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#### Abstract

The Extended Exponentially Weighted Moving Average (Extended EWMA) control chart is one of the control charts that can rapidly detect a minor shift. Using the average run length (ARL), the control charts' effectiveness can be evaluated. This research aims to derive the explicit formulations for the ARL on one-sided and two-sided Extend EWMA control charts for the MA(1) model with exponential white noise, as they have not been previously presented. The analytical solution accuracy was determined and compared to the numerical integral equation (NIE) method. The results indicate that the ARL calculated using the explicit formula and the NIE method are nearly identical, demonstrating the validity of the explicit formula. In addition, this study is extended to compare the performance with the Exponentially Weighted Moving Average (EWMA) control chart. The results show that the Extended EWMA control chart has superior efficacy to the EWMA control chart. To demonstrate the efficacy of the proposed method, the analytical solution of ARL is finally applied to real-world data on Thailand's monthly fuel price.
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## 1 Introduction

Control charts have been utilized in numerous disciplines, including finance, economics, industry, health care, and medicine. A study, introduced the concept of the control chart in 1931, [1]. The Shewhart control chart detects significant shifts in the processes more effectively. Next, the Exponentially Weighted Moving Average (EWMA) control chart, [2], demonstrates that both methods are effective at detecting shift size. Numerous researchers have enhanced the EWMA control chart, making it effective at detecting small shifts rapidly for observations with both normal distribution and exponential distribution. The study, [3], proposed the Extended EWMA control chart, which is an effective performance control chart for detecting shift size in the monitored process. The performance of these control charts is also compared in terms of the average run length (ARL), [4]. It consists of two components: The $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}$ refers to the average run length when a process is in the control state. $\mathrm{ARL}_{1}$ is the expected number of observations taken from an out-of-
control process and should be as small as feasible. Previous research has demonstrated that the average run length (ARL) can be calculated using a variety of methods. The study, [5], proposed explicit formulas and numerical integral equations of ARL for the $\operatorname{SARX}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{r}) \mathrm{L}$ model based on the CUSUM chart. The study, [6], proposed the ARL of a multivariate EWMA by means of Monte Carlo simulation. The study, [7], created the effectiveness of the CUSUM control chart for trend stationary seasonal autocorrelated data. The study, [8], derived the explicit formula for the ARL on the EWMA trend exponential AR(1) process. The study, [9], derived the ARL for the Autoregressive Moving Average (ARMA) process using both the explicit formula and the NIE approach of the EWMA control chart. The study, [10], analyzed the ARL by applying the explicit formula on the EWMA control chart. The focus of the analysis was on a seasonal moving average model with exponential white noise, specifically considering an order q. Recently, [11], presented exact run length computation on the EWMA control chart for
stationary moving average process with exogenous variables. The study, [12], derived designing the performance of the EWMA control chart for the seasonal moving average process with exogenous variables.

However, the explicit formula for the ARL on one-sided and two-sided Extended EWMA control charts for the MA(1) has not previously been studied. This study's objective is to derive the explicit formula for the ARL on one-sided and twosided Extended EWMA for the MA(1). A comparison was made between the explicit formula and the NIE method for the ARL. In addition, the capability of explicit formulas for deriving the ARL on one-sided and two-sided Extended EWMA was contrasted with the EWMA control chart for both simulated and real-world data in the monthly fuel price in Thailand.

## 2 Materials and Methods

The study, [2], proposed the EWMA control chart, which can be detected variation in the process. The EWMA control chart is depicted below;

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{t}=(1-\lambda) Z_{t-1}+\lambda X_{t}, t=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{t}$ is a process with mean, $\lambda$ is exponential smoothing parameters with $0<\lambda \leq 1$ and $Z_{0}=u$ is constant representing the initial value of the EWMA control chart. The upper control limit (UCL) and lower control limit (LCL) are represented as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U C L / L C L=\mu_{0}+L \sigma \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2-\lambda}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{0}$ is the parameter the target mean of the moving average process, $\sigma$ is the process standard deviation parameter and $L$ is the control limit variable for the control limit of the moving average process.

The study, [3], proposed the Extended EWMA. The Extended EWMA statistic is.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{t}=\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) E_{t-1}+\lambda_{1} X_{t}-\lambda_{2} X_{t-1}, t=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are exponential smoothing parameters with $0 \leq \lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}<1$ and $E_{0}=u$ is the initial value of the Extended EWMA. The upper control limit (UCL) and lower control limit (LCL) are represented as follows
$U C L / L C L=\mu_{0} \pm L \sigma \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}-2 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)}{2\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{2}}}$,
where $\mu_{0}$ is the target mean parameter of the moving average process, $\sigma$ is the parameter of the process standard deviation and $L$ is the variable suitable for the control limit of the moving average process. The moving average (MA(1)) process can be characterized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\eta+\varepsilon_{t}-\theta_{1} \varepsilon_{t} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{t}$ is the parameter of the error term of time and presumed to be exponential white noise, $\eta$ is the parameter of a constant and $\theta_{1}$ is parameter of moving average coefficient with $-1 \leq \theta_{1} \leq 1$. A describes the probability density function of $\varepsilon_{t}$ is given by $f(x)=\frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\frac{x}{\alpha}}$.

