

Generalization Fixed Points of Multivalued α -Admissible Mappings in 2-Metric Spaces

HANY A. ATIA^{1,*} MONA S. BAKRY², AYA A. ABD-ELRASHED¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, EGYPT

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Tanta University, EGYPT

Abstract: - In this paper, we create some fixed-point results of multivalued α -admissible of 2-metric spaces. We introduce Hausdorff distance in 2-metric space, use it in our theorem. We investigated the existence of some fixed point results for new types of contraction. We study the stability of fixed point set.

Key-Words: - Metric spaces, 2-metric spaces, multivalued α -admissible mappings, fixed point, Hausdorff metric, α - ψ -contraction.

Received: March 25, 2021. Revised: December 17, 2021. Accepted: January 10, 2021. Published: February 4, 2022.

1 Introduction

One of the most valuable findings is the popular Banach contraction mapping principle [1] in nonlinear analysis. It was used in many different mathematical branches and in the general physical sciences. Metric fixed-point theory developed in various directions by mathematicians over the years. A comprehensive account is provided of that development Kirk and Sims in the Handbook [2]. Extended the contraction mapping theory of Banach by the usage of a legal Contractive Situation by Dass and Gupta [3]. Abu-Donia establishes some fixed point theorems in some types of metric spaces [cf.4-8]. Aubin and Cellina discuss some elements of this research in their book [9]. Nadler[10] expanded the Banach principle of contraction to set-valued mappings by the Hausdorff metric. Driven by Nadler's results, much research is done on fixed points multi-valued functions were conducted using this Hausdorff metric in different directions by multiple authors [11-15]. Stability is a approach associated with the limiting attitude of a system. It has been studied in various contexts of discrete and continuous dynamical systems [16,17]. Studies of the relation between the convergence of a mapping sequence and its fixed Points, known as stability of fixed points, were also widely studied in different settings [18-20]. the set of fixed points of multivalued mappings becomes bigger and hence more important for the study of stability. In [21] Samet et al. presented the definition of α -admissible mapping and a new group contractive mapping type known as α - ψ -contractive mapping type.[21] Expansion and generalization of current fixed-point

literature results, in fact, the Banach's contraction principle. In addition, Karapinar and Samet [22] widespreaded the α - ψ -contractive type mappings and access assorted fixed point theorems for this generalized class of contractive mappings. Since then, fixed point results of α -admissible mappings have been established, such as [23,24].

The concepts from setvalued analysis that we use in this paper are as follows. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 N(X) &= \{A: A \text{ is a non empty subset of } X\}, \\
 S(X) &= \{A: A \text{ is a non empty compact subset of } X\}, \\
 B(X) &= \{A: A \text{ is a non empty bounded subset of } X\} \text{ and} \\
 SB(X) &= \{A: A \text{ is a non empty closed and bounded subset of } X\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in X$ and $B \in N(X)$, the function $D(x, B)$, and for $A, B \in SB(X)$, the function $H(A, B)$ are defined

as follows:

$$D(x, B) = \inf\{d(x, y): y \in B\}$$

and

$$H(A, B) = \max \{\sup_{x \in A} D(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} D(y, A)\}.$$

H is established the Hausdorff metric induced by the metric d on $SB(X)$ [23]. encourage, if (X, d) is a complete then $SB(X), H$ is also complete.

The following lemma Nadler[18] generated by Nadler[18]

Lemma 1.1 [18] Let (X, d) be a metric space and $A, B \in SB(X)$. Let $q > 1$. next for every $x \in A$, there exists $y \in B$ so that $d(x, y) \leq qH(A, B)$.

In [18] Nadler certain that Lemma 1.1 is also accurate for $q \geq 1$, wherever $A, B \in S(X)$. Here we current the lemma

Lemma 1.2 [25] Let (X, d) be a metric space and $A, B \in SB(X)$. Let $q \geq 1$. next for every $x \in A$, there exists $y \in B$ so that $d(x, y) \leq qH(A, B)$.

The following is aftereffect of Lemma 1.2

Lemma 1.3 [25] Let two non-empty compact subsets of a metric space (X, d) are A and B and T is a multivalued mapping since $T: A \rightarrow S(B)$ Let $q \geq 1$. Next for $a, b \in A$ and $x \in Ta$, there endure $y \in Tb$ so that $d(x, y) \leq qH(Ta, Tb)$.

