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#### Abstract

A subset $T \subseteq V$ is a detourmonophonic set of $G$ if each node (vertex) $x$ in G contained in an $p-q$ detourmonophonic path where $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q} \in T$.. The number of points in a minimum detourmonophonic set of G is called as the detourmonophonic number of $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{dm}(\mathrm{G})$. A subset $T \subseteq V$ of a connected graph G is said to be a split detourmonophonic set of G if the set T of vertices is either $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{V}$ or T is detoumonophonic set and $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{T}$ induces a subgraph in which is disconnected. The minimum split detourmonophonic set is split detourmonophonic set with minimum cardinality and it is called a split detourmonophonic number, denoted by $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})$. For certain standard graphs, defined new parameter was identified. Some of the realization results on defined new parameters were established.
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## 1 Introduction

In the whole paper, we assume finite, undirected and connected graphs represented by $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$, where V denotes the set of vertices (nodes or points) and E the set of edges (lines) (without loops or multiple edges), with $|\mathrm{V}|=\mathrm{n}$ and $|\mathrm{E}|=\mathrm{m}$ respectively. For basic concepts, we refer to [1]. The distance, [2], $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q})$ in G is defined as the length of the shortest path between p and q in G . A $p-q$ path of length $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q})$ is referred to as a $p-q$ geodesic. A vertex $x$ is extreme if the neighbors of $x$ induce a complete graph. A set $\mathrm{S} \subseteq \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})$ is termed a geodetic set of G if every vertex of G lies on a x -y geodesic, where x and $y$ are in S. The cardinality of a minimum geodetic set is known as the geodetic number of G , denoted by $g(G)$. The concept of geodetic numbers was introduced and studied in [3], [4], [5].

In a path $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$, an edge $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$ with $\mathrm{j} \geq \mathrm{i}+$ 2 is termed a chord. For nodes p and q in G , a $p-q$ path is considered a monophonic path if this $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{q}$ path is the chordless path. A monophonic set T of G contains every vertex of G in the monophonic path of some pair of points in T . The number of points in
the minimum monophonic set is called the monophonic number and is denoted by $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{G})$. This concept was discussed in detail in [6], [7].

A split monophonic set T of the graph is T is either equal to V or T is a monophonic set and the subgraph $[\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{T}]$ is disconnected. This concept was studied in [8]. A $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{q}$ chordless path with maximum length is known as a p - q detourmonophonic path. A set $\mathrm{T} \subseteq \mathrm{V}$ is a detourmonophonic set of graphs if every nodes $x$ of G contained in a $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{q}$ detourmonophonic path for any nodes p and q in T . The detourmonophonic number of the graph notated by $\operatorname{dm}(\mathrm{G})$, the number of vertices in a minimum detourmonophonic set. This concept was discussed in [9], [10].

A detour monophonic set T is connected if the subgraph induced by T is connected. The number of vertices in the minimum connected detourmonophonic set is the connected detourmonophonic number, denoted by $\mathrm{dmc}(\mathrm{G})$. This concept was discussed in detail in [11]. The concept of outer connected in detour was discussed in detail in [12].

Result 1.1. [9], Every detourmonophonic set contains all its extreme nodes of graph.

## 2 Split Detourmonophonic Number of a Graph

Definition 2.1. A set $T$ of vertices in a connected graph $G$ is a split detour monophonic set if either $T$ is a detour monophonic set and the subgraph induced by $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{T}$ is disconnected or $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{V}$. A split detourmonophonic set with minimum cardinality is a minimum splitdetour monophonic set and this number is the split detourmonophonic number $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})$.


Fig. 1: A graph with $\mathrm{dx}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})=4$
Example 2.2. In a given G, Figure $1, S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{5}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{V}_{6}\right\}$ is a minimum detourmonophonic set and $\operatorname{dm}(\mathrm{G})$ $=3$. It was noticed that $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{S}$ is not disconnected. Let $\mathrm{S}_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{2}, \mathrm{v}_{8}, \mathrm{v}_{5}, \mathrm{v}_{6}\right\}$. $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ is minimum split detourmonophonic set and $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})=4$.

From Example 2.2, it is observed that detour monophonic number differs from the split detour monophonic number.

