

# On Pairwise P-closed Spaces.

BARA ABDRABO  
University of Jordan  
Department of Mathematics  
Amman  
JORDAN

HASAN HDEIB  
University of Jordan  
Department of Mathematics  
Amman  
JORDAN

*Abstract:* In this paper, we introduce the concept of pairwise P-closed spaces and obtain some of their properties. Furthermore, we generalize some results concerning P-closed spaces to pairwise P-closed. Eventually, we conclude that every p-paracompact, p.w. $T_2$  bitopological space is p-normal.

*Key–Words:* p.w.P-closed, p.w.P-space, p-paracompact, p-continuous function, p-homeomorphism.

Received: September 17, 2021. Revised: May 16, 2022. Accepted: June 18, 2022. Published: July 14, 2022.

## 1 Introduction

Since ancient times (in 1963) the notion of bitopological spaces was introduced and studied by Kelly [5], who defined essential separation axioms such as pairwise Hausdorff, p.w regular, pairwise normal and generalized several standard results such as Urysohn's Lemma.

Since then several mathematicians have studied bitopological spaces and their properties. In 1986, Hdeib and Pareek introduced the notion of L-closed spaces to be the spaces in which Lindelöf sets are closed [6]. Recently, in 2019, Almohor studied p.w.L-closed spaces as a generalization of L-closed spaces [1]. In this paper, we define the pairwise P-closed spaces and derive the relationship between p.w.L-closed and p.w.P-closed spaces then find under what conditions they are equivalent. After that, we study when the p.w.P-closed space is P-space and vice-versa. Afterward, we verify that the p.w-closed spaces are invariant under the p-homeomorphism function and establish nice related results. However, we also conclude some results about the product topology of p.w.P-closed spaces. Eventually, we obtained some results on p.w.P-closed spaces with p-normality property and generalized the important result that every  $T_2$  paracompact space is normal in sense of bitopological spaces.

## 2 Preliminaries:

**Definition 1** (2.1, [1]) *In the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , if each  $\tau_1$ -Lindelöf subset of  $X$  is a  $\tau_2$ -closed and each  $\tau_2$ -Lindelöf subset of  $X$  is a  $\tau_1$ -closed, then it is called pairwise L-closed space, however, we will denote to such a space by p.w.L-closed*

*space.*

**Definition 2** (2.26, [2]) *The  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open cover  $\check{U}$  is a cover for the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $\check{U} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ , while it is called a p-open cover if it has at least one nonempty  $\tau_1$ -open proper set and one nonempty  $\tau_2$ -open proper set of  $X$ .*

**Definition 3** (2.5, [2]) *The p-Lindelöf (s-Lindelöf) space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is bitopological space such that for each p-open ( $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open) cover for it, there is a countable p-open ( $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open) subcover.*

**Definition 4** (2.4, [3]) *The bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called pairwise  $T_1$  or shortly p.w. $T_1$  if for each  $x = y$  two distinct points in  $X$  there is a  $\tau_1$ -neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  that does not contain  $y$  and a  $\tau_2$ -neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$  that does not contain  $x$ .*

**Definition 5** (2.6, [3]) *The bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called pairwise  $T_2$  (p-Hausdorff) or shortly p.w. $T_2$  if for each two distinct points  $x = y$  in  $X$  there are disjoint  $\tau_1$ -open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and  $\tau_2$ -open neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$ .*

**Definition 6** [4] *The bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called pairwise P-space or simply p.w.P-space if a countable intersection of  $\tau_1$ -open subsets is a  $\tau_2$ -open subset in  $X$  and a countable intersection of  $\tau_2$ -open subsets is a  $\tau_1$ -open subset in  $X$ .*

**Definition 7** [2] *The bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called Lindelöf (resp.compact) (resp.paracompact) space if it is both  $\tau_1$ -Lindelöf (resp. $\tau_1$ -compact) (resp. $\tau_1$ -paracompact) and  $\tau_2$ -Lindelöf (resp. $\tau_2$ -compact) (resp. $\tau_2$ -paracompact).*

**Definition 8** [5] *Considering the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then we mean by  $\tau_1$  is regular with respect to  $\tau_2$  if for each  $\tau_1$ -closed  $F$  and  $x \notin F$  there are disjoint  $\tau_1$ -neighborhood  $U$  for  $x$  and  $\tau_2$ -neighborhood  $V$  for  $F$ . Here we denote to such space by  $\tau_1$ -regular w.r.t.  $\tau_2$ .*

