

On Some New Uncertain Spaces using Generalized Difference Operator

DOWLATH FATHIMA
 Basic Science Department,
 College of Science and Theoretical Studies,
 Saudi Electronic University,
 Riyadh,
 KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA

Abstract: - It was C. You, who has given the concept of uncertainty theory in 2009. The scenario of this paper is to define a new notion of spaces using generalized Δ - operator and the uncertainty theory. Also, certain basic structures will be given. Moreover, inclusion relations and their counter examples will be given.

Key-Words: - Δ -operator; uncertain variable; convergence

Received: January 15, 2023. Revised: July 16, 2023. Accepted: August 11, 2023. Published: September 13, 2023.

1 Introduction

Uncertainty theory was introduced in [1] and many researchers have shown wings in this area of study. The random event is given by probability measure. It was Zadeh, [2], who introduced possibility Fuzzy measure. In, [3], the authors have introduced a self-dual measure and the measure of credibility and in, [4], the axiomatic structure for credibility theory have been determined and can be seen in, [5]. In order to analyze the concept that fuzziness and randomness simultaneously in system, a fuzzy random variable have been given in, [6]. In, [7], the results of convergence were given. Further, in, [8], the notion of chance measure was established. The various applications of these structures have been given in, [9], [10].

For a non-void set \mathcal{U} , and a σ -algebra L over \mathcal{U} , we call each entry $v \in L$ as an event. The uncertain measure has been well structured as a set function S which satisfies the following axioms:

- $M\{\mathcal{U}\} = 1$ (normality).
- $M\{v_1\} \leq M\{v_2\}$ whenever $v_1 \leq v_2$ (monotonicity).
- $M\{v_r\} + M\{v_1^c\} = 1$ for any v_1 (self-duality).
- For every countable sequence of events $\{v_r\}$ gives

$$M\{\cup_{r=1}^{\infty} v_r\} \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} M\{v_r\}$$

(Countable subadditivity).

Using, [11], we have following definitions:

For uncertain variable ζ , the map $\psi(\varrho)$ is said to be uncertainty distribution if
 $\psi(\varrho) = M\{\tau \in \mathcal{U} | \zeta(\tau) \leq \varrho\}$

An uncertain sequence $\{\varrho_r\}$ is said to converge almost surely (a.s.) to ϱ if we can find an event v having $M(v) = 1$ in such a way that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \| \varrho_r(w) - \varrho(w) \| = 0 \text{ for all } w \in v.$$

An uncertain sequence $\{\zeta_j\}$ is called as convergent in measure to ζ if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} M\{\| \zeta_j(\eta) - \zeta(\eta) \| \geq \varepsilon\} = 0, \varepsilon > 0$$

A sequence $\{\zeta_j\}$ is said to be convergence in average to ζ if

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} E[| \zeta_j(\eta) - \zeta(\eta) |] = 0.$$

For uncertain variable $\vartheta, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \dots$ having respectively the expected values as $\zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots$. Then, $\{\zeta_j\}$ is said to be convergence in distribution to ζ if corresponding to any continuous point ϑ , we have $\vartheta_n \rightarrow \vartheta$.

For a sequence $\vartheta = (\eta_r)$ of whole numbers having $\eta_0 = 0, 0 < \eta_r < \eta_{r+1}$ and $h_r = \eta_r - \eta_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$, we call ϑ to be as lacunary sequence as can be seen in, [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], and many others.

Space \mathcal{E} as normal (or solid) if $(\zeta_j) \in \mathcal{E}$ yields $(\beta_j v_j) \in \mathcal{E}$ for each scalar sequence (β_j) having $|\beta_j| \leq 1 \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ as in, [17], [18], [19], and many others.

Lemma 1.1. *Every solid sequence space is monotone.*

In, [20], we have following for $T \in \{\ell_\infty, c, C_0\}$: $T(\Delta) = \{v = (v_i) \in \Lambda : (\Delta v_i) \in T\}$, where and $\Delta v_i = v_i - v_{i-1}$.

