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#### Abstract

This paper presents a ready-to-use formula for determining the number and approximate location of periodic orbits in second-order Lienard systems. As a result of the exact closed-form derived in [16], in which an ordinary differential equation (ODE) must be solved to determine the existence and location of periodic orbits for general non-conservative oscillators, a homotopy functional is defined for Lienard-type systems. This provides a closed-form and ready-to-use polynomial formula with roots as an approximation of the periodic orbit's amplitude. In addition, some examples are analyzed, along with conclusions and future plans.
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## 1 Introduction

It is well known that dynamical systems written as a single or a collection of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) need to be evaluated to determine their parametric properties (see for instance [1], [2] and [3]).

Oscillations and periodic orbits are key behaviors of general ODEs ([4]). Determining periodic orbits can be complex and sometimes impossible using closedform or accurate approximate formulas or reducedorder models ([5], [6]).

Even second-order ODEs in the plane can exhibit intricate periodic patterns that defy closed-form analysis (see [7]).
Simplified versions of these systems have been proposed as toy models for such complexity ([8]). Two key studies in this field are discussed in [9]:

- Determine all possible periodic orbits with a given initial amplitude
- Time parameterization in time

The first case involves determining a single number, the period, while the second case is more complex and intricate. See references [4] and [10] for further details.

It is well-known that a second-order oscillator has a non-differential relation between its period and amplitude known as a first- integral (see for instance [11] and [12]). However, computing this firstintegral can be challenging due to complex integrals.

In [13], a reduced dynamics approach was introduced to calculate a subset of periodic orbits by solving an equivalent first-order ODE. Applying this method to Mickens' oscillator (referenced in [14]-[15]) yields a closed-form formula for their amplitude-period relation ([16]).

Solving the reduced order ODE presented in [16] provides a complete solution to upper bound the number of limit cycles for Lienard systems ([6]).

However, estimating the location and amplitudes of periodic orbits remains challenging.

This paper presents a formula for identifying the number and location of periodic orbits in second order Lienard systems. It introduces a homotopy and a reduced order ODE from a previous study, offering a polynomial formula with roots to approximate the amplitude of the periodic orbits.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 outlines the method with an appropriate homotopy, Section 3 provides application examples, Section 4 discusses precision and the potential Artificial Computational Intelligence extensions, and Section 5 offers some conclusions and future research directions.

## 2 The Homotopy-First Integral method

According to [13] and [16], given a second order ODE:

$$
\ddot{x}(t)=f(x(t), \dot{x}(t))
$$

Where $\dot{x}$ means time derivatives, a periodic orbit of amplitude $A$ exists if and only if the following first order ODE possess solution for $x \in[0, A]$ :

$$
\frac{d \phi(x)}{d x}=\frac{f(x, \phi(x))}{\phi(x)}, \phi \in \mathbb{R}, \phi(A)=0
$$

If we restrict ourselves to the Lienard's case:

$$
f(x, \dot{x})=-x-\frac{d F(x)}{d x} \cdot \dot{x}
$$

Then, it is possible to define an homotopy (see for instance [17]):
$(1-p) \cdot\left(\phi(x) \cdot \frac{d F(x)}{d x}\right)+$
$+p \cdot\left(\phi(x) \cdot \frac{d F(x)}{d x}+x+\phi(x) \cdot \frac{d \phi(x)}{d x}\right)=0, \quad p \in[0,1]$

Assuming the classical series representation for $\phi(x)$ ([17]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p^{i} \cdot \phi_{i}(x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing (2) into (1), the homotopy equation to solve leads:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{d F(x)}{d x} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p^{i} \cdot \phi_{i}(x)+p \cdot\left(x+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p^{i} \cdot \phi_{i}(x) \cdot\right. \\
\left.\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p^{j} \cdot \frac{d \phi_{j}(x)}{d x}\right)=0, p \in[0,1] \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

To maintain the equality with zero, every all coefficients in this series must be zero:
$p^{1}$

$$
\frac{d F(x)}{d x} \cdot \phi_{1}+x=0 \Rightarrow \phi_{1}(x)=-\frac{x}{F(x)^{\prime}}
$$