**Definition 9** [5] *The bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $p$ -regular if  $\tau_1$  is regular with respect to  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_2$  is regular with respect to  $\tau_1$ .*

**Definition 10** (2.18 [3]) *The bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $p$ -normal if for every two disjoint subsets in  $X$  where one of them is  $\tau_1$ -closed subset  $F_1$ , and the other is  $\tau_2$ -closed subset  $F_2$ , then there are two disjoint open subsets  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that  $G_1$  is a  $\tau_1$ -open containing  $F_2$  and  $G_2$  is  $\tau_2$ -open containing  $F_1$ . In other words for a  $\tau_1$ -closed subset  $F_1$  and a  $\tau_2$ -closed subset  $F_2$  such that  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \Phi$ , there is a  $\tau_1$ -open subset  $G_1$  and a  $\tau_2$ -open subset  $G_2$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq G_2$  and  $F_2 \subseteq G_1$  satisfying  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \Phi$ .*

**Definition 11** (2.24, [I]) *The bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called hereditary pairwise Lindelöf if every  $\tau_1$  or  $\tau_2$  subspace of  $X$  is Lindelöf.*

**Definition 12** (3.1, [I]) *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be two bitopological spaces, and let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a function, then  $f$  is called  $p$ -continuous function if the induced functions  $f_1 : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1)$  and  $f_2 : (X, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$  are continuous.*

**Definition 13** (3.3, [I]) *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be two bitopological spaces, and let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a function, then  $f$  is called  $p$ -homeomorphism iff it is a bijection,  $p$ -continuous as well as  $f^{-1}$ .*

**Definition 14** (2.45, [2]) *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be two bitopological spaces, and let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a function, then  $f$  is called  $p$ -closed function if the induced functions  $f_1 : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1)$  and  $f_2 : (X, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$  are closed.*

**Proposition 15** (2.1 [6]) *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be bitopological space such that every countable subset is closed, then every countable subset is discrete and every compact subset is finite.*

### 3 Pairwise P-closed spaces:

**Definition 16** *In the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , if each  $\tau_1$ -paracompact subset of  $X$  is  $\tau_2$ -closed and*

*each  $\tau_2$ -paracompact subset of  $X$  is  $\tau_1$ -closed, then it is called pairwise P-closed space, however, we will denote to such a space by  $p.w.P$ -closed space.*

**Example 17** *Consider the bitopological space  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{dis}, \tau_{dis})$  where  $\mathbb{R}$  is real numbers and  $\tau_{dis}$  is discrete topology. This bitopological space is  $p.w.P$ -closed since  $\tau_1 = \tau_{dis} = \tau_2$ , and any subset  $A$  of  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{dis})$  is paracompact and closed, i.e every  $\tau_{dis}$ -paracompact is  $\tau_{dis}$ -closed.*

**Example 18** *Consider the bitopological space  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{coc}, \tau_u)$  where  $\mathbb{R}$  is real numbers and  $\tau_{coc}, \tau_u$  are co-countable, usual topology respectively. Then This bitopological space is not  $p.w.P$ -closed, since any subset  $A$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , is  $\tau_{coc}$ -paracompact but it is not necessary  $\tau_u$ -closed.*

**Remark 19** *In the set of spaces of  $p.w.L$ -closed every countable set is closed but the converse like the case of single spaces is not true. Considering the irrational numbers with the relative usual topology, we can observe that it is not  $L$ -closed so not  $p.w.L$ -closed but every countable set has discrete topology and closed. This result is also true in  $p.w.P$ -closed.*

**Proposition 20** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $p.w.P$ -closed space, then every countable subset of  $X$  is closed and every compact subset of  $X$  is finite.*

**Proof 21** *Since every countable subset of  $X$  is  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ -Lindelöf, so it will be  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ -paracompact hence it is  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_1$ -closed, therefore  $X$  has discrete topologies see (2.1 [6]).*

Observe that in the case of a single topology every  $P$ -closed space is an  $L$ -closed space but the converse need not be true. This is also achieved in bitopological spaces. Every  $p.w.P$ -closed space is  $p.w.L$ -closed space but the converse need not be true. To show this let  $A$  be a  $\tau_1$ -Lindelöf subset of  $X$ , so it is  $\tau_1$ -paracompact, hence it is  $\tau_2$ -closed. similarly for a  $\tau_2$ -Lindelöf subset of  $X$ . For the converse, we introduce the following example.