## 3 The Explicit formulas of ARL on Extended EWMA Control Chart of MA(1) Process

### 3.1 The Exact Solution of ARL on one-sided and two-side Extended EWMA for MA(1) Process

Let $H(u)$ represent the ARL for the moving average (MA(1)) process. The Extended EWMA control chart has identical statistics. From equation (3) when t time equals one.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}=\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) E_{0}+\lambda_{1} \eta+\lambda_{1} \varepsilon_{1}-\lambda_{1} \theta_{1} X_{0}-\lambda_{2} X_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are exponential smoothing parameters with $0 \leq \lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}<1$ and $E_{0}=u$, $X_{0}=v$, is the initial value of the Extended EWMA.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}=\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) u+\lambda_{1} \eta-\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) v+\lambda_{1} \varepsilon_{1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The upper limit and lower limit are $\mathrm{LCL}=\mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{UCL}=\mathrm{b}$, respectively. For the Extended EWMA statistics $E_{t}$ in an in-control;

$$
a \leq E_{1} \leq b
$$

$H(u)$ denotes the ARL for the moving average (MA(1)) process as follows:

$$
A R L=H(u)=E_{\infty}(\tau) \geq T, E_{0}=u
$$

where $E_{\infty}$ is the expectation value.
Consider the following Fredholm integral equation of the second kind for the function
$H(u)=1+\int H\left(Z_{1}\right) f\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right) d \varepsilon_{1}:$
$H(u)=1+\int H\left(\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) u+\lambda_{1} \eta-\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) v+\lambda_{1} \varepsilon_{1}\right) f\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right) d \varepsilon_{1}$

Therefore, the function $H(u)$ is obtained as follows:
$H(u)=1+\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \int_{a}^{b} H(y) f\left(\frac{y-\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) u+\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) v}{\lambda_{1}}-\eta\right) d y$
(8)

If $\varepsilon_{t}=\operatorname{Exp}(\alpha), y_{t}=\operatorname{Exp}(\alpha)$ then $y=\frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\frac{y}{\alpha}}, y \geq 0$
$H(u)=1+\frac{1}{\lambda_{1} \alpha} \int_{a}^{b} e^{-\frac{y}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}} e^{\left(\frac{y-\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) u+\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) v}{\lambda_{1}}-\frac{\eta}{\alpha}\right)} d y$
Assume the function $G(u)$ to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(u)=e^{\frac{y-\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) u-\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) v}{\lambda_{1}}+\frac{\eta}{\alpha}} \\
& H(u)=1+\frac{1}{\lambda_{1} \alpha} \int_{a}^{b} H(y) e^{-\frac{y}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}} G(u) d y \\
& H(u)=1+\frac{G(u)}{\lambda_{1} \alpha} \int_{a}^{b} H(y) e^{-\frac{y}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}} d y, 0 \leq u \leq b
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
d=\int_{a}^{b} I \\
\frac{G(u)}{\lambda_{1} \alpha} d
\end{gathered}
$$

then
$H(u)=1+\frac{G(u)}{\lambda_{1} \alpha} d$
Thus $d=\int_{a}^{b}\left(1+\frac{G(y)}{\lambda_{1} \alpha} d\right) e^{-\frac{y}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}} d y$, solving a constant $d$,

$$
d=\int_{a}^{b} e^{-\frac{y}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}}+\int_{a}^{b} \frac{G(u)}{\lambda_{1} \alpha} d\left(e^{-\frac{y}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}}\right) d y
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{-\lambda_{1} \alpha\left(e^{-\frac{b}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}}-e^{-\frac{a}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}}\right)}{1+\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}} e^{\frac{-\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) v}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}+\frac{\eta}{\alpha}}\left(e^{\frac{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) b}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}}-e^{\frac{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) a}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}}\right)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $d$ and $G(u)$ are substituted in the function $H(u)$. Therefore, substituting $\alpha$ is equal $\alpha_{0}$ when the process is in control, the explicit formula of $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}$
for the two-sided Extended EWMA control chart yields the following formula;

$$
\begin{equation*}
A R L_{0}=1-\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) e^{\frac{\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) u}{\lambda_{1} \alpha_{0}}+\frac{n}{\alpha_{0}}} e^{\frac{-\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)}{\lambda_{1} \alpha_{0}}+\frac{n}{\alpha_{0}}}\left(e^{-\frac{b}{\lambda_{1} \alpha_{0}}}-e^{-\frac{a}{\lambda_{1} \alpha_{0}}}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) e^{\frac{\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)}{\lambda_{1} \alpha_{0}}-\frac{n}{\alpha_{0}}}+\left(e^{\frac{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) b}{\lambda_{1} \alpha_{0}}}-e^{\frac{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) a}{\lambda_{1} \alpha_{0}}}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, substituting $\alpha$ is equal $\alpha_{1}$ in $H(u)$, the explicit formula of $\mathrm{ARL}_{1}$ for the two-sided Extended EWMA control chart can be obtained in the following

In addition, the explicit formula of $\mathrm{ARL}_{1}$ for the one-sided Extended EWMA control chart can be obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A R L_{1}=1-\frac{\left.\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) e^{\frac{\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) u}{\lambda_{1} \alpha_{1}}+\frac{n}{\alpha_{0}}} e^{\frac{-\left(\lambda_{1} 1_{1} \beta_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{1}}+\frac{n}{\alpha_{1}}} e^{-\frac{b}{\lambda_{1} \alpha_{1}}}-1\right)}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) e^{\frac{\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)}{\lambda_{1} \alpha_{1}}-\frac{n}{\alpha_{1}}}+\left(e^{\frac{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) b}{\lambda_{1}}}-1\right)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 1.

The solution obtained by the ARL of the explicit formulas demonstrates the existence of a unique integral equation (NIE), as proven by Banach's fixed-point theorem. In this present study, let $T$ denote an operation within the set of all continuous functions that are defined by.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(H(u))=1+\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \int_{a}^{b} H(y) f\left(\frac{y-\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) u+\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) v}{\lambda_{1}}-\eta\right) d y \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Banach's fixed-point theorem, if an operator $T$ meets the condition of being a contraction, the fixed-point equation $T(H(u))=$ $H(u)$ has a unique solution, as stated. If equation (14) exists and has a unique solution, the Banach fixed-point theorem can be applied. The Banach fixed-point theorem also termed the contraction mapping theorem, appeared in explicit form in Banach's thesis in 1922, [13]. In general, it is employed to demonstrate the existence of a solution to an integral equation. Since then, due to its simplicity and utility, it has become a widely used instrument for solving existing problems in numerous mathematical disciplines, [14]. The specifics are listed below.

