. Thus $g$ is a locating coloring of $K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}$ and hence $\chi_{L}\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right) \leq 2 m+1$. Therefore $\chi_{L}\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)=2 m+1$.
(b) The set $\left\{a, a_{1}, b_{1}\right\}$ induces in $K_{1,1}+\overline{K_{1,1}}$ a clique $B$, so any locating coloring of $K_{1,1}+\overline{K_{1,1}}$ must use three colors $1,2,3$ to color the vertices of $B$. It is obvious that the color of $b$ must be different from the colors of its neighbors $a$ and $a_{1}$. But also $b$ cannot be assigned the color of $b_{1}$, for otherwise $b_{1}$ and $b$ would have the same color code. Thus $b$ must have a new fourth color and hence $\chi_{L}\left(K_{1,1}+\overline{K_{1,1}}\right)=4$.

Finally, we determine the partition dimension of the complementary join of a star.

Theorem $15 \operatorname{pd}\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)=m+2$.
Proof. Consider the partition $\delta=$ $\left\{R_{1}, R_{2}, \cdots, R_{m+2}\right\}$ where $R_{i}=\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m, R_{m+1}=\{a\}$, and $R_{m+2}=\{b\}$. Then for every $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, m\}$ we have $d_{K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}}\left(a_{i}, R_{m+2}\right)=1$ while $d_{K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}}\left(b_{i}, R_{m+2}\right)=2$. This implies that $\delta$ is a resolving partition, and hence $p d\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right) \leq m+2$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3, for every distinct $i, j \in\{1,2, \cdots, m\}$ the two vertices $a_{i}$ and $a_{j}$ belong to different color classes in any resolving partition. Thus we have $p d\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right) \geq m$. Now assume to the contrary that $p d\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right) \neq m+2$ and distinguish the following two cases:

Case 1. $p d\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)=m$.
Assume that $\theta=\left\{R_{1}, R_{2}, \cdots, R_{m}\right\}$ is a resolving partition of $K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}$. But by Lemma 3, for every distinct $i, j \in\{1,2, \cdots, m\}$ the two vertices $b_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ belong to different color classes (and the same holds for distinct $a_{i}, a_{j}$ ), thus for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have $R_{i} \supseteq\left\{a_{s_{i}}, b_{w_{i}}\right\}$ for some $s_{i}, w_{i} \in\{1,2, \cdots, m\}$. Now $a \in R_{k}$ for some $k \in\{1,2, \cdots, m\}$, which implies that $a_{s_{k}}$ and $a$ have the same representation $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots, h_{m}\right)$ with $h_{k}=0$ while $h_{i}=1$ for any $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, m\}-\{k\}$. This contradicts the assumption that $\theta$ is a resolving partition.

Case 2. $p d\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)=m+1$.
Assume that $\theta=\left\{R_{1}, R_{2}, \cdots, R_{m+1}\right\}$ is a resolving partition of $K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}$. Again by applying Lemma 3 on distinct vertices in $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}\right\}$ and on distinct vertices in $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{m}\right\}$, we must have at least $m-1$ color classes of $\theta$ containing simultaneously a vertex from $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}\right\}$ and a vertex from $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{m}\right\}$. But by the symmetry between any two vertices in $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}\right\}$ and also between any two vertices in $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{m}\right\}$ with respect to distances to other vertices, we can assume without loss of generality that $R_{i} \supseteq\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. We distinguish two subcases.

Subcase 2.1. Both $a_{m}$ and $b_{m}$ belong to the same color class, say $R_{m}$.

Then the vertex $a$ cannot belong to any $R_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, because otherwise if $a \in R_{k}$ for some $k \in\{1,2, \cdots, m\}$, then $R_{m+1}=\{b\}$ and the two vertices $a$ and $a_{k}$ would have the same representation $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots, h_{m+1}\right)$ with $h_{k}=0$ while $h_{i}=1$ for any $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, m+1\}-\{k\}$, a contradiction. Thus we have $R_{m+1} \supseteq\{a\}$. But now, the two vertices $a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$ have the same representation $(0,1, \cdots, 1)$, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2. The two vertices $a_{m}$ and $b_{m}$ belong to different color classes, say that $R_{m} \supseteq\left\{a_{m}\right\}$ and
$R_{m+1} \supseteq\left\{b_{m}\right\}$. But now we have either $a \in R_{m}, a \in$ $R_{m+1}$ or $a \in R_{k}$ for some $k \leq m-1$. This implies that either $r(a \mid \theta)=r\left(a_{m} \mid \theta\right)=(1,1, \cdots, 1,0,1)$, $r(a \mid \theta)=r\left(b_{m} \mid \theta\right)=(1,1, \cdots, 1,0)$ or $r(a \mid$ $\theta)=r\left(b_{k} \mid \theta\right)=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots, h_{m+1}\right)$ with $h_{k}=0$ while $h_{i}=1$ for any $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, m+1\}-\{k\}$, respectively. A contradiction in any case.

Therefore $p d\left(K_{1, m}+\overline{K_{1, m}}\right)=m+2$.

## 6 Conclusion

This paper introduces the concept of the complementary join $G+\bar{G}$ of a graph $G$ and investigates some of its properties. Two related previously studied concepts are the complementary prism $G \bar{G}$ and its complement $\overline{G \bar{G}}$. These three graphs $H_{1}=G \bar{G}, H_{2}=$ $\overline{G \bar{G}}$ and $H=G+\bar{G}$ have in common that each of them consists of a copy of $G$ and a disjoint copy of $\bar{G}$ together with a set of edges joining $G$ and $\bar{G}$. The three sets of edges are $E_{1}=\left\{a_{i} b_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ for $H_{1}, E_{2}=\left\{a_{i} b_{j}: 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n, i \neq j\right\}$ for $H_{2}$ and $E=\left\{a_{i} b_{j}: 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq\right.$ $n\}=E_{1} \cup E_{2}$ for $H$. One can consider the more general case $H_{g}$ in which the edge set $E_{g}$ is taken to be any specific subset of $E$. Notice that $E_{1}$ is a matching in the complementary prism $H_{1}$ consisting of those edges joining a vertex from $G$ with its copy in $\bar{G}$. It seems to be interesting to study the special case $H_{\text {skew }}$ (let us call it the skewed complementary prism) of $H_{g}$ that generalizes the complementary prism $H_{1}$ in which $E_{\text {skew }}$ is taken to be an arbitrary perfect matching whose elements join the vertices of $G$ with the vertices of $\bar{G}$. Clearly, $E_{\text {skew }}$ corresponds to a permutation of $V(G)$ while $E_{1}$ of the complementary prism corresponds to the identity permutation of $V(G)$.
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