Definition 1.1 [21]. Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. We express that T is an α -admissible mapping if $x, y \in X$,

$$\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1.$$

In the following we characterize multivalued α -admissible mapping. $N(X)$ in the interpretation stand for the collection of all nonempty subsets of a nonempty set X .

Definition 1.2 [25]. Let $T: X \rightarrow N(X)$ a multivalued mapping since X is non-empty set and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. For $x_0, y_0 \in X$ the mapping T called multivalued α -admissible if $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(x_1, y_1) \geq 1$ where $x_1 \in Tx_0$ and $y_1 \in Ty_0$.

Definition 1.3 [25]. Let $T: X \rightarrow SB(Y)$ be a multivalued mapping, since $(X, \sigma), (Y, d)$ are two metric spaces and H is the Hausdorff metric on $SB(Y)$. The mapping T is called continuous at $x \in X$ if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X and $H(Tx, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$ when $\sigma(x, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Definition 1.4 [25] Let $p: X \rightarrow X$ a single-valued mapping, $T: X \rightarrow N(X)$ a multivalued mapping and X is a non-empty set. A point $x \in X$ is a fixed point of p (resp. T) iff $x = px$ (resp. $x \in Tx$).

2 Main Results

for $x \in X$ and $B, C \in N(X)$, for A, B and $C \in SB(X)$ the functions

$$H(A, B, C) = \max\{\sup_{x \in A} D(x, B, C), \sup_{y \in B} D(y, A, C), \sup_{z \in C} D(z, A, B)\},$$

where

$$D(x, B, C) = \inf\{d(x, y, z): y \in B, z \in C\}.$$

H is known as the Hausdorff metric induced by the 2-metric d on $SB(X)$. If (X, d) is complete then $(SB(X), H)$ is also complete.

We established the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 Let (X, d) be a 2-metric space and A, B and $C \in SB(X)$. Let $q \geq 1$. next for every $x \in A$, there exists $y \in B$ and $z \in C$ so that $d(x, y, z) \leq qH(A, B, C)$.

proof. Let A, B and $C \in S(X)$. and $x \in A$. Since A, B and $C \in S(X)$, the result is true if $q > 1$. So, we shall prove the result for $q = 1$. Now, we know that

$$H(A, B, C) = \max\{\sup_{x \in A} D(x, B, C), \sup_{y \in B} D(y, A, C), \sup_{z \in C} D(z, A, B)\}.$$

From the definition,

$p = D(x, B, C) = \inf\{d(x, y, z): y \in B, z \in C\} \leq H(A, B, C)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in B such that $d(x, y_n, z) \rightarrow p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since B is compact, $\{y_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{y_{n(k)}\}$. Hence there exists $y \in B$ such that $y_{n(k)} \rightarrow y$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. As B is compact, it is closed and $y \in B$. Now, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, y_n, z) = p$

implies that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x, y_{n(k)}, z) = p$, that is, $d(x, y, z) = p = D(x, B, C) \leq H(A, B, C)$.

Hence the proof is completed.

the following is consequence of Lemma 2.1

Lemma 2.2 Let A, B and C are non-empty compact subsets of a 2-metric space (X, d) , where $R = B \cup C$ and T is a multivalued mapping since $T: A \rightarrow S(R)$ Let $q \geq 1$. Next for a, b and $c \in A, x \in Ta$ there endure $y \in Tb$ and $z \in Tc$ so that $d(x, y, z) \leq qH(Ta, Tb, Tc)$.