Assume that $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a contraction mapping with contraction constant $0 \leq s<1$, such that $\left\|T\left(L_{1}\right)-T\left(L_{2}\right)\right\| \leq s\left\|L_{1}-L_{2}\right\| \quad \forall L_{1}, L_{2} \in X$, satisfies this condition. Then by, [15], there exists a unique $L(.) \in X$ such that $T(L(u))=L(u)$ has a unique fixed point in $X$.
Proof Theorem 1: To demonstrate that $T$, as defined by the equation $T(H(u))$ is a contraction mapping for $H_{1}, H_{2} \in G[a, b]$ that $\left\|T\left(H_{1}\right)-T\left(H_{2}\right)\right\| \leq s\left\|H_{1}-H_{2}\right\|, \quad \forall H_{1}, H_{2} \in G[a, b]$. with $0 \leq s<1$ under the norm $\left\|H_{\infty}\right\| \leq \sup _{u \in[a, b]}\|H(u)\|$ From $H(u)$ and $T(H(u))$.
$\left\|T\left(H_{1}\right)-T\left(H_{2}\right)\right\|_{\infty}$
$=\sup _{u \in[a, b]}\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{1} \alpha} e^{\left(\frac{\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) u+\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) v}{\lambda_{1}}-\frac{\eta}{\alpha}\right.} \int_{a}^{b}\left(H_{1}(y)-H_{2}(y)\right) e^{-\frac{y}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}} d y\right|$
$\leq \sup _{u \in[a, b]}\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{1} \alpha} e^{\left(\frac{\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) u+\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) v}{\lambda_{1}}-\frac{\eta}{\alpha}\right.} \int_{a}^{b}\left(H_{1}(y)-H_{2}(y)\right) e^{-\frac{y}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}} d y\right|$
$=\left\|H_{1}-H_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \sup _{u \in[a, b]}\left|e^{\left.\frac{\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) u+\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) v}{\lambda_{1}}-\frac{\eta}{\alpha}\right)} \| 1-\left[e^{-\frac{b}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}}-e^{-\frac{a}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}}\right]\right|$
$\leq s\left\|H_{1}-H_{2}\right\|_{\infty}$
where
$s=\sup _{u \in[a, b]}\left|e^{\left(\frac{\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) u+\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) v}{\lambda_{1}}-\frac{\eta}{\alpha}\right)}\right|\left|1-\left[e^{-\frac{b}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}}-e^{-\frac{a}{\lambda_{1} \alpha}}\right]\right|$,
$0 \leq s<1$, The uniqueness of the solution is therefore ensured by Banach's fixed-point theorem.

### 3.2 The NIE method of ARL of Extended EWMA Control Chart of MA(1) Process

For the two-sided Extended EWMA control chart for the moving average (MA(1)) process. Let $H_{N}(u)$ be the estimated value of the ARL with the $m$ linear equation systems by using the composite midpoint quadrature rule by, [16].

The ARL approximating NIE method on a twosided Extended EWMA is evaluated as follows;

$$
\int_{a}^{b} L(k) f(k) d k \approx \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j} f\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

The system of the $m$ linear equation is shown as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{m \times 1}=1_{m \times 1}+R_{m \times m} L_{m \times 1} \text { or } L_{m \times 1}=\left(I_{m}-R_{m \times m}\right)^{-1} 1_{m \times 1} \\
& L_{m \times 1}=\left[L_{N I E}\left(x_{1}\right), L_{N I E}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, L_{N I E}\left(x_{m}\right)\right]^{T}, \\
& I_{m}=\operatorname{diag}(1,1, \ldots, 1) \text { and } 1_{m \times 1}=[1,1, \ldots, 1]^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $R_{m \times m}$ be a matrix, the definition of the $m$ to $m^{\text {th }}$ element of the matrix $R$ is given by

$$
\left[R_{i j}\right] \approx \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} w_{j} f\left(\frac{y_{j}-\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) u+\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) v}{\lambda_{1}}-\eta\right)
$$

So, the solution of the numerical integral equation can be explained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{N}(u)=1+\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j} f\left(\frac{y_{j}-\left(1-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) u+\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) v}{\lambda_{1}}-\eta\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{j}$ is a set of the division point on the interval $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ as $y_{j}=\left(j-\frac{1}{2}\right) w_{j}+a, j=1,2, \ldots, m . w_{j} \quad$ is a weight of composite midpoint formula $w_{j}=\frac{b-a}{m}$.

## 4 Numerical Results

The relative mean index (RMI), [17], is used to test the performance of a two-sided Extended EWMA on varying bound control limits $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ and the comparative performance of the ARL under various $\lambda$ conditions. The RMI can be computed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R M I=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{A R L_{i}(c)-A R L_{i}(s)}{A R L_{i}(s)}\right], \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A R L_{i}(c)$ is the ARL of row $i$ on the tested control chart, $A R L_{i}(s)$ is the lowest ARL of row $i$ from all the control charts such that a control chart is more effective if the RMI value is lowest, indicating that the control chart had the best performance at change detection.

The ARL was approximated by the NIE method using the composite midpoint rule on the Extended EWMA with 1,000 nodes. The absolute percentage difference to assess the veracity of ARL. When $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=370, \quad \eta=0.5, \lambda_{1}=0.05,0.10, \lambda_{2}=$ $0.01, \mathrm{v}=1, \theta_{1}=0.10,-0.10,0.20,-0.20$ and then the initial parameter value was studied at $\alpha_{0}=1$. The out-of-control process $\alpha_{1}=(1+\delta) \alpha_{0}$ is computed by determining shift $\operatorname{size}(\delta)$ to be 0.01 , $0.02,0.03,0.05,0.100,0.20,0.30,0.50,1.00,2.00$ and 3.00. The upper control limit of one-sided and two-sided Extended EWMA and EWMA control charts are obtained in Table 1 (Appendix). The results of ARL for the one-sided and two-sided Extended EWMA using an explicit formula with NIE are compared in Tables 2 (Appendix) and

Table 3 (Appendix). Both ARL procedures yield equivalent results.