Result 2.3. Split detour monophonic set of any graph G may or may not contain the cutvertex of the given graph.
Proof. We prove this result by inspecting Example 2.2 , it is observed that the sets $\mathrm{S}_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{2}, \mathrm{v}_{5}, \mathrm{v}_{6}, \mathrm{v}_{8}\right\}$, and $S_{2}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{3}, \mathrm{v}_{5}, \mathrm{v}_{6}\right\}$ are the split detour monophonic sets. Also, $v_{3}$ is cutvertex of $G$ and $v_{3} \in$ $S_{2}$ but $v_{3} \notin S_{1}$. Hence, the cutvertex need not be a member of the split detour monophonic set.

Let us consider another example to express that dm, $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{c}}$ are different


Fig. 2: A graph with $\operatorname{dm}(G) \neq \operatorname{dm}_{s}(G) \neq \mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{G})$
Example 2.4 In above graph Figure 2, $\mathrm{T}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{5}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{v}_{6}, \mathrm{v}_{7}\right\}$ - minimum detourmonophonic set and dm(G) $=4$. Also, the set $\mathrm{T}_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{3}, \mathrm{v}_{5}, \mathrm{v}_{6}, \mathrm{v}_{7}\right\}$ is a minimum split detourmonophonic set, $\operatorname{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})=5$. Clearly, $\mathrm{T}_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \mathrm{v}_{3}, \mathrm{v}_{4}, \mathrm{v}_{5}, \mathrm{v}_{6}, \mathrm{v}_{7}\right\}$ is the minimum connected detourmonophonic set, $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{G})$ $=7$. Hence, the $d m(G) \neq \mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(G) \neq \mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{G})$.

We know that the detourmonophonic set is contained in split detourmonophonic set and this implies $d m(G) \leq \mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})$. Also, a split detourmonophonic set is contained in a connected detourmonophonic set. Hence, $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G}) \leq \mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{G})$. Combining the above result, we can state the theorem as
Result 2.5 For graph with order $\mathrm{n}, 2 \leq \mathrm{dm}(\mathrm{G}) \leq$ $\operatorname{dms}(G) \leq \operatorname{dmc}(G) \leq n, \operatorname{dms}(G) \neq \mathrm{n}-1$.
Result 2.6 Every extreme node of the graph in split detourmonophonic set.
Proof. By Result 1.1, Every extreme vertex contained in the detourmonophonic set and also, every detourmonophonic set is a subset of the split detourmonophonic set. Hence every extreme vertex belongs to a split detourmonophonic set.
Corollary 2.7 In complete graph $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n} \geq 2)$, $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{Kn})$ n .
Remark 2.8 Converse of the above fact need not be true. For the graphs $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}$, it is clear that $\mathrm{dm}_{5}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)$ $=3$ and $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)=4$.

Result 2.9 For any cycle $G=C_{n}(n \geq 4), \operatorname{dm}_{s}(G)=$ $\{2$ if $n$ even $\{3$ if n odd
Proof. Let the cycle $G=C_{n}(n \geq 4)$ be $C n: v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots$, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}$, $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ of order n . For n even. the set $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n} / 2+1}\right\}$ is a minimum split detourmonophonic set. In general, we can generate $\frac{n}{2}$ minimum split detourmonophonic sets which can be represented as $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n} / 2+\mathrm{i}}\right\}$ where $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots$, $\mathrm{n} / 2$ and $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})=2$.
For n odd. On verifying the set $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, v_{\frac{n+1}{2}}, v_{\frac{n+3}{2}}\right\}$ is a smallest split detourmonophonic set. In general,
we can generate n minimum split detourmonophonic which can be represented as $\mathrm{Si}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}+1}\right\}$ such that $\operatorname{dm}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\frac{n-1}{2}$ where $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}$ and $1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{n}$. Hence $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})=3$.

Result 2.10 For any path $G=P_{n}(n \geq 5), \operatorname{dms}(G)=$ 3.

Proof. Consider the Path $P_{n}=v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} \ldots v_{n-1} v_{n}$. On the view, the set $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ forms minimum detourmonophonic set, $\operatorname{dm}(\mathrm{G})=2$. Notified that Si $=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ where $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \geq 2$ and $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \geq 2$ is a smallest split detourmonophonic set. Hence $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})$ $=3$.