Where $F(x)^{\prime}=\frac{d F(x)}{d x}$.
$p^{2}$

$$
\frac{d F(x)}{d x} \cdot \phi_{2}=0 \Rightarrow \phi_{2}(x)=0
$$

$p^{3}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d F(x)}{d x} \cdot \phi_{3}(x)+\phi_{1}(x) \cdot \phi_{1}(x)^{\prime}=0 \Longrightarrow \\
\phi_{3}(x)=-\frac{\phi_{1}(x) \cdot \phi_{1}(x)^{\prime}}{F(x)^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $\phi_{1}(x)^{\prime}=\frac{d \phi_{1}(x)}{d x}$. The sequence can be continued, by adding more terms, but (2) can be also truncated at $i=3$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi(x) \cong \phi_{1}(x)+\phi_{3}(x)=-\frac{x}{F(x)^{\prime}}-\frac{\phi_{1}(x) \cdot \phi_{1}(x)^{\prime}}{F(x)^{\prime}}= \\
-\frac{\left(x-\left(\frac{x}{F(x)^{\prime}}\right) \cdot \phi_{1}(x)^{\prime}\right)}{F(x)^{\prime}}, \phi(A)=0 \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (4) can be further developed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x) \square-\left[\frac{x-\frac{x}{F(x)^{\prime}} \cdot\left(-\frac{F(x)^{\prime}-x \cdot F(x)^{\prime \prime}}{F(x)^{\prime 2}}\right)}{F(x)^{\prime}}\right], \quad \phi(A)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, replacing $x=A$ in (5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{A-\frac{A}{F(A)^{\prime}} \cdot\left(-\frac{F(A)^{\prime}-A \cdot F(A)^{\prime \prime}}{F(A)^{\prime 2}}\right)}{F(A)^{\prime}}\right]=0 \Leftrightarrow A-\frac{A}{F(A)^{\prime}} \cdot\left(-\frac{F(A)^{\prime}-A \cdot F(A)^{n}}{F(A)^{\prime 2}}\right)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $F(A)^{\prime}=\left.F(x)^{\prime}\right|_{x=A}$ and $F(A)^{\prime \prime}=$ $\left.F(x)^{\prime \prime}\right|_{x=A}$. It is important to note that:

$$
\text { If } F(A)^{\prime}=0 \Rightarrow(3) \text { does not depend on } F(x)
$$

Finally, from (6) with $F(A)^{\prime} \neq 0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(A)^{\prime 3}=-F(A)^{\prime}+A \cdot F(A)^{\prime \prime} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Application examples

Using (7), we can predict the presence of limit cycles and estimate their amplitudes.

## Example 1

Considering the well-known Van der Pol's oscillator ([18]):

$$
f(x, \dot{x})=-x-\left(3 \cdot x^{2}-1\right) \cdot \dot{x}
$$

Equation (7) leads:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
F(A)^{\prime 3}=-F(A)^{\prime}+A \cdot F(A)^{\prime \prime} \Leftrightarrow\left(3 \cdot A^{2}-1\right)^{3} \\
=-\left(3 \cdot A^{2}-1\right)+A \cdot 6 \cdot A
\end{array}
$$

That is $A=0.96443$. In numerical simulations, this oscillator's the amplitude is approximately $A=1.16$.

## Example 2

In [19], the following Lienard system was considered:
$f(x, \dot{x})=-x-\left(0.8 \cdot x-4 \cdot x^{2}+0.32 \cdot 5 \cdot x^{4}\right) \cdot \dot{x}$
In other words:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F(x)=0.8 \cdot x-\frac{4}{3} \cdot x^{3}+0.32 \cdot x^{5} \\
F^{\prime}(x)=0.8-4 \cdot x^{2}+0.32 \cdot 5 \cdot x^{4} \\
F^{\prime \prime}(x)=-8 \cdot x+0.32 \cdot 20 \cdot x^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(F(A)^{\prime}\right)^{3}=-F(A)^{\prime}+A \cdot F(A)^{\prime \prime} \Leftrightarrow \\
& \Leftrightarrow 4.096 \cdot A^{12^{\prime}} 30.72 \cdot A^{10}+82.944 \cdot A^{8^{\prime}} 94.72 \cdot A^{6}+ \\
& +36.672 \cdot A^{4^{\prime}} 3.68 \cdot A^{2}+1.312=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Finding the real roots:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=1.72721 \\
& A_{2}=0.803071
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on the values provided in [19]: $A_{1}=1.8, \quad A_{2}=1$, the accuracy obtained is around $80 \%$ in the worst case.

## Example 3

For instance, in [19], the paper introduces the following parameterized system:

$$
f(x, \dot{x})=-x-\left(20 \cdot x^{3}-6 \cdot \mu \cdot x\right) \cdot \dot{x}
$$

Two limit cycles are present for $\mu>2.5$, while no limit cycles are observed for $\mu<2$. Applying equation (7):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
F(x)=x-\mu \cdot x^{3}+x^{5} \\
F^{\prime}(x)=1-3 \cdot \mu \cdot x^{2}+5 \cdot x^{4} \\
F^{\prime \prime}(x)=-6 \cdot \mu \cdot x+20 \cdot x^{3}
\end{array} \Rightarrow \text { Replacing } A^{2}=y \Rightarrow\right. \\
& \Rightarrow\left(1-3 \cdot \mu \cdot y+5 \cdot y^{2}\right)^{3}=15 \cdot y^{2}-3 \cdot \mu \cdot y-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Obtaining Fig. 1 and Fig. 2:


Figure 1: Parametric curve for Example 2

Then, equation (7) yields:


Figure 2: Zoom in the parametric curve
Clearly, for $\mu>0.55$ two limit cycles exist.