Besides Table 4 (Appendix) and Table 5 (Appendix), the performance comparisons between the Extended EWMA control chart and the EWMA control chart when $\mathrm{a}=0.0001$ and $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=370$ are presented. The $A R L_{1}$ value of the Extended EWMA control chart is lower than the EWMA control chart at all shift sizes. Moreover, it was found that the Extended EWMA control chart had the best performance because it gave the lowest RMI. Therefore, it also can be concluded that the Extended EWMA control chart performs better than the EWMA and control chart.

## 5 Application to Real-world Data

The ARL was constructed using explicit formulas on one-sided and two-sided Extended EWMA control chart with $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=370$ for $\lambda_{1}=0.05,0.10$, and $\lambda_{2}=0.01$, and its performance was compared with the EWMA control chart using real-world data on the monthly fuel price, Thailand between January 2019 and May 2023. Based on the autocorrelation function (ACF) and partial autocorrelation function (PACF), this data represents a stationary time series. The moving average $(\mathrm{MA}(1))$ process was obtained as $X_{t}=\varepsilon_{t}+0.901 X_{t-1}$ and $\varepsilon_{t} \square \operatorname{Exp}(2.1262)$.

In Table 6 (Appendix), the upper control limits for one-sided and two-sided Extended EWMA control charts are obtained. In addition, the ARL of the Extended EWMA control chart is evaluated and compared with the EWMA control chart. The ARL comparison of the one-sided and two-sided Extended EWMA control chart for MA(1) using NIE against the EWMA control chart is presented in Table 7 (Appendix). The results found that onesided and two-sided Extended EWMA control charts outperform the EWMA control chart with small shift sizes detection as shown in Figure 1 (Appendix) and Figure 2 (Appendix). For the various $\lambda_{1}$ values, the performance of control charts performs better when $\lambda_{1}$ decreased.

## 6 Conclusions

In this specific study, when $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=370, \lambda_{1}=0.05$, $0.10, \lambda_{2}=0.01$. The ARL was used to evaluate the efficacy of control charts. Using the numerical integral equation (NIE) method, the explicit
formula is compared. Consequently, both methods demonstrate that the ARL values are near, but the explicit formula method can be calculated in less time. The Extended EWMA control chart with various $\lambda_{1}$ outperformed the EWMA control chart for the moving average (MA(1)) procedure in terms of performance. When considering the comparative efficacy of the ARL under different smoothing parameters, a smoothing parameter with a value of 0.05 is recommended. Eventually, the simulation studies and the performance illustration with realworld datasets using data on the monthly fuel price yielded the same outcomes. Future research could also evaluate the optimal parameters for MA(1) processes when comparing the performance of the Extended EWMA control chart with other control charts. In addition, it is possible to develop formulas for ARL values on the Extended EWMA control chart to construct new control charts or other interesting models.
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## APPENDIX

Table 1. Upper control limit of the Extended EWMA and the EWMA control charts for MA(1) when $\eta=0.5$,

| $\lambda_{1}$ | $\theta_{1}$ | $\lambda_{2}=0.01$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | One-sided |  | Two-sided |  |
|  |  | Extended EWMA | EWMA | Extended EWMA | EWMA |
|  |  | b | h | b | h |
| 0.0 | 0.1 | $6.930 \times 10^{-8}$ | $0.937 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.000695 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.000375 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $5^{0.0}$ | -0.1 | $5.680 \times 10^{-8}$ | $0.767 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.000569 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.000307 \times 10^{-4}$ |
|  | 0.2 | $7.660 \times 10^{-8}$ | $1.036 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.000768 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.000415 \times 10^{-4}$ |
|  | -0.2 | $5.140 \times 10^{-8}$ | $0.694 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.000515 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.000278 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.1 | 0.1 | $2.980 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.459 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.080 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.120 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 0 | -0.1 | $2.430 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.637 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.531 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.380 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|  | 0.2 | $3.300 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.940 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.400 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.560 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|  | -0.2 | $2.200 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.285 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.300 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.068 \times 10^{-3}$ |

Table 2. ARL comparison of one-sided Extended EWMA control chart for MA(1) using explicit formulas against NIE method when $\eta=0.5, v=1, \alpha_{0}=1$ for $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=370$