Definition 2.1 Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. We say that T is an α -admissible mapping if $x, y, z \in X$,

$$\alpha(x, y, z) \geq 1 \implies \alpha(Tx, Ty, Tz) \geq 1.$$

Definition 2.2 Let (X, d) be a 2-metric space and $T: X \rightarrow N(X)$ a multivalued mapping since $\alpha: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. For x_0, y_0 and $z_0 \in X$ the mapping T called multivalued α -admissible if

$$\alpha(x, y, z) \geq 1 \implies \alpha(x_1, y_1, z_1) \geq 1$$

where $x_1 \in Tx_0, y_1 \in Ty_0$ and $z_1 \in Tz_0$

Definition 2.3 Let $(X, d), (Y, \sigma)$ are two 2-metric spaces, $T: X \rightarrow SB(Y)$ and H is the Hausdorff metric on $SB(Y)$. The mapping T is said to be continuous at $x \in X$ if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $X, H(Tx, Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $d(x, x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.1 Let (X, d) be a complete 2-metric space, $\alpha: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $T: X \rightarrow S(X)$ a multivalued mapping. Let T be multivalued α -admissible and continuous. Let $\psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function and continuous with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^n(t) < \infty$ and $\psi(t) < t$ for each $t > 0$. Suppose that for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$\alpha(x, y, z)H(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x, y, z), D(x, Tx, T^2x), D(y, Ty, T^2y), \frac{D(x, y, Tz) - D(x, z, Tz)}{2}, \frac{D(y, Ty, T^2y)[1 + D(x, Tx, T^2x)]}{1 + d(x, y, z)}, \frac{D(z, Ty, T^2z)[1 + D(x, Ty, T^2z)]}{1 + d(x, y, z)}\}). \quad (1)$$

if there exist $x_0 \in X, x_1 \in Tx_0$ and $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1, x_2) \geq 1$, then T has a fixed point in X .

Proof From the condition, there exist $x_0 \in X, x_1 \in Tx_0$ and $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1, x_2) \geq 1$. By lemma 2.2, for $x_2 \in Tx_1$ there exists $x_3 \in Tx_2$ such that $d(x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq \alpha(x_0, x_1, x_2)H(Tx_0, Tx_1, Tx_2)$. Employ (1) and applying the monotone property of ψ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, x_2, x_3) &\leq \alpha(x_0, x_1, x_2)H(Tx_0, Tx_1, Tx_2) \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{d(x_0, x_1, x_2), D(x_0, Tx_0, T^2x_0), \frac{D(x_1, Tx_1, T^2x_1), D(x_0, x_1, Tx_2) - D(x_0, x_2, Tx_2)}{2}, \frac{D(x_1, Tx_1, T^2x_1)[1 + D(x_0, Tx_0, T^2x_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1, x_2)}, \frac{D(x_2, Tx_1, T^2x_0)[1 + D(x_0, Tx_1, T^2x_2)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1, x_2)}\}) \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{d(x_0, x_1, x_2), d(x_0, x_1, x_2), \frac{d(x_0, x_1, x_3) - d(x_0, x_2, x_3)}{2}, \frac{d(x_1, x_2, x_3)[1 + d(x_0, x_1, x_2)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1, x_2)}, \frac{d(x_2, x_2, x_2)[1 + d(x_0, x_2, x_4)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1, x_2)}\}) \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{d(x_0, x_1, x_2), d(x_1, x_2, x_3), d(x_0, x_1, x_2) + d(x_0, x_2, x_3) + \frac{d(x_1, x_2, x_3) - d(x_0, x_2, x_3)}{2}\}) \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{d(x_0, x_1, x_2), d(x_1, x_2, x_3)\}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &d(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{d(x_0, x_1, x_2), d(x_1, x_2, x_3)\}). \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Now, if

$$\max\{d(x_0, x_1, x_2), d(x_1, x_2, x_3)\} = d(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

Then from (2) and property of ψ

$$d(x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq \psi(d(x_1, x_2, x_3)) < d(x_1, x_2, x_3),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence

$d(x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq d(x_0, x_1, x_2)$. Then from (2), we have

$$d(x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq \psi d(x_0, x_1, x_2). \quad (3)$$