**Example 22** *Consider the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , such that  $X = Y \cup \{x\}$ , where  $Y$  is an uncountable set that has discrete topology and  $x$  has a co-countable neighborhood, this way we constructed a  $T_1$  topology,  $\tau_1$  on  $X$ , while  $\tau_2$  is just a discrete topology. Let  $A$  be any  $\tau_1$ -Lindelöf subset of  $X$ , then clearly it is  $\tau_2$ -closed. On other hand, let  $B$  be a  $\tau_2$ -Lindelöf subset of  $X$ , then  $B$  will be countable subset of  $X$  but this does not matter here. However,  $B$  is a  $\tau_1$ -closed whether it contains  $x$  or*

not. Hence this bitopological space is  $p.w.L$ -closed. Eventually, we want to show this bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not a  $p.w.P$ -closed space. Consider the subset  $Y$  of  $X$  which is  $\tau_2$ -paracompact but not  $\tau_1$ -closed since the complement of it is  $\{x\}$  which is  $\tau_1$ -closed but does not  $\tau_1$ -open under the  $T_1$  topology  $(X, \tau_1)$ , So the result.

**Proposition 23** The pairwise  $P$ -closeness is a hereditary property.

**Proof 24** Let  $(Y, \tau_{Y_1}, \tau_{Y_2})$  be a bitopological subspace of the  $p.w.P$ -closed bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , i.e  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $\tau_{Y_1}$  and  $\tau_{Y_2}$  are relatives topologies corresponding to  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  respectively. Let  $F$  be a  $\tau_{Y_1}$ -paracompact subset of  $Y$ , so it is a  $\tau_1$ -paracompact in  $X$  and then  $\tau_2$ -closed subset of  $X$  because  $X$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed space. Hence  $F = F \cap Y$  is  $\tau_{Y_2}$  closed in  $Y$ . Similarly we can show that every  $\tau_{Y_2}$ -paracompact subset of  $Y$  is  $\tau_{Y_1}$ -paracompact subset of  $Y$ . Thus  $Y$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed subspace of  $X$ .

In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  when we say that every paracompact subset has a dense Lindelöf subspace iff every  $\tau_1$ -paracompact subset of  $X$  has a dense  $\tau_1$ -Lindelöf subspace of it and every  $\tau_2$ -paracompact subset of  $X$  has a dense  $\tau_2$ -Lindelöf subspace of it, i.e every  $\tau_i$ -paracompact subset has a dense  $\tau_i$ -Lindelöf subspace of it. Hence every  $\tau_i$ -paracompact is  $\tau_i$ -Lindelöf for  $i = \{1, 2\}$ .

**Remark 25** Every  $p.w.L$ -closed bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  that has the property every  $\tau_i$ -paracompact subset has a dense  $\tau_i$ -Lindelöf subspace of it, is  $p.w.P$ -closed bitopological space.

**Proposition 26** The  $p$ -Hausdorff,  $p.w.P$ -space bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  that has the property every  $\tau_i$ -paracompact subset has a dense  $\tau_i$ -Lindelöf subspace of it, is a  $p.w.P$ -closed.

**Proof 27** Since every  $p$ -Hausdorff,  $p.w.P$ -space bitopological space is  $p.w.L$ -closed see corollary (2.15 [I]), and every  $p.w.L$ -closed bitopological space with the property that every  $\tau_i$ -paracompact subset of  $X$  has a dense  $\tau_i$ -Lindelöf subspace of it, is  $p.w.P$ -closed. Hence the result.

**Proposition 28** The paracompact  $p.w.P$ -closed bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -space.

**Proof 29** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be paracompact  $p.w.P$ -closed space. Let  $A$  be a  $\tau_1$ - $G_\delta$  set i.e  $A = \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} U_\alpha$ , where  $U_\alpha$  is a  $\tau_1$ -open for each  $\alpha$ . Then  $A$  is a  $\tau_2$ -open subset of  $X$  since the complement of  $A$  is  $\tau_1$ - $F_\sigma$  set as the following show,  $X - A = X - \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} U_\alpha =$

$\bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} (X - U_\alpha)$ , so it is  $\tau_1$ -paracompact set and hence  $\tau_2$ -closed. Therefore  $A$  is a  $\tau_2$ -open subset of  $X$ . By a similar argument we can show that any  $\tau_2$ - $G_\delta$  set is a  $\tau_1$ -open subset of  $X$ . So the result.