Result 2.11 For $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}(2 \leq \mathrm{p} \leq \mathrm{q}), \mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{p}$.
Proof. Let $\mathrm{X}=\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{Y}=\left\{\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \ldots\right.$ , $\left.\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{q}}\right\}$ be the partite sets of G . It is seen that the set $\mathrm{S}=$ X forms a split detourmonophonic set with smallest cardinality and so $\mathrm{dm}_{s}(\mathrm{G})=|\mathrm{U}|=\mathrm{p}$.
Result 2.12 For $W_{n}=K_{1}+C_{n-1}(n \geq 5), \mathrm{dm}_{s}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ $=\left\{\begin{array}{l}3 \text { if } n \text { even } \\ 4 \text { if nodd }\end{array}\right.$
Proof. Let $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{n} \geq 5), \mathrm{K}_{1}=\{\mathrm{x}\}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ : $\mathrm{v}_{1} \mathrm{v}_{2} \mathrm{v}_{3} \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{v}_{1}$ be the wheel of order n . For n even. It is noticed that the set $S=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n} / 2+1}, \mathrm{x}\right\}$ is a minimum split detourmonophonic set. In general, we can generate $n / 2$ minimum split detourmonophonic sets which can be represented as $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n} / 2 \mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{x}\right\}$ where $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n} / 2$ and $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{Wn})$ $=3$. For n odd. Clearly the set $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{(\mathrm{n}+1) / 2}, \mathrm{v}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{(n+3) / 2}, \mathrm{x}\right\}$ is a minimum split detourmonophonic set. In general, we can generate n minimum split detourmonophonic which can be represented as $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}+1}, \mathrm{x}\right\}$ such that $\mathrm{dm}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=(\mathrm{n}-1) / 2$ where $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}$ and $1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{n}$. Hence $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{Wn})=4$.

Open Problem 2.13 Can you find an interconnected undirected circuit G for which $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{n}$.

The concept of a split detourmonophonic set can be applied in one of the computational intelligence methods namely neural network as witnessed in [13] and also our new design could be facilitated in graph neural network which is studied in [14]. The application of our new design can be evolved in [15], [16].

The concept of a split detourmonophonic set may be applied in artificial intelligence and further can be studied in [17], [18]. [19].

## 3 Some Existence Results

Result 3.1 There exists interconnected undirected circuit G of order n , as arbitrary $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{k}$ and $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{k}+1$ where n , k integers with $2<\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{n}$,

Proof. Let $P_{3}: \mathrm{u}_{1} \mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{u}_{3}$ be the path of order 3. Now join the vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-k}$ to $u_{1}$ as well as with $u_{3}$. Further, add $\mathrm{k}-3$ vertices such as $\mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}, \mathrm{w}_{3}, \ldots, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}-3}$ to the vertex $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}}$. Hence, the desirable graph G of order n as given in Figure 3 is obtained.

It is noticed that $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}-2}\right\}$ does not form a split detourmonophonic set which is set of all extreme vertices. Let $\mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{S} \cup\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}\right\}$. Notified that $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ is the smallest split detourmonophonic set and so $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{k}$.


Fig. 3: A graph G of order n , as arbitrary $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{k}$ and $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{k}+1$

But $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ is a disconnected detourmonophonic set. Let $S_{2}=S U\left\{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}}\right\}$. Now, the $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ becomes connected. Hence $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{k}+1$.

Result 3.2 There exits an interconnected undirected circuit $G$ of order $n$ as an arbitrary $d m(G)=a$, $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{a}+1$ and $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{a}+2$ where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{n}$ integers with $5 \leq \mathrm{a}<\mathrm{n}$.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{a}-1,3}$ be a complete bipartite graph. Let $\mathrm{U}=\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{u}_{3}, \ldots, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{a}-1}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{W}=\left\{\mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}, \mathrm{w}_{3}\right\}$ be the partite sets of $K_{n-a-1,3}$. Now, joining a vertex $x$ to each vertex of $U$. Also, add a -3 vertices say $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{a}-3}$ with the vertex x . As a result, we obtained the desired graph as given in Figure 4 of order n .


Fig. 4: A graph $G$ of order $n$ as an arbitrary $\operatorname{dm}(G)=$ a, $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{a}+1$ and $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{a}+2$

The set $\mathrm{W}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