Also, in, [21], we have following for integer $s \geq 0$:

$$\Delta^s(T) = \{v = (v_k) : (\Delta^s v) \in T\},$$

with $\Delta^s v_r = \Delta^{s-1} v_r - \Delta^{s-1} v_{r+1}$ for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Further, consider sequence $g = (g_j)$ of non-zero complex numbers, then as in, [22], we have

$$\Delta_g^s(\tau) = \{v = (v_j) \in \Lambda : (\Delta_g^s v_j) \in \tau\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_g^s v_j &= \Delta_g^{s-1} v_j - \Delta_g^{s-1} v_{j+1} \\ &= \sum_{\mu=0}^s (-1)^\mu \binom{s}{\mu} g_{j+\mu} v_{j+\mu} \forall j \\ &\in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

And $\Delta_g^s(\tau)$ is complete having norm

$$\|v\|_\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^s |g_i v_i| + \|\Delta_g^s v\|_\infty$$

The various well-structured properties concerning this space can be found in, [17], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28], and many others.

This space was further studied and according to, [9], we have following in hand for fixed integers $s, t \geq 0$:

$$\Delta_t^s(\tau) = \{v = (v_j) \in \Lambda : (\Delta_t^s v_j) \in \tau\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_t^s v_j &= \Delta_t^{s-1} v_j - \Delta_t^{s-1} v_{j+1} \\ &= \sum_{\mu=0}^s (-1)^\mu \binom{s}{\mu} v_{j+\mu t} \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, by taking $s = 1 = t$, we have results obtained in, [20], and choosing $t = 1$, we get results of, [21].

Following these, we involve the Δ -definition with uncertain variables by joining of lacunary sequences and will determine some new results.

2 Main Results

This section deals with the introduction of new sequences of uncertain variables using Δ -operator.

Following the cited references as, [2], [9], [29], [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [35], we define the following new spaces:

$$[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_0 = \left\{ \zeta = (\zeta_j) : \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_j \Delta_t^s \zeta_j(v)\| = 0 \right\}$$

$$[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_c = \left\{ \zeta = (\zeta_j) : \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_j \Delta_t^s \zeta_j(v) - \sigma(v)\| = 0 \right\}$$

and

$$[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_\infty = \left\{ \zeta = (\zeta_j) : \sup_j \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_j \Delta_t^s \zeta_j(v)\| < \infty \right\}$$

where $\sigma(v) \in (\mathcal{U}, L, S)$.

Theorem 2.1.

The sets $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_0, [\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_c$ and $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_\infty$ are linear.

Proof: We prove the result for $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_0$ only and rest will follow on similar steps. Therefore, suppose that $(x_i), (y_i) \in [\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_0$, then,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_j \Delta_t^s x_j(v)\| = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_j \Delta_t^s y_j(v)\| = 0$$

Now for any $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_j \Delta_t^s (ax_j(v) + by_j(v))\| \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|ag_j \Delta_t^s x_j(v) + bg_j \Delta_t^s y_j(v)\| \\ &\leq |a| \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_j \Delta_t^s x_j(v)\| + |b| \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_j \Delta_t^s y_j(v)\| \\ &\rightarrow 0, \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $(ax_j(v) + by_j(v)) \in [\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_0$ and the result follows. \diamond

Theorem 2.2. The sets $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_0, [\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_c$ and $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_\infty$ of complex certain sequences are normed linear spaces with norm

$$\|\zeta(v)\|_{\Delta_t^s} = \sum_{k=1}^n \|g_k \zeta_k(v)\| + \sup_j \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_j \Delta_t^s \zeta_j(v)\|$$

Proof: It can be proved by using classical techniques. ◊

Theorem 2.3. If $T = 0, c, \infty, t \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$, then

$$[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_\tau \subset [\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_\tau$$

The inclusions are proper.

Proof: Only the case of $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_0$ will be proved and rest will follow on similar lines. So, let $\zeta_j \in [\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^{s-1}]_0$, then we see $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_j \Delta_t^{s-1} \zeta_j(v)\| = 0$ (1)

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_i \Delta_t^s \zeta_i(v)\| &= \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_i \Delta_t^{s-1} \zeta_i(v) - g_{i+1} \Delta_t^{s-1} \zeta_{i+1}(v)\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_i \Delta_t^{s-1} \zeta_i(v)\| - \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_{i+1} \Delta_t^{s-1} \zeta_{i+1}(v)\| \right) \end{aligned}$$

Using (1) and approaching $j \rightarrow \infty$ in above inequality yields

$$\frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_j \Delta_t^s \zeta_j(v)\| = 0, \{\zeta_j\} \in [\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_0$$

Consequently, to establish the result is proper, we choose a lacunary sequence $\theta = (2^i)$ and take the sequence of uncertain variables as $(\zeta_i) = (i_{s-1})$ with $g_i = 1$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\Delta_t^s(\zeta_i) = 0 \text{ and } \Delta_t^s \zeta_i = \sum_{r=0}^{s-1} (-1)^r \binom{s-1}{r} \zeta_{i+r}$$

Hence, $\{\zeta_j\} \in [\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_0$ but not $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^{s-1}]_0$ as desired. ◊

Theorem 2.4. If $T = 0, c, \infty$, then, in general, the sets $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_\tau$ is not symmetric.