| $\lambda_{2}=0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{1}$ | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift Size | Explicit | NIE | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift Size | Explicit | NIE |
|  |  | 0.00 | 370.04370 | 370.04370 |  | 0.00 | 370.09883 | 370.09883 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 302.53400 | 302.53400 |  | 0.01 | 302.87861 | 302.87861 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 248.32853 | 248.32853 |  | 0.02 | 248.85166 | 248.85166 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 204.63007 | 204.63007 |  | 0.03 | 205.25510 | 205.25510 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 140.53460 | 140.53460 |  | 0.05 | 141.22196 | 141.22196 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 58.51039 | 58.51039 |  | 0.10 | 59.04442 | 59.04442 |
| 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 13.12485 | 13.12485 | 0.2 | 0.20 | 13.33050 | 13.33050 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 4.22723 | 4.22723 |  | 0.30 | 4.30307 | 4.30307 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 1.38243 | 1.38243 |  | 0.50 | 1.39545 | 1.39545 |
|  |  | 1.00 | 1.01131 | 1.01131 |  | 1.00 | 1.01189 | 1.01189 |
|  |  | 2.00 | 1.00030 | 1.00030 |  | 2.00 | 1.00032 | 1.00032 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.00004 | 1.00004 |  | 3.00 | 1.00005 | 1.00005 |
|  |  | 0.00 | 370.44574 | 370.44574 |  | 0.00 | 370.48339 | 370.48339 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 302.26616 | 302.26616 |  | 0.01 | 301.99870 | 301.99870 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 247.62957 | 247.62957 |  | 0.02 | 247.17154 | 247.17154 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 203.66842 | 203.66842 |  | 0.03 | 203.09957 | 203.09957 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 139.36295 | 139.36295 |  | 0.05 | 138.71968 | 138.71968 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 57.53587 | 57.53587 |  | 0.10 | 57.02995 | 57.02995 |
| 0.05 | -0.1 | 0.20 | 12.74016 | 12.74016 | -0.2 | 0.20 | 12.54729 | 12.54729 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 4.08503 | 4.08503 |  | 0.30 | 4.01496 | 4.01496 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 1.35815 | 1.35815 |  | 0.50 | 1.34645 | 1.34645 |
|  |  | 1.00 | 1.01024 | 1.01024 |  | 1.00 | 1.00974 | 1.00974 |
|  |  | 2.00 | 1.00026 | 1.00026 |  | 2.00 | 1.00024 | 1.00024 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.00004 | 1.00004 |  | 3.00 | 1.00004 | 1.00004 |
|  |  | 0.00 | 370.77370 | 370.77370 |  | 0.00 | 370.95318 | 370.95318 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 334.44627 | 334.44627 |  | 0.01 | 334.95718 | 334.95718 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 302.26970 | 302.26970 |  | 0.02 | 303.04052 | 303.04052 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 273.70977 | 273.70977 |  | 0.03 | 274.68198 | 274.68198 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 225.67062 | 225.67062 |  | 0.05 | 226.91094 | 226.91094 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 143.53268 | 143.53268 |  | 0.10 | 144.97215 | 144.97215 |
| 0.10 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 64.92709 | 64.92709 | 0.2 | 0.20 | 66.08522 | 66.08522 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 33.23285 | 33.23285 |  | 0.30 | 34.03565 | 34.03565 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 11.61831 | 11.61831 |  | 0.50 | 11.99830 | 11.99830 |
|  |  | 1.00 | 2.65469 | 2.65469 |  | 1.00 | 2.74326 | 2.74326 |
|  |  | 2.00 | 1.22936 | 1.22936 |  | 2.00 | 1.24573 | 1.24573 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.07846 | 1.07846 |  | 3.00 | 1.08476 | 1.08476 |


| $\lambda_{2}=0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{1}$ | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift <br> Size | Explicit | NIE | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift Size | Explicit | NIE |
|  |  | 0.00 | 370.23698 | 370.23698 |  | 0.00 | 370.87986 | 370.87986 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 333.26829 | 333.26829 |  | 0.01 | 333.49996 | 333.49996 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 300.59257 | 300.59257 |  | 0.02 | 300.49560 | 300.49560 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 271.64938 | 271.64938 |  | 0.03 | 271.29140 | 271.29140 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 223.10914 | 223.10914 |  | 0.05 | 222.38565 | 222.38565 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 140.63698 | 140.63698 |  | 0.10 | 139.55407 | 139.55407 |
| 0.10 | -0.1 | 0.20 | 62.64928 | 62.64928 | -0.2 | 0.20 | 61.69210 | 61.69210 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 31.67539 | 31.67539 |  | 0.30 | 31.00027 | 31.00027 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 10.89489 | 10.89489 |  | 0.50 | 10.57612 | 10.57612 |
|  |  | 1.00 | 2.49066 | 2.49066 |  | 1.00 | 2.41850 | 2.41850 |
|  |  | 2.00 | 1.19982 | 1.19982 |  | 2.00 | 1.18700 | 1.18700 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.06723 | 1.06723 |  | 3.00 | 1.06239 | 1.06239 |

Table 3. ARL comparison of two-sided Extended EWMA control chart for MA(1) using explicit formulas against NIE method when $\eta=0.5, v=1, \mathrm{a}=0.0001, \alpha_{0}=1$ for $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=370$