**Proposition 30** The Lindelöf,  $p.w.P$ -closed space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -space.

**Proof 31** Consider the Lindelöf,  $p.w.P$ -closed space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Let  $A = \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} U_\alpha$ , where  $U_\alpha$  is a  $\tau_1$ -open for each  $\alpha$  i.e.  $A$  is a  $\tau_1$ - $G_\delta$  set, then the complement of  $A$  is  $\tau_1$ - $F_\sigma$  set like in the previous proof, but we know that  $F_\sigma$  set in Lindelöf space is Lindelöf, hence the complement of  $A$  is  $\tau_1$ -Lindelöf so  $\tau_1$ -paracompact thus  $\tau_2$ -closed. Hence  $A$  is  $\tau_2$ -open. Similarly the other case.

**Proposition 32** The  $T_3$ , paracompact,  $p.w.L$ -closed space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that every  $\tau_i$ -paracompact subset of  $X$  has a dense  $\tau_i$ -Lindelöf subspace of it, is  $p.w.P$ -space.

**Proof 33** Consider the  $\tau_i$ - $G_\delta$  set  $A$ , then the complement of  $A$  is  $\tau_i$ - $F_\sigma$  in paracompact topology  $(X, \tau_i)$ . So  $A^c$  will be a  $\tau_i$ -paracompact subset in  $(X, \tau_i)$  since the  $F_\sigma$ -set in paracompact space is paracompact itself. Therefore  $A^c$  has a dense  $\tau_i$ -Lindelöf subspace of it and hence it is  $\tau_i$ -Lindelöf in  $p.w.L$ -closed bitopological space so it is  $\tau_j$ -closed. Thus  $A$  is  $\tau_j$ -open for  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$ .

**Corollary 34** In the  $T_3$ , paracompact bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  which satisfies that every  $\tau_i$ -paracompact subset of  $X$  has a dense  $\tau_i$ -Lindelöf subspace of it, the following are equivalent:

1.  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -space.
2.  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed.

**Proof 35** Obvious from previous propositions.

**Definition 36** The bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called pairwise hereditary paracompact if every  $\tau_1$  or  $\tau_2$  subspace of  $X$  is paracompact. I will denote to such a bitopological space by hereditary paracompact space.

**Proposition 37** For a hereditary paracompact bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed
2.  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is discrete.

**Proof 38** (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2) Suppose that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed space and let  $A$  be any subset of  $X$ , then  $A$  will be  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ -paracompact. Hence it is  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ -closed. Therefore  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is discrete.

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (1) Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be discrete bitopological space, and let  $A$  be a  $\tau_i$ -paracompact subset of  $X$ , then  $A$  will be  $\tau_j$ -closed since  $(X, \tau_j)$  is a discrete topology for  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$ . Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed.

**Proposition 39** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be  $p$ -closed,  $p$ -continuous, one-to-one function between the bitopological spaces  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  such that  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed as well as  $p.w.L$ -closed.

**Proof 40** Let  $F$  be a  $\tau_i$ -paracompact subset in  $X$ , then  $f(F)$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -paracompact subset in  $Y$  because  $f$  is a  $p$ -closed,  $p$  continuous function. But  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed so  $f(F)$  is  $\sigma_j$ -closed. Hence  $F = f^{-1}(f(F))$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed for  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$ , consequently the result.

**Proposition 41** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a  $p$ -continuous function between the bitopological spaces  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  such that  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p$ -Lindelöf, then  $f$  is a  $p$ -closed function.

**Proof 42** Consider a  $\tau_i$ -closed subset  $A$  of  $X$ , then  $A$  will be  $\tau_j$ -Lindelöf subset of  $X$  because  $X$  is  $p$ -Lindelöf (2.29[2]), So  $f(A)$  will be  $\sigma_j$ -Lindelöf since  $f$  is  $p$ -continuous and hence  $\sigma_i$ -closed for  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$ . This show that  $f$  is a  $p$ -closed function, consequently it is a  $p$ -homeomorphism if it is a bijection function.

**Corollary 43** The bijection,  $p$ -continuous function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  between the bitopological spaces  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  such that  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p$ -Lindelöf, is  $p$ -homeomorphism function.

**Proof 44** It is obvious from the previous proposition.

**Proposition 45** The pairwise  $P$ -closeness is a bitopological property.

**Proof 46** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a  $p$ -homeomorphism from the  $p.w.P$ -closed bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  onto  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ . We aim to verify that the image of  $f$  is also  $p.w.P$ -closed. Consider the  $\sigma_i$ -paracompact subset  $B$  in  $Y$ , then  $f^{-1}(B) = A$  is  $\tau_i$ -paracompact since  $f^{-1}$  is a  $p$ -closed and  $p$ -continuous.  $X$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed bitopological space this cause  $A$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed and then  $f(A) = B$  is  $\sigma_j$ -closed for  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$ . Therefore  $Y$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed bitopological space and hence the result.