Proof: The result will be proved for $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_0$ only and others will be proved similarly by taking $s = 2 = t$ and $g_i = 1$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and by considering $\theta = (2^i)$ as lacunary sequence.

Setting the uncertainty space (\mathcal{U}, L, M) as $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots\}$ with the power set and taking any event $v \in L$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}\{v\} = \begin{cases} \sup_{\tau_r \in v} \frac{r}{2r+1}, & \text{if } \sup_{\tau_r \in v} \frac{r}{2r+1} < 0.5, \\ 1 - \sup_{\tau_r \in v^c} \frac{r}{2r+1}, & \text{if } \sup_{\tau_r \in v^c} \frac{r}{2r+1} < 0.5, \\ 0.5, & \text{if elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Now consider,

$$\zeta_r(\tau_j) = \begin{cases} r, & \text{when } j = r, \\ 0, & \text{when elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\{\zeta_r\}$ for $r \in I_m$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots$ is in $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_0$. Consider the rearrangement of $\{\zeta_r\}$ as $\{\omega_m\}$ given by $\omega_m(\tau) = \{\zeta_1, \zeta_4, \zeta_9, \zeta_2, \zeta_{10}, \dots\}$ and is consequently not in $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_0$. From which, we conclude that $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_0$ is not symmetric. ◊

On similar lines, the following theorem is obvious.

Theorem 2.5. The sets $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_\tau$ is not monotone in general for $\tau = 0, c, \infty$ and $s \geq 1$.

3 Structure of Lacunary Convergence using Δ –Operator

This portion of the manuscript deals with the lacunary convergence structure of Δ -operator for uncertain variables. Also, we will establish certain new relation corresponding to them.

Definition 3.1. The uncertain sequence $\{\zeta_j\}$ is called as lacunary strongly convergent almost surely to ζ with respect to difference sequence if for $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find an event v with $M(v) = 1$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_i \Delta_t^s \zeta_i(\eta) - \sigma(\eta)\| = 0$$

for all $\eta \in v$.

Definition 3.2. An uncertain sequence $\{\zeta_j\}$ is called as lacunary strongly convergent in measure to

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M} \left[\left\{ \eta \in \mathcal{U} : \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_i \Delta_t^s \zeta_i(\eta) - \sigma(\eta)\| > \varepsilon \right\} \right]$$

ζ if

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M} \left[\left\{ \eta \in \mathcal{U} : \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_i \Delta_t^s \zeta_i(\eta) - \sigma(\eta)\| > \varepsilon \right\} \right] = 0$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Definition 3.3. Let $\zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots$ be the uncertainty distributions of uncertain variables. Then the sequence $\{\zeta_j\}$ is called convergence in mean to ζ if

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} E \left[\frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{l \in I_j} \|g_l \Delta_t^s \zeta_l(n) - \sigma(n)\| \right] = 0$$

Definition 3.4. Let $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3, \dots$ be uncertain variables with finite expected values $\zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots$, respectively. Then the sequence $\{\zeta_j\}$ is said to be lacunary strong convergent in distribution to ζ w.r.t. difference sequence if

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{l \in I_j} \|g_l \Delta_t^s \vartheta_l(\lambda) - \sigma(\lambda)\| = 0$$

for every complex λ in which $\vartheta(\lambda)$ is continuous.

Definition 3.5. The uncertain sequence $\{\vartheta_i\}$ is said to be convergent uniformly almost surely to ζ if we can find a sequence of events $\{E_j\}$, $M\{E_j\} \rightarrow 0$ such that $\{\vartheta_i\}$ converges uniformly to ζ in $\mathcal{U} - \varepsilon_j$ for some fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.6. Consider an uncertain sequence $\{\zeta_i\}$. If it is lacunary strongly convergent in average to ζ w.r.t. difference sequence, then it converges lacunary strongly in measure to ζ , but not conversely.