| $\lambda_{2}=0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{1}$ | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift <br> Size | Explicit | NIE | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift <br> Size | Explicit | NIE |
|  |  | 0.00 | 370.36828 | 370.36828 |  | 0.00 | 370.32315 | 370.32315 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 302.80600 | 302.80600 |  | 0.01 | 303.06806 | 303.06806 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 248.55644 | 248.55644 |  | 0.02 | 249.01202 | 249.01202 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 204.82159 | 204.82159 |  | 0.03 | 205.39114 | 205.39114 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 140.67100 | 140.67100 |  | 0.05 | 141.32054 | 141.32054 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 58.57160 | 58.57160 |  | 0.10 | 59.09026 | 59.09026 |
| 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 13.13959 | 13.13959 | 0.2 | 0.20 | 13.34211 | 13.34211 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 4.23157 | 4.23157 |  | 0.30 | 4.30661 | 4.30661 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 1.38302 | 1.38302 |  | 0.50 | 1.39595 | 1.39595 |
|  |  | 1.00 | 1.01133 | 1.01133 |  | 1.00 | 1.01191 | 1.01191 |
|  |  | 2.00 | 1.00030 | 1.00030 |  | 2.00 | 1.00032 | 1.00032 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.00004 | 1.00004 |  | 3.00 | 1.00005 | 1.00005 |
|  |  | 0.00 | 370.35672 | 370.35672 |  | 0.00 | 370.46257 | 370.46257 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 302.19954 | 302.19954 |  | 0.01 | 301.98769 | 301.98769 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 247.57981 | 247.57981 |  | 0.02 | 247.16732 | 247.16732 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 203.63139 | 203.63139 |  | 0.03 | 203.09996 | 203.09996 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 139.34279 | 139.34279 |  | 0.05 | 138.72503 | 138.72503 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 57.53253 | 57.53253 |  | 0.10 | 57.03698 | 57.03698 |
| 0.05 | -0.1 | 0.20 | 12.74124 | 12.74124 | -0.2 | 0.20 | 12.55049 | 12.55049 |
|  |  | 0.30 | $4.08571$ | $4.08571$ |  | 0.30 | 4.01618 | 4.01618 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 1.35831 | 1.35831 |  | 0.50 | 1.34666 | 1.34666 |
|  |  | 1.00 | 1.01025 | 1.01025 |  | 1.00 | 1.00975 | 1.00975 |
|  |  | 2.00 | 1.00026 | 1.00026 |  | 2.00 | 1.00024 | 1.00024 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.00004 | 1.00004 |  | 3.00 | 1.00004 | 1.00004 |
|  |  | 0.00 | 370.40272 | 370.40272 |  | 0.00 | 370.58201 | 370.58201 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 334.11506 | 334.11506 |  | 0.01 | 334.62547 | 334.62547 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 301.97340 | 301.97340 |  | 0.02 | 302.74346 | 302.74346 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 273.44417 | 273.44417 |  | 0.03 | 274.41544 | 274.41544 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 225.45600 | 225.45600 |  | 0.05 | 226.69512 | 226.69512 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 143.40273 | 143.40273 |  | 0.10 | 144.84089 | 144.84089 |
| 0.10 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 64.87368 | 64.87368 | 0.2 | 0.20 | 66.03085 | 66.03085 |
|  |  | $0.30$ | $33.20800$ | $33.20800$ |  | 0.30 | $34.01018$ | $34.01018$ |
|  |  | 0.50 | $11.61122$ | $11.61122$ |  | 0.50 | 11.99096 | 11.99096 |
|  |  | 1.00 | 2.65387 | 2.65387 |  | 1.00 | 2.74239 | 2.74239 |
|  |  | 2.00 | 1.22929 | 1.22929 |  | 2.00 | 1.24565 | 1.24565 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.07844 | 1.07844 |  | 3.00 | 1.08474 | 1.08474 |
|  |  | 0.00 | 370.02283 | 370.02283 |  | 0.00 | 370.50878 | 370.50878 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 333.07880 | 333.07880 |  | 0.01 | 333.16970 | 333.16970 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 300.42461 | 300.42461 |  | 0.02 | 300.20105 | 300.20105 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 271.50019 | 271.50019 |  | 0.03 | 271.02817 | 271.02817 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 222.99076 | 222.99076 |  | 0.05 | 222.17418 | 222.17418 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 140.56852 | 140.56852 |  | 0.10 | 139.42776 | 139.42776 |


|  |  | $\lambda_{2}=0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{1}$ | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift | Explicit | NIE | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift <br> Size | Explicit | NIE |
| 0.10 | -0.1 | 0.20 | 62.62368 | 62.62368 | -0.2 | 0.20 | 61.64139 | 61.64139 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 31.66460 | 31.66460 |  | 0.30 | 30.97715 | 30.97715 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 10.89241 | 10.89241 |  | 0.50 | 10.56973 | 10.56973 |
|  | 1.00 | 2.49053 | 2.49053 |  | 1.00 | 2.41779 | 2.41779 |  |
|  |  | 2.00 | 1.19984 | 1.19984 |  | 2.00 | 1.18693 | 1.18693 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.06724 | 1.06724 |  | 3.00 | 1.06237 | 1.06237 |

Table 4. ARL comparison of the one-sided Extended EWMA for MA(1) against EWMA control charts when

| $\lambda_{2}=0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{1}$ | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift Size | Extended EWMA | EWMA | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift <br> Size | Extended EWMA | EWMA |
| 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 370.04370 | 370.65340 | 0.2 | 0.00 | 370.09883 | 370.81573 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 302.53400 | 303.93145 |  | 0.01 | 302.87861 | 304.36470 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 248.32853 | 250.19939 |  | 0.02 | 248.85166 | 250.79815 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 204.63007 | 206.75709 |  | 0.03 | 205.25510 | 207.44788 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 140.53460 | 142.77649 |  | 0.05 | 141.22196 | 143.51578 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 58.51039 | 60.19825 |  | 0.10 | 59.04442 | 60.76510 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 13.12485 | 13.76760 |  | 0.20 | 13.33050 | 13.98788 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 4.22723 | 4.46429 |  | 0.30 | 4.30307 | 4.54673 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 1.38243 | 1.42335 |  | 0.50 | 1.39545 | 1.43789 |
|  |  | 1.00 | 1.01131 | 1.01316 |  | 1.00 | 1.01189 | 1.01384 |
|  |  | 2.00 | 1.00030 | 1.00036 |  | 2.00 | 1.00032 | 1.00039 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.00004 | 1.00006 |  | 3.00 | 1.00005 | 1.00006 |
|  |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0179 |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0188 |
| 0.05 | -0.1 | 0.00 | 370.44574 | 370.58084 | -0.2 | 0.00 | 370.48339 | 370.57538 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 302.26616 | 303.27284 |  | 0.01 | 301.99870 | 302.96924 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 247.62957 | 249.17533 |  | 0.02 | 247.17154 | 248.68553 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 203.66842 | 205.52183 |  | 0.03 | 203.09957 | 204.92400 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 139.36295 | 141.40508 |  | 0.05 | 138.71968 | 140.73600 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 57.53587 | 59.12026 |  | 0.10 | 57.02995 | 58.59340 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 12.74016 | 13.34660 |  | 0.20 | 12.54729 | 13.14235 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 4.08503 | 4.30739 |  | 0.30 | 4.01496 | 4.23189 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 1.35815 | 1.39597 |  | 0.50 | 1.34645 | 1.38298 |
|  |  | 1.00 | $1.01024$ | 1.01191 |  | 1.00 | 1.00974 | 1.01132 |
|  |  | 2.00 | 1.00026 | 1.00032 |  | 2.00 | 1.00024 | 1.00030 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.00004 | 1.00005 |  | 3.00 | 1.00004 | 1.00004 |
|  |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0169 |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0173 |
| 0.10 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 370.77370 | 370.92612 | 0.2 | 0.00 | 370.92318 | 370.98449 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 334.44627 | 335.97188 |  | 0.01 | 334.95718 | 336.37964 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 302.26970 | 304.88166 |  | 0.02 | 303.04052 | 305.56730 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 273.70977 | 277.17251 |  | 0.03 | 274.68198 | 278.07707 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 225.67062 | 230.28954 |  | 0.05 | 226.91094 | 231.49471 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 143.53268 | 149.11246 |  | 0.10 | 144.97215 | 150.57828 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 64.92709 | 69.55004 |  | 0.20 | 66.08522 | 70.78597 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 33.23285 | 36.48927 |  | 0.30 | 34.03565 | 37.37372 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 11.61831 | 13.19227 |  | 0.50 | 11.99830 | 13.63078 |
|  |  | 1.00 | 2.65469 | 3.03257 |  | 1.00 | 2.74326 | 3.14221 |
|  |  | 2.00 | $1.22936$ | 1.30114 |  | 2.00 | 1.24573 | 1.32280 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.07846 | 1.10646 |  | 3.00 | 1.08476 | 1.11508 |
|  |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0512 |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0568 |
| 0.10 | -0.1 | 0.00 | 370.23698 | 370.98535 | -0.2 | 0.00 | 370.87986 | 370.94168 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 333.26829 | 335.31919 |  | 0.01 | 333.49996 | 334.92821 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 300.59257 | 303.66321 |  | 0.02 | 300.49560 | 302.99791 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 271.64938 | 275.50862 |  | 0.03 | 271.29140 | 274.62886 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 223.10914 | 228.01420 |  | 0.05 | 222.38565 | 226.84395 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 140.63698 | 146.30356 |  | 0.10 | 139.55407 | 144.89545 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 62.64928 | 67.18474 |  | 0.20 | 61.69210 | 66.02437 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 31.67539 | 34.80778 |  | 0.30 | 31.00027 | 33.99388 |