**Proposition 47** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $p.w.T_3$  bitopological space such that every point in  $X$  has a  $p.w.P$ -closed neighborhood, then  $X$  will be a  $p.w.P$ -closed space.

**Proof 48** Consider  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$ . Let  $F$  be a  $\tau_i$ -paracompact subset in  $X$ . Now we want to show that  $F$  is a  $\tau_j$ -closed, for this purpose suppose that  $x \in cl_j(F)$  i.e  $x$  belongs to the closure of  $F$  in  $(X, \tau_j)$  but not in  $F$ . Then  $x$  has a  $p.w.P$ -closed neighborhood  $U$ . This  $U$  could be  $\tau_i$ -open or  $\tau_j$ -open. Suppose  $U$  is  $\tau_i$ -open. By  $p.w.$  regularity of  $X$  there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open neighborhood  $H$  such that  $x \in H \subseteq cl_j(H) \subseteq U$ . Since  $cl_j(H) \cap F$  is a  $\tau_i$ -paracompact subset of  $U$ , it is  $\tau_j$ -closed subset of the  $p.w.P$ -closed neighborhood  $U$ , hence  $U - (cl_j(H) \cap F)$  is  $\tau_j$ -open neighborhood of  $x$ , but  $(U - (cl_j(H) \cap F)) \cap F = \Phi$  which contradicts that  $x \in cl_j(F)$ . Consequently,  $x \in cl_j(F)$  and hence  $F$  is a  $\tau_j$ -closed subset in  $X$ .

For the other case, let  $U$  be a  $\tau_j$ -open and we still assume the same constructions that  $x \in cl_j(F)$  and  $x \notin F$ . So by the same argument  $\exists$  a  $\tau_j$ -open neighborhood  $G$  such that  $x \in G \subseteq cl_i(G) \subseteq U$ . And  $cl_i(G) \cap F$  is a  $\tau_i$ -paracompact subset in  $U$ , so it is  $\tau_j$ -closed and then  $U - (cl_i(G) \cap F)$  will be  $\tau_j$ -open which yields to the same contradiction like in the first case. Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed space.

**Definition 49** Consider the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then it is called pairwise almost paracompact if every  $\tau_i$ -open cover  $\{U_\alpha, \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  has an open (closed) locally finite refinement collection of it  $\{U_\gamma^*, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  such that  $X = \cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} cl_j(U_\gamma^*)$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$ .

**Definition 50** Consider the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then it is called hereditarily pairwise almost paracompact if every subspace of  $X$  is pairwise almost paracompact.

**Proposition 51** For the pairwise  $P$ -closed space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $X$  is hereditary pairwise almost lindelöf.
2.  $X$  is a hereditary lindelöf.
3.  $X$  is countable discrete.

**Proof 52** Since every pairwise  $P$ -closed space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $L$ -closed, the results arise immediately from proposition (2.30[I]).

**Proposition 53** For the  $p$ -regular, pairwise  $P$ -closed space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $X$  is hereditary pairwise almost paracompact.

2.  $X$  is hereditary paracompact.
3.  $X$  is discrete space.

**Proof 54** (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2) Suppose the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is hereditary pairwise almost paracompact. Let  $A$  be a subspace of  $X$ , so  $A$  is pairwise almost paracompact. Let  $\cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$  be a  $\tau_{A_i}$ -open cover of  $A$  in  $A$ . By the hereditary  $p$ -regular property we can find another  $\tau_{A_i}$ -open cover  $\cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha$  of  $A$  such that  $V_\alpha \subseteq cl_j(V_\alpha) \subseteq U_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , and  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$ . Consider this cover  $\cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha$  then there is a locally finite refinement collection of it  $\{V_\beta^*\}_{\beta \in \Gamma}$  such that  $A = \cup_{\beta \in \Gamma} cl_j V_\beta^*$  since  $A$  is pairwise almost paracompact. Therefore  $A$  has locally finite refinement collection  $\{cl_j V_\beta^*\}_{\beta \in \Gamma}$  of  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ . Hence  $A$  is  $\tau_{A_i}$ -paracompact, i.e.  $A$  is paracompact, and then consequently  $X$  is hereditary paracompact.