Since $x_1 \in Tx_0, x_2 \in Tx_1$ and $x_3 \in Tx_2$ and $\alpha(x_0, x_1, x_2) \geq 1$, the α -admissibility of T implies that $\alpha(x_1, x_2, x_3) \geq 1$. By Lemma (2.2), for $x_3 \in Tx_2$ there exists $x_4 \in Tx_3$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &d(x_2, x_3, x_4) \\ &\leq \alpha(x_1, x_2, x_3)H(Tx_1, Tx_2, Tx_3). \end{aligned}$$

employ (1) and using the monotone property of ψ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_2, x_3, x_4) &\leq \alpha(x_1, x_2, x_3)H(Tx_1, Tx_2, Tx_3) \\
 &\leq \psi(\max\{d(x_1, x_2, x_3), D(x_1, Tx_1, T^2x_1), \\
 &\quad D(x_2, Tx_2, T^2x_2), \\
 &\quad \frac{D(x_1, x_2, Tx_3) - D(x_1, x_3, Tx_3)}{2}, \\
 &\quad \frac{D(x_2, Tx_2, T^2x_2)[1 + D(x_1, Tx_1, T^2x_1)]}{1 + d(x_1, x_2, x_3)}, \\
 &\quad \frac{D(x_3, Tx_2, T^2x_1)[1 + D(x_1, Tx_2, T^2x_3)]}{1 + d(x_1, x_2, x_3)}\}) \\
 &\leq \psi(\max\{d(x_1, x_2, x_3), d(x_1, x_2, x_3), \\
 &\quad d(x_2, x_3, x_4), \frac{d(x_1, x_2, x_4) - d(x_1, x_3, x_4)}{2}, \\
 &\quad \frac{d(x_2, x_3, x_4)[1 + d(x_1, x_2, x_3)]}{1 + d(x_1, x_2, x_3)}, \\
 &\quad \frac{d(x_3, x_3, x_3)[1 + d(x_1, x_3, x_5)]}{1 + d(x_1, x_2, x_3)}\}) \\
 &\leq \psi(\max\{d(x_1, x_2, x_3), d(x_2, x_3, x_4), \\
 &\quad d(x_1, x_2, x_3) + d(x_1, x_3, x_4) + \\
 &\quad \frac{d(x_2, x_3, x_4) - d(x_1, x_3, x_4)}{2}\}) \\
 &\leq
 \end{aligned}$$

$\psi(\max\{d(x_1, x_2, x_3), d(x_2, x_3, x_4)\})$.
 Suppose that $d(x_1, x_2, x_3) < d(x_2, x_3, x_4)$.
 Then $d(x_2, x_3, x_4) \neq 0$ and it follows by (4) and property of ψ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_2, x_3, x_4) &\leq \psi(d(x_2, x_3, x_4)) \\
 &< d(x_2, x_3, x_4),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Then from (4) we have

$$d(x_2, x_3, x_4) \leq \psi(d(x_1, x_2, x_3)). \quad (5)$$

Since $x_2 \in Tx_1, x_3 \in Tx_2$ and $x_4 \in Tx_3$ and $\alpha(x_1, x_2, x_3) \geq 1$, the α -admissibility of T implies that $\alpha(x_2, x_3, x_4) \geq 1$. Continuing this process, we build up a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that for all $n \geq 0$

$$x_{n+1} \in Tx_n, \quad (6)$$

$$\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \geq 1, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) \\
 \leq \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})). \quad (8)
 \end{aligned}$$

By copied operation (8) and monotone property of ψ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) \\
 \leq \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \\
 \leq \psi^2(d(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq \dots \\
 \leq \psi^{n+1}(d(x_0, x_1, x_2)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by a property of ψ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_n d(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\
 \leq \sum_n \psi^n(d(x_0, x_1, x_2)) < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

This appearance that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. From the completeness of X , there exists $z \in X$ such that

$$x_n \rightarrow z \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (9)$$

Since $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$, we have

$$D(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, Tz) \leq H(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n, Tz).$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the raised inequality, and accepting (9) and the continuity of T , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(z, z, Tz) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, Tz) \leq \\
 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n, Tz) &= 0, \\
 \text{that is, } D(z, z, Tz) &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $Tz \in S(x)$, Tz is compact and hence Tz is closed, that is, $Tz = \overline{Tz}$, where \overline{Tz} denotes the closure of Tz . Now, $D(z, z, Tz) = 0$ implies that $z \in \overline{Tz} = Tz$, that is, z is a fixed point of T .