|  |  | $\lambda_{2}=0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\lambda_{1}$ | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift | Extended | EWMA | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift | Extended <br> Size | EWMA |
|  |  | Size | EWMA |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.50 | 10.89489 | 12.36960 |  | 0.50 | 10.57612 | 11.97882 |  |
|  | 1.00 | 2.49066 | 2.83164 |  | 1.00 | 2.41850 | 2.73882 |  |
|  | 2.00 | 1.19982 | 1.26237 |  | 2.00 | 1.18700 | 1.24493 |  |
|  | 3.00 | 1.06723 | 1.09122 |  | 3.00 | 1.06239 | 1.08445 |  |
|  |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0508 |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0532 |

Table 5. ARL comparison of the two-sided Extended EWMA for MA(1) against EWMA control charts when

| $\lambda_{2}=0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{1}$ | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift <br> Size | Extended EWMA | EWMA | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift <br> Size | Extended EWMA | EWMA |
| 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 370.36828 | 370.41143 | 0.2 | 0.00 | 370.32315 | 370.61097 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 302.80600 | 303.49330 |  | 0.01 | 303.06806 | 304.20274 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 248.55644 | 249.84379 |  | 0.02 | 249.01202 | 250.66964 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 204.82159 | 206.46739 |  | 0.03 | 205.39114 | 207.34560 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 140.67100 | 142.58211 |  | 0.05 | 141.32054 | 143.45045 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 58.57160 | 60.12220 |  | 0.10 | 59.09026 | 60.74287 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 13.13959 | 13.75313 |  | 0.20 | 13.34211 | 13.98502 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 4.23157 | 4.46081 |  | 0.30 | 4.30661 | 4.54640 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 1.38302 | 1.42301 |  | 0.50 | 1.39595 | 1.43794 |
|  |  | 1.00 | 1.01133 | 1.01315 |  | 1.00 | 1.01191 | 1.01385 |
|  |  | 2.00 | 1.00030 | 1.00036 |  | 2.00 | 1.00032 | 1.00039 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.00004 | 1.00006 |  | 3.00 | 1.00005 | 1.00006 |
|  |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0170 |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0180 |
| 0.05 | -0.1 | 0.00 | 370.35672 | 370.80256 | -0.2 | 0.00 | 370.46257 | 370.46812 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 302.19954 | 302.87129 |  | 0.01 | 301.98769 | 302.80588 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 247.57981 | 248.85046 |  | 0.02 | 247.16732 | 248.55634 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 203.63139 | 205.25800 |  | 0.03 | 203.09996 | 204.82151 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 139.34279 | 141.22914 |  | 0.05 | 138.72503 | 140.67095 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 57.53253 | 59.05243 |  | 0.10 | 57.03698 | 58.57158 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 12.74124 | 13.33407 |  | 0.20 | 12.55049 | 13.13959 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 4.08571 | 4.30445 |  | 0.30 | 4.01618 | 4.23157 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 1.35831 | 1.39569 |  | 0.50 | 1.34666 | 1.38302 |
|  |  | 1.00 | 1.01025 | 1.01190 |  | 1.00 | 1.00975 | 1.01133 |
|  |  | 2.00 | 1.00026 | 1.00032 |  | 2.00 | 1.00024 | 1.00030 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.00004 | 1.00005 |  | 3.00 | 1.00004 | 1.00004 |
|  |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0168 |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0170 |
| 0.10 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 370.40272 | 370.49889 | 0.2 | 0.00 | 370.58201 | 370.97754 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 334.11506 | 335.41433 |  | 0.01 | 334.62547 | 336.38138 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 301.97340 | 304.38512 |  | 0.02 | 302.74346 | 305.57609 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 273.44417 | 276.72952 |  | 0.03 | 274.41544 | 278.09154 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 225.45600 | 229.93514 |  | 0.05 | 226.69512 | 231.51728 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 143.40273 | 148.90387 |  | 0.10 | 144.84089 | 150.60922 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 64.87368 | 69.47016 |  | 0.20 | 66.03085 | 70.81417 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 33.20800 | 36.45530 |  | 0.30 | 34.01018 | 37.39474 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 11.61122 | 13.18472 |  | 0.50 | 11.99096 | 13.64174 |
|  |  | 1.00 | 2.65387 | 3.03245 |  | 1.00 | 2.74239 | 3.14515 |
|  |  | 2.00 | 1.22929 | 1.30129 |  | 2.00 | 1.24565 | 1.32342 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.07844 | 1.10655 |  | 3.00 | 1.08474 | 1.11533 |
|  |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0558 |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0578 |
| 0.10 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 370.02283 | 370.33961 | -0.2 | 0.00 | 370.50878 | 370.93295 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 333.07880 | 334.75044 |  | 0.01 | 333.16970 | 334.93694 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 300.42461 | 303.16136 |  | 0.02 | 300.20105 | 303.02046 |
|  |  | 0.03 | 271.50019 | 275.06501 |  | 0.03 | 271.02817 | 274.66225 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 222.99076 | 227.66584 |  | 0.05 | 222.17418 | 226.89214 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 140.56852 | 146.10801 |  | 0.10 | 139.42776 | 144.95643 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 62.62368 | 67.11709 |  | 0.20 | 61.64139 | 66.07514 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 31.66460 | 34.78228 |  | 0.30 | 30.97715 | 34.02925 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 10.89241 | 13.36576 |  | 0.50 | 10.56973 | 11.99548 |