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (3) Suppose the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is hereditary paracompact and consider any subset  $A$  of  $X$ , then  $A$  is  $\tau_{A_i}$ -paracompact for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and then it will be  $\tau_{A_j}$ -closed for  $j \in \{1, 2\}$  i.e.  $A$  is  $\tau_i$ -paracompact and then  $\tau_j$ -closed. Hence  $X$  is discrete space.

(3)  $\rightarrow$  (1) Suppose  $X$  is discrete space so paracompact space. Let  $A$  be any subspace of  $X$ , then  $A$  is  $\tau_i$ -closed for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and then paracompact subspace of  $X$ . Consider the  $\tau_{A_i}$ -open cover of  $A$  in  $A$ ,  $\cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$ . Then  $A$  has a locally finite refinement collection of it, that is  $\{V_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Gamma}$  i.e.  $A = \cup_{\beta \in \Gamma} V_\beta$  so  $A = cl_j A = cl_j(\cup_{\beta \in \Gamma} V_\beta) = (\cup_{\beta \in \Gamma} cl_j V_\beta)$ , hence  $X$  is hereditary pairwise almost paracompact.

## 4 On Product of Pairwise P-closed spaces:

The product of two paracompact topological spaces is not necessary paracompact space. In general, the product of two paracompact bitopological spaces also need not be paracompact as the following example shows.

**Example 55** Let  $X = \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ , the product of real numbers with closed interval  $[0, 1]$ . Consider the topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  that generated by the bases  $B_1 = \{(x, y), x < y, x, y \in X\}$ , and  $B_2 = \{(x, y), x < y, x, y \in [0, 1]\}$  respectively, where  $<$  is lexicographical order on  $X$ . Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is Lindelöf hence paracompact. However, the product of bitopological spaces  $(X \times X, \tau_1 \times \tau_1, \tau_2 \times \tau_2)$  is neither Lindelöf nor paracompact. Actually  $(X \times X, \tau_1 \times \tau_1)$  is not paracompact because it can not be normal, since it does not achieve Jone's lemma that states " If the topological space  $X$  contains a dense set  $D$  and a closed

discrete subspace  $L$  such that  $|L| \geq 2^{|D|}$ , where  $|\cdot|$  denote to the cardinality, then  $X$  is not normal space. Observe that  $D = (\mathbb{Q} \times ([0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}))^2$  is dense set in  $(X \times X, \tau_1 \times \tau_1)$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}$  is rational numbers and  $L = \{(x, y) : x = -y, x \in \mathbb{R}\} \times \{0\}$  is closed subset in  $(X \times X, \tau_1 \times \tau_1)$  which satisfy that  $|L| \geq 2^{|D|}$ . The next proposition shows us when the product of  $p.w.P$ -closed spaces is  $p.w.P$ -closed space.

**Proposition 56** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  be two  $T_3$ ,  $p$ -regular,  $p.w.P$ -closed spaces such that every  $(\tau_i \times \eta_i)$ -paracompact subset of  $X \times Y$  has a  $(\tau_i \times \eta_i)$ -dense,  $(\tau_i \times \eta_i)$ -Lindelöf subset for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , then  $(X \times Y, \tau_1 \times \eta_1, \tau_2 \times \eta_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed.