Theorem 2.2 Let (X, d) be a 2-metric space, $T_i: X \rightarrow S(X)$, $i = 1, 2$ be two multivalued mapping and $\alpha: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Let each $T_i, i = 1, 2$ be continuous and multivalued α -admissible. Let $\psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a continuous and nondecreasing function with $\Phi(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^n(t) < \infty$, $\Phi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $\psi(t) < t$ for each $t > 0$. Suppose that (i) each $T_i, i = 1, 2$ satisfying (1), that is, for all x, y and $z \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha(x, y, z)H(T_i x, T_i y, T_i z) \leq \\
 \psi(\max\{d(x, y, z), D(x, T_i x, T_i^2 x), D(y, T_i y, T_i^2 y), \\
 \frac{D(x, y, T_i z) - D(x, z, T_i z)}{2}\}),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{D(y, T_i y, T_i^2 y)[1 + D(x, T_i x, T_i^2 x)]}{1 + d(x, y, z)},$$

$$\frac{D(z, T_i y, T_i^2 x)[1 + D(x, T_i y, T_i^2 z)]}{1 + d(x, y, z)}\};$$

(ii) for any $x \in F(T_1)$, and $y \in T_2 x$, we have $\alpha(x, y, z) \geq 1$ whenever $z \in T_3 x$; and for any $x \in F(T_2)$ and $y \in T_1 x$, we have $\alpha(x, y, z) \geq 1$ whenever $z \in T_3 x$.

Then $H(F(T_1), F(T_2), F(T_3)) \leq \Phi(w)$, where $w = \sup_{x \in X} H(T_1 x, T_2 x, T_3 x)$.

Proof From Theorem 2.1, the set of fixed point of $T_i (i = 1, 2)$ are non-empty, that is, $F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$, for $i = 1, 2$. Let $y_0 \in F(T_1)$, that is, $y_0 \in T_1 y_0$. Then by Lemma 2.1, there exists $y_1 \in T_2 y_0$ and $y_2 \in T_3 y_0$ such that

$$d(y_0, y_1, y_2) \leq H(T_1 y_0, T_2 y_0, T_3 y_0). \quad (10)$$

Since $y_0 \in F(T_1)$, $y_1 \in T_2 y_0$ and $y_2 \in T_3 y_0$, by condition (ii), we have $\alpha(y_0, y_1, y_2) \geq 1$. By lemma 2.2, for $y_1 \in T_2 y_0, y_2 \in T_2 y_1$ there exists $y_3 \in T_2 y_2$ such that

$$d(y_1, y_2, y_3) \leq \alpha(y_0, y_1, y_2) H(T_2 y_0, T_2 y_1, T_2 y_2).$$

Then contend similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we construct a sequence y_n such that for all $n \geq 0$

$$y_{n+1} \in T_2 y_n, \quad (11)$$

$$\alpha(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \geq 1, \quad (12)$$

$$d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}) \leq \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})) \quad (13)$$

and

$$d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}) \leq \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})) \leq \psi^2(d(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1})) \leq \dots \leq \psi^{n+1}(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)). \quad (14)$$

Contend similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we prove that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence X and there exists $v \in X$ such that

$$y_n \rightarrow v \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (15)$$

further v is a fixed point of T_2 , that is, $v \in T_2 v$.

Now, from (10) and the definition of w , we have

$$d(y_0, y_1, y_2) \leq H(T_1 y_0, T_2 y_0, T_3 y_0) \leq w = \sup_{x \in X} H(T_1 x, T_2 x, T_3 x). \quad (16)$$

Repeatedly, by the triangle inequality and using (14), we have

$$d(y_0, y_1, v) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n (d(y_i, y_{i+1}, y_{i+2})) + d(y_n, y_{n+2}, v) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, v) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n \psi^i(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + d(y_n, y_{n+2}, v) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, v).$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, using (15), (16) and the properties of ψ , we have

$$d(y_0, y_1, v) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi^i(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi^i(w) = \Phi(w).$$

Thus, given arbitrary $y_0 \in F(T_1)$, we can find $v \in F(T_2)$ for which

$$d(y_0, y_1, v) \leq \Phi(w).$$

Similarly, we can prove that for arbitrary $c_0 \in F(T_2)$, there exists a $p \in F(T_1)$ such that $d(c_0, c_1, p) \leq \Phi(w)$. Hence, we conclude that $H(F(T_1), F(T_2), F(T_3)) \leq \Phi(w)$.