## Example 4

Building on the previous discussion in paper [19], we can now consider a final example:
$\ddot{x}=f(x, \dot{x})=$
$=-x-7 \cdot\left(x^{6}-11.1143 \cdot x^{4}+29.6914 \cdot x^{2}-13.1657\right) \cdot \dot{x}$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}F(x)=x \cdot\left(x^{2}-1.6^{2}\right) \cdot\left(x^{2}-4\right) \cdot\left(x^{2}-9\right) \\ F^{\prime}(x)=7 \cdot\left(x^{6}-11.1143 \cdot x^{4}+29.6914 \cdot x^{2}-13.1657\right) \Rightarrow \\ F^{\prime \prime}(x)=42 \cdot\left(x^{5}-7.40952 \cdot x^{3}+9.89714\right)\end{array}\right.$
$\Rightarrow A_{1}=0.7701, \quad A_{2}=1.8380, \quad A_{3}=2.7133$
Figures 3 and 4 in Matlab illustrate a potential limit cycle with an approximate amplitude of 3.1 in $x(t)$. In this way, the homotopy-first integral serves as both a numerical method for approximating periodic orbit amplitudes and an upper bound for the number of limit cycles.

Based on the conjecture from reference [18] of a single limit cycle without specifying its amplitude, the method in this paper approximates this amplitude value with $A_{3}$ above, suggesting a possible maximum of three limit cycles.


Figure 3: Limit cycle in $x(t)$

## Example 5

Practical applications utilizing oscillation circuits in power electronics, including DC-DC, DC-AC, and LED drivers, can be achieved through the use of relaxation oscillator circuits [21]:

$$
f(x, \dot{x})=-x-\lambda \cdot\left(x^{2}-1\right) \cdot \dot{x}
$$

An harmonic oscillator is obtained when $\lambda=0$ in equation (7):

$$
A=-1
$$



Figure 4: Limit cycle's phase portrait

The polynomial approximation is inaccurate for pure harmonic oscillators due to the third-order truncation in equation (7). However, for $\lambda \neq 0$, the condition for periodic orbits can be determined:

$$
\lambda \geq \frac{1}{27}
$$

The amplitude obtained wit the border value $\lambda=1 / 27$ using equation (7) is $\mathrm{A}=5.3805$. This value is higher than the conjectured value in [20] which falls between 2 and 2.0235, indicating an error of more than double. The following section will provide insights into the accuracy issue.

## 4 Discussion

### 4.1 Approximation accuracy

To assess the accuracy of our approximation formula (7), we can analyze the classical Van der Pol equation in more depth:

$$
f(x, \dot{x})=-x-\mu \cdot\left(x^{2}-1\right) \cdot \dot{x}
$$

According to [20] pp.7, the unique limit cycle has an amplitude between 2 and 2.0235 for all $\mu>0$. When formula (7) is applied:

$$
\mu^{3} \cdot\left(A^{2}-1\right)^{3}=-\mu \cdot\left(A^{2}-1\right)+2 \cdot \mu \cdot A^{2}
$$

In other words:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{2}=\frac{A^{2}+1}{\left(A^{2}-1\right)^{3}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plotting the curve $\mu-A$ as shown in Fig. 5:


Figure 5: $A-\mu$ curve using (7)


Figure 6: Figure 5 zoom
The conjectured interval $A \in[2,2.0235]$ is approximated by the curve in Fig. 5 with $\mu \in$ [0.416,0.43].

On the other hand, for $A<10$, an interval $\mu \in$ $[0.01, \infty)$ is covered. Furthermore, by calculating the estimated amplitude using equation (8) and comparing it to the minimum amplitude suggested in [20], we observe that near-zero or zero error is achieved when $\mu$ values are close to unity (refer to Figures 7 and 8). It is also beneficial to compare the small values approximation in [20]: ( $A \approx 2+7 / 96 \cdot \mu^{2}$ ) with equation (8), as illustrated in Figure 9.


Figure 7: Relative error


Figure 8: Figure 7 zoom


Figure 9: Comparison with reference [20] for small values of $\mu$

### 4.2 Artificial/Computational extensions

Possible extensions to a broader scope include utilizing Deep Neural Networks (DNN) for simulations based on collected data from the nonlinear oscillator ([22] and [23]). This approach can help focus on central points for more accurate results.

## 5 Conclusion

This paper presents a method for determining periodic orbits of general nonlinear oscillators by using closed-form reduced order ODEs derived in a previous study. An homotopy approach is developed to combine with the resulting polynomial from applying the reduced order ODE to Lienard systems.

By defining a classical infinite sum as a solution for the homotopy and truncating it around its third term, formula (7) offers a quick and efficient way to determine both:

- Approximate number of periodic orbits
- Approximate amplitude of periodic orbits

The real roots of the polynomial indicate the locations and quantities of periodic orbits in a Lienard
system.
In future work, a higher truncation order will be used in the homotopy defined in this paper. The extensions discussed here will be further
investigated for general non-linear oscillators, beyond just Lienard oscillators, as the scope of the paper [16] is broad.

As suggested exploring the potential combination of artificial intelligence or computational intelligence is an important future research direction.

The focus will be on extending the formulas and homotopy from this study to develop a general method for approximating and validating oscillations with diverse behaviors, particularly in neuroscience and power electronics, where nonlinear oscillators play a vital role.
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