**Proof 57** Let  $P$  be a  $(\tau_1 \times \eta_1)$ -paracompact subset of the product bitopological space  $X \times Y$  and let  $(x_o, y_o) \notin P$ . Our goal is to verify  $P$  is a  $(\tau_2 \times \eta_2)$ -closed set. Observe that  $P$  is a  $(\tau_1 \times \eta_1)$ -Lindelöf subset. Now  $(x_o, y_o) \notin ((\{x_o\} \times Y) \cap P)$ . Evidently,  $(\{x_o\} \times Y)$  is  $(\tau_1 \times \eta_1)$ -closed in  $X \times Y$  this follows that  $((\{x_o\} \times Y) \cap P)$  is  $(\tau_1 \times \eta_1)$ -closed in  $(\tau_1 \times \eta_1)$ -paracompact,  $(\tau_1 \times \eta_1)$ -Lindelöf subspace  $P$ , so it is  $(\tau_1 \times \eta_1)$ -paracompact,  $(\tau_1 \times \eta_1)$ -Lindelöf and isomorphic for some  $\eta_1$ -paracompact,  $\eta_1$ -Lindelöf subset in  $p.w.P$ -closed space  $Y$ , therefore it is  $\eta_2$ -closed does not contain  $y_o$ . As  $Y$  is  $p$ -regular, then there is an  $\eta_2$ -open neighborhood  $V$  of  $y_o$  that satisfies  $((X \times cl_{\eta_1}(V)) \cap ((\{x_o\} \times Y) \cap P)) = \Phi$ . Then  $\pi_X((X \times cl_{\eta_1}(V)) \cap P)$  is  $\tau_2$ -closed in the  $p.w.P$ -closed space  $X$ , since it is the image of  $(\tau_1 \times \eta_1)$ -Lindelöf,  $(\tau_1 \times \eta_1)$ -paracompact subset under the continuous function  $\pi_X$ . Now  $[X - \pi_X((X \times cl_{\eta_1}(V)) \cap P)] \times [Y \cap (X \times V)]$  is an  $(\tau_2 \times \eta_2)$ -open neighborhood of  $(x_o, y_o)$ , which is disjoint from  $P$ , therefore  $P$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ , hence the result. The same argument we need to verify any  $(\tau_2 \times \eta_2)$ -paracompact subset of the product bitopological space  $(X \times Y, \tau_1 \times \eta_1, \tau_2 \times \eta_2)$  is  $(\tau_1 \times \eta_1)$ -closed. Consequently  $(X \times Y, \tau_1 \times \eta_1, \tau_2 \times \eta_2)$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed space.

**Corollary 58** Consider the  $T_3$ ,  $p$ -regular,  $p.w.P$ -closed spaces  $(X_i, \tau_i, \eta_i)$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , such that every  $(\prod_{i=1}^n \tau_i)$ -paracompact subset of  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  has a  $(\prod_{i=1}^n \tau_i)$ -dense,  $(\prod_{i=1}^n \tau_i)$ -Lindelöf subset, and every  $\prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i$ -paracompact subset of  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  has a  $(\prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i)$ -dense,  $(\prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i)$ -Lindelöf subset, then the finite product  $(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i, \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_i, \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i)$  is  $p.w.P$ -closed.

**Proof 59** One can show this result by induction on  $i$  and use the previous proposition.

No direct relationship between  $p$ -paracompact spaces and  $p.w.P$ -closed spaces but because both of

them give to us the  $p$ -normality of the space under some condition which is a useful property, we will derive these results here.

**Proposition 60** *A  $p$ -regular  $p.w.P$ -closed space is  $p$ -normal.*

**Proof 61** *Since a  $p.w.P$ -closed bitopological space is  $p.w.L$ -closed bitopological space and a  $p$ -regular  $p.w.L$ -closed is  $p$ -normal see proposition (2.23[I]).*

It is known that every paracompact  $T_2$  is normal in a single case. Through the following results, we see that the results can be obtained in bitopological spaces.

**Definition 62** *The  $p$ -paracompact space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a bitopological space such that for each  $p$ -open cover for  $X$ , there is a locally finite  $p$ -open ( $p$ -closed) (even neither  $p$ -open nor  $p$ -closed) cover refinement of it.*

**Proposition 63** *Every  $p$ -paracompact,  $p.w.T_2$  bitopological space is  $p$ -regular.*

Initially, we need to introduce the following lemma.

If the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p.w.T_2$ , then it satisfies that for every distinct two points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$  there is a  $\tau_1$ -open neighborhood  $U$  for  $x$  such that its closure under  $\tau_2$  does not contain  $y$ . In other words for  $x \neq y, \exists$  a  $\tau_1$ -open neighborhood  $U$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin cl_2(U)$ .

**Proof 64** *As a consequence of the  $p.w.T_2$  property on  $X$ , we have for any two distinct points  $x \neq y$  a  $\tau_1$ -open neighborhood  $U$  for  $x$  and a  $\tau_2$ -open neighborhood  $V$  for  $y$  such that  $U \cap V = \Phi$ , so  $U \subseteq V^c$  the complement of  $V$ . Therefore  $cl_2(U) \subseteq V^c$ , and hence  $y \notin cl_2(U)$ .*

One can show by the same argument also  $x \notin cl_1(V)$  for some  $\tau_2$ -open neighborhood  $V$  for  $y$ .