Proof: By Markov's inequality, we have for $\varepsilon > 0$ that

$$\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E \left[\frac{1}{h} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_i \Delta_t^s \zeta_i(n) - \sigma(n)\| \right]}{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$$

as $i \in I_j$. Thus $\{\zeta_i\}$ is converges in measure to σ w.r.t. difference sequence.

Now for converse, define uncertainty space (\mathcal{U}, L, M) as $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots\}$ and consider the event $v \in L$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}\{v\} = \begin{cases} \sup_{\tau_m \in v} \frac{1}{m}, & \text{if } \sup_{\tau_m \in v} \frac{1}{m} < 0.5, \\ 1 - \sup_{\tau_m \in v^c} \frac{1}{m}, & \text{if } \sup_{\tau_m \in v^c} \frac{1}{m} < 0.5, \\ 0.5, & \text{if elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Also, set the uncertain variables as

$$\zeta_m(\tau_r) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } r = m \\ 0 & \text{if elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

for every $m \in I_j$ and $\sigma \equiv 0$. Now for $\varepsilon > 0$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} M \left\{ \left\{ \eta \in \mathcal{U} : \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{l \in I_j} \|\Delta_t^s \zeta_l(\eta) - \sigma(\eta)\| > \varepsilon \right\} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} M \left\{ \left\{ \eta \in \mathcal{U} : \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{l \in I_j} \|\Delta_t^s \zeta_l(\eta)\| > \varepsilon \right\} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} M \left(\left\{ \eta_i \right\} \right) \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{i} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \in I_j. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\{\zeta_i\}$ converges in measure to ζ . But, for all $i \in I_j$, we see the uncertainty distribution of uncertain variable $\|\zeta_i - \zeta\| = \|\zeta_i\|$ is

$$\vartheta_m(\varrho) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \varrho < 0, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{m} & \text{if } 0 \leq \varrho < m, \\ 1, & \text{if elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|g_i \Delta_t^s \zeta_i(n) - \sigma(n)\| \right] \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{M}\{\zeta(\varrho)\} d\varrho - \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathcal{M}\{\zeta \leq \varrho\} d\varrho \\ &= \int_0^m 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) d\varrho = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\{\zeta_i(\varrho)\}$ does not converge in mean to $\zeta(\varrho)$ w.r.t. difference sequence.

4 Conclusion

In this work, we have discussed the uncertainty of variables and have defined new type of spaces $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_0, [\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_c$, and $[\mathcal{N}_\theta^\mu, g, \Delta_t^s]_\infty$ using generalized Δ -operator. We have computed their linear structure and some of their topological structures. The inclusions relations corresponding to these spaces have been given. To support the properness, some example have been given. Symmetry and monotonicity corresponding to these spaces are analyzed. Further, we have constructed some basic ideas of Lacunary convergence using uncertain variables and Δ -operator. In the future, we will continue to study the above proposed structures by applying statistical convergence and the modulus function to obtain the new results.

Acknowledgement:

We are thankful to the reviewers for the careful reading and suggestions which improved the presentation of the paper.

References:

- [1] C. You, On the convergence of uncertain sequences, *Mathematical and computer modelling*, 49(3-4), 2009, p.482-487.
- [2] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets as a basis for a theory of possibility, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1 1978, p.3-28.
- [3] B. Liu, A survey of credibility theory, *Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making*, 5(4), 2006, p.387-408.
- [4] M. AlBaidani, Notion of new structure of uncertain sequences using Δ -Spaces, *Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 2022, Article ID 2615772, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2615772>.
- [5] B. Liu, *Uncertainty Theory*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- [6] H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces. *Canad Math Bull.*, 24(1), 1981, p.169-176.
- [7] P. J. Dowari and B. C. Tripathy, Lacunary convergence of sequences of complex uncertain variables, *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.*, 3s.(41), (2023), p.1-10.
- [8] H. Kwakernaak, Fuzzy random variables - I: Definition and theorem, *Information Sciences*, 15, 1978, p.1-29.
- [9] C. Barton, C. Liu and S. P. Pissis, On-Line Pattern Matching on Uncertain Sequences and Applications, *Combinatorial Optimization and Applications*, 2016, p.547-562.
- [10] A. H. Ganie and N. A. Sheikh, Some new difference sequence spaces defined on Orlicz function, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 17(3) 2012, p.397-404.
- [11] X. Li and B. Liu, Chance measure for hybrid events with fuzziness and randomness, *Soft Computing*, 13, Article number: 105 (2009).
- [12] A. H. Ganie, Sequences of Ces`aro type using lacunary notion, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 13, 2022, p.1399-1405.
- [13] A. H. Ganie, Lacunary sequences with almost and statistical convergence, *Annals Commu. Maths.*, 3(1), 2020, p.46-53.
- [14] A. H. Ganie, New notion of sequence spaces of modulus function, *Palestine J. Maths.*, 11(4), 2022, p.331-335.
- [15] A. H. Ganie and N. A. Sheikh, Generalized difference sequence spaces of Fuzzy numbers, *New York J. Math.*, 19, 2013, p.431-438.
- [16] B. Liu, Y. Liu, Expected value of fuzzy variable and fuzzy expected value models, *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 10(4) , 2002, p.445-450.
- [17] B. Liu, *Uncertainty Theory: An Introduction to its Axiomatic Foundations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [18] N. A. Sheikh and A. H. Ganie, A new type of sequence space of non-absolute type and matrix transformation, *WSEAS Trans. Math.*, 8(12), 2013, p.852-859.
- [19] A. G`okhan, M. Gu`ng`or, and Y. Bulut, On the strong lacunary convergence and strong Ces`aro summability of sequences of real-valued functions, *Applied Sciences*, 8, 2006, p.70-77.
- [20] M. Et and R. C`olak, On some generalized difference sequence spaces, *Soochow J. Math.*, 21, 1995, p.377-386.
- [21] M. Et and A. Esi, On K`othe Toeplitz duals of generalized difference sequence spaces, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Sc. Soc.*, (2)23, 2000, p.25-32.
- [22] M. Et, Generalized Ces`aro difference sequence spaces of non-absolute type involving lacunary sequences, *Appl Math Comput.*, 219(17), 2013, p. 9372-9376.
- [23] A. H. Ganie, New spaces over modulus function, *Boletim Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica*, (3s.)(41), 2023, p.1-6.
- [24] A. H. Ganie, New approach for structural behavior of variables, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 14(5), 2021, p.351-358
- [25] A. H. Ganie and S. A. Gupkari, Generalized difference sequence space of fuzzy numbers, *Annals of Fuzzy Math. and Informatics*, 21(2), 2021, p.161-168.
- [26] A. H. Ganie and S. A. Lone, Some sequence spaces of sigma means defined by Orlicz function, *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.*, 6(14), 2020, p.1-4.
- [27] A. H. Ganie, Mobin Ahmad and N. A. Sheikh, New generalized difference sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, 12(2), 2016, 255263.
- [28] A. Esi, B. C. Tripathy and B. Sarma, On some type of generalized difference sequence

- spaces, *Mathematica Slovaca*, 57(5), 2007, p.475-482.
- [29] B. Liu, Why is there a need for uncertainty theory?, *Journal uncertain system*, 6(1), 2012, p.3-10.
- [30] D. Fathima and A. H. Ganie, On some new scenario of Δ -spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 14, 2021, p.163-167.
- [31] A. R. Freedman, J.J. Sember and M. Raphael, Some Ces`aro-type summability spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 37(3), 1978, p.508-520.
- [32] X. Gao, Y. Gao, Connectedness index of uncertain graphs, *Int. J. Uncer. Fuzzy. Knowl. Based Syst.*, 21(1), 2013, p.127-137.
- [33] M. Sugeno, Theory of fuzzy integrals and its applications, Ph.D. Dissertation, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 1974.
- [34] B. C. Tripathy and A. Esi, A new type Of difference sequence spaces, *International J. Sci. Tech.*, 1(1), 2006, p.11-14.
- [35] M. Mursaleen, A. H. Ganie, N. A. Sheikh, New type of generalized difference sequence space of non-absolute type and some matrix transformations, *Filomat*, 28, 2014, p.1381–1392.

Contribution of Individual Authors to the Creation of a Scientific Article (Ghostwriting Policy)

The author contributed in the present research at all stages from the formulation of the problem to the final findings and solution.

Sources of Funding for Research Presented in a Scientific Article or Scientific Article Itself

There is no funding grant received for this study.

Conflict of Interest

I declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (Attribution 4.0 International, CC BY 4.0)

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en_US