| $\lambda_{2}=0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{1}$ | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift Size | Extended EWMA | EWMA | $\theta_{1}$ | Shift Size | Extended <br> EWMA | EWMA |
|  |  | 1.00 | 2.49053 | 2.83221 |  | 1.00 | 2.41779 | 2.74263 |
|  |  | 2.00 | 1.19984 | 1.26261 |  | 2.00 | 1.18693 | 1.24561 |
|  |  | 3.00 | 1.06724 | 1.09133 |  | 3.00 | 1.06237 | 1.08471 |
|  |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0634 |  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0545 |

Table 6. Upper control limit of the Extended EWMA and the EWMA control charts for real-world data when

| $\lambda_{1}$ | $\lambda_{2}=0.01$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One-sided |  | Two-sided |  |
|  | Extended EWMA | EWMA | Extended EWMA | EWMA |
|  | b | h | b | h |
| 0.05 | 0.004441 | 0.004361 | 0.004545 | 0.001882 |
| 0.10 | 0.187616 | 0.188629 | 0.187750 | 0.167300 |

Table 7. ARL comparison of one-sided and two-sided Extended EWMA control chart for MA(1) using NIE against EWMA control chart when $\mathrm{a}=0.0001, \alpha_{0}=2.1262, \theta_{1}=-0.901$ for $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=370$

| $\lambda_{2}=0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{1}$ | Shift Size | One-sided |  | Two-sided |  |
|  |  | Extended EWMA | EWMA | Extended EWMA | EWMA |
| 0.05 | 0.00 | 370.06271 | 370.96000 | 370.05527 | 370.82145 |
|  | 0.01 | 334.90280 | 335.64149 | 334.89911 | 335.59720 |
|  | 0.02 | 303.79616 | 304.40145 | 303.79551 | 304.43282 |
|  | 0.03 | 275.95855 | 276.45050 | 275.96037 | 276.54283 |
|  | 0.05 | 229.01656 | 229.33199 | 229.02194 | 229.51081 |
|  | 0.10 | 147.86130 | 147.92559 | 147.87061 | 148.19377 |
|  | 0.20 | 68.54703 | 68.62827 | 68.63727 | 68.79191 |
|  | 0.30 | 35.76452 | 35.85421 | 35.86091 | 35.94273 |
|  | 0.50 | 12.83886 | 12.89523 | 12.89863 | 12.92745 |
|  | 1.00 | 2.94598 | 2.96204 | 2.96290 | 2.96778 |
|  | 2.00 | 1.28438 | 1.28771 | 1.28788 | 1.28859 |
|  | 3.00 | 1.09987 | 1.10120 | 1.10127 | 1.10152 |
|  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0023 | 0.0000 | 0.0018 |
| 0.10 | 0.00 | 370.00318 | 370.99628 | 370.23098 | 370.36147 |
|  | 0.01 | 328.30959 | 328.37464 | 328.55482 | 329.32774 |
|  | 0.02 | 292.81872 | 294.47922 | 293.71633 | 297.89702 |
|  | 0.03 | 262.67743 | 263.88177 | 263.99881 | 265.43359 |
|  | 0.05 | 214.90319 | 216.56967 | 216.64873 | 218.30872 |
|  | 0.10 | 139.59408 | 141.35100 | 141.38453 | 142.94413 |
|  | 0.20 | 71.39976 | 72.56040 | 72.56882 | 73.54454 |
|  | 0.30 | 42.63037 | 43.35296 | 43.35576 | 43.94908 |
|  | 0.50 | 19.86358 | 20.17653 | 20.17712 | 20.42483 |
|  | 1.00 | 6.45577 | 6.52486 | 6.52504 | 6.57412 |
|  | 2.00 | 2.51113 | 2.52208 | 2.52218 | 2.52733 |
|  | 3.00 | 1.74422 | 1.74738 | 1.74745 | 1.74767 |
|  | RMI | 0.0000 | 0.0088 | 0.0000 | 0.0082 |



Fig. 1: Real-world data of the ARL values on one-sided Extended EWMA and the EWMA control charts for $\mathrm{MA}(1)$ with $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=370$; (a) $\lambda_{1}=0.05$ and (b) $\lambda_{1}=0.10$


Fig. 2: Real-world data of the ARL values on two-sided Extended EWMA and the EWMA control charts for $\mathrm{MA}(1)$ with $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=370$; (a) $\lambda_{1}=0.05$ and (b) $\lambda_{1}=0.10$
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