3 Conclusion

In this paper we established the existence of fixed points of multivalued α -admissible mappings in 2-metric spaces. and we investigated the stability of fixed point, also we introduced and studied the notion of multivalued α -admissible in 2-metric spaces

References:

- [1] Banach, S.: Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs applications aux équations intégrales. Fund. Math. 3, 133–181 (1922).
- [2] Kirk, W.A., Sims, B.: Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory. Springer, Netherlands (2001).
- [3] Dass, B.K., Gupta, S.: An extension of Banach contraction principle through

- rational expressions. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 6, 1455–1458 (1975).
- [4] Abu-Donia, H. M., Atia, H. A., Khater, O. M. "Fixed point theorem by using ψ -contraction and (ψ, ϕ) -contraction in probabilistic 2-metric spaces". *Alexandria Engineering Journal* 59(3)(2020), pp.1239-1242.
- [5] Abu-Donia, H. M., Atia, H. A., Khater, O. M. "Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and intuitionistic (φ, ψ) -contractive mappings". *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications (JNSA)*, 13(6)(2020).
- [6] Abu-Donia, H. M., Atia, H. A., Khater, O. M. "Some fixed point theorems in fuzzy 2-metric spaces under ψ -contractive mappings". *Numerical and Computational Methods in Sciences and Engineering* 2(1)(2020), pp.11-15.
- [7] Abu-Donia, H. M., Atia, H. A., Khater, O. M. "Fixed point theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy 3-metric spaces under strict contractive conditions". *Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences*. 14(6)(2020), pp1-5.
- [8] Abu-Donia, H. M., Atia, H. A., Khater, O. M. "Fixed point theorems for compatible mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy 3-metric spaces". *Thermal Science*, 24 (Suppl. 1), 371-376.
- [9] Aubin, J.P., Cellina, A.: *Differential inclusions multivalued maps and viability theory*. Springer, Berlin(1984)
- [10] Nadler Jr, S.B.: Multivalued contraction mapping. *Pac. J. Math.* 30, 475–488 (1969).
- [11] Ćirić, L.B., Ume, J.S.: Some common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 314, 488–499 (2006).
- [12] Fakhari, M.: Endpoints of set-valued asymptotic contractions in metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24,428–431 (2011).
- [13] Goebel, K., Kirk, W.A.: *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990).
- [14] Gordji, M.E., Baghani, H., Khodaei, H., Ramezani, M.: A generalization of Nadler's fixed point theorem. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 3, 148–151 (2010).
- [15] Harandi, A.A.: End points of setvalued contractions in metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* 72, 132–134 (2010).
- [16] Robinson, C.: *Dynamical Systems: Stability, Symbolic Dynamics, and Chaos*, 2nd edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton (1998).
- [17] Strogatz, S.: *Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos: With Applications to Physics, Biology, Chemistry, and Engineering*. Westview Press, Boulder (2001).
- [18] Lim, T.C.: Fixed point stability for set valued contractive mappings with applications to generalized differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 110, 436–441 (1985).
- [19] Markin, J.T.: A fixed point stability theorem for nonexpansive set valued mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 54, 441–443 (1976).
- [20] Nadler Jr, S.B.: Sequences of contractions and fixed points. *Pac. J. Math.* 27, 579–585 (1968).
- [21] Samet, B., Vetro, C., Vetro, P.: Fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contractive type mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.* 75, 2154–2165 (2012).
- [22] Karapinar, E., Samet, B.: Generalized α - ψ contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2012, Article ID 793486 (2012).
- [23] Karapinar, E., Agarwal, R.P.: A note on 'Coupled fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contractive-type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013, 216 (2013).
- [24] Salimi, P., Latif, A., Hussain, N.: Modified α - ψ -contractive mappings with applications. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013, 151 (2013).
- [25] Choudhury, B.S., Metiya, N., Bandyopadhyay, c.: Fixed points of multivalued α -admissible mappings. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2015) 64:43–55.

Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (Attribution 4.0 International, CC BY 4.0)

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en_US