**Remark 65** *Observe that every  $\tau_1$ -closed ( $\tau_2$ -closed) subset in  $p$ -paracompact bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_2$ -paracompact ( $\tau_1$ -paracompact). To show that consider a  $\tau_1$ -closed subset  $A$  and choose an arbitrary  $\tau_2$ -open cover for it, that is  $\cup_\alpha V_\alpha$ , so  $\cup_\alpha (V_\alpha \cap A)$  forms a cover for  $A$  with relative  $\tau_2$ -topology. Then the complement of  $A, A^c$  with  $\cup_\alpha V_\alpha$  forms a  $p$ -open cover for  $X$ , and this cover has an open locally finite refinement cover for  $X$  since  $X$  is a  $p$ -paracompact bitopological space, hence  $A$  has a  $\tau_2$  open locally finite refinement cover of  $\cup_\alpha V_\alpha$ , and then of  $\cup_\alpha (V_\alpha \cap A)$ , i.e  $A$  is  $\tau_2$ -paracompact.*

Now we can show the previous proposition.

**Proof 66** *Consider any  $\tau_2$ -closed set  $F$ . Let  $x \notin F$ , so for each  $y \in F$  there is a  $\tau_1$ -open neighborhood  $U_{x,y}$  for  $y$  such that its closure under  $\tau_2$  does not contain  $x$ , i.e  $\exists$  a  $\tau_1$ -open neighborhood  $U_{x,y}$  such that  $y \in U_{x,y}$  and  $x \notin cl_2(U_{x,y})$ . Continue in this way then we get  $\forall y \in F, \exists$  a  $\tau_1$ -open neighborhood  $U_{x,y}$  such that  $y \in U_{x,y}$  and  $x \notin cl_2(U_{x,y})$ , therefore  $F \subseteq \cup_{y \in B} U_{x,y}$ , so  $F \subseteq \cup_{y \in B} U_{x,y}^*$  where  $\{U_{x,y}^* : y \in F\}$  is  $\tau_1$ -open locally finite refinement cover of  $\{U_{x,y} : y \in F\}$ , and  $x \notin \cup_{y \in B} cl_2(U_{x,y}^*)$ . But  $\cup_{y \in B} cl_2(U_{x,y}^*) = cl_2(\cup_{y \in B} U_{x,y}^*)$  since  $\{U_{x,y}^* : y \in F\}$  is locally finite set. Consequently  $x \notin cl_2(\cup_{y \in B} U_{x,y}^*)$  and then  $x \in (cl_2(\cup_{y \in B} U_{x,y}^*))^c$ , the complement set which is  $\tau_2$ -open, i.e there are disjoint  $\tau_1$ -open set contains  $F$  that is  $(\cup_{y \in B} U_{x,y}^*)$  and  $\tau_2$ -open set  $(cl_2(\cup_{y \in B} U_{x,y}^*))^c$ . Hence the result.*

Finally we can conclude the following result.

**Proposition 67** *Every  $p$ -paracompact,  $p.w.T_2$  bitopological space is  $p$ -normal.*

**Proof 68** *Consider the disjoint  $\tau_1$ -closed  $A$  and  $\tau_2$ -closed  $B$ . Then For each  $x \in A$  there exists disjoint  $\tau_2$ -open neighborhood  $V_x$  and  $\tau_1$ -open neighborhood  $U_x$  such that  $x \in V_x$ , and  $B \subseteq U_x$ , hence we have  $A \subseteq \cup_{x \in A} V_x$ . Because  $A$  is  $\tau_2$ -paracompact, then  $A \subseteq \cup_{x \in A} V_x^*$ , where  $\{V_x^* : x \in A\}$  is a locally finite refinement set of  $\{V_x\}$ . Choose any  $\tau_1$ -open neighborhood  $U_x$  containing  $B$  and take the  $\tau_2$ -open neighborhood  $(\cup_{x \in A} V_x^*) \setminus cl_2(U_x)$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p$ -normal.*

#### References:

- [1] E. Almohor, and H. Hdeib, On pairwise L-closed spaces, T. of advances in Mathematics and computer sciences, Vol.30., No.4.,(2019), pp. 1-8.
- [2] A. Fora, and H. Hdeib, Pairwise lindlöf spaces, Revista Colombiana de Math, No.17.,(1983), pp. 37-58.
- [3] M. Seagrove, On bitopological spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, Iowa State University, (1971). .
- [4] A. Kilićman, and Z. Salleh, On pairwise lindlöf bitopological spaces, Topology and its Applications, No.154.,(2007), pp.1600-1607.
- [5] J.C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc., Vol.13., No.3.,(1963), pp.71-89.
- [6] H. Hdeib, and C.M. Pareek, Q&A in General Topology, Vol.4.,(1986), pp. 3-13.

## **Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (Attribution 4.0 International, CC BY 4.0)**

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0

[https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en\\_US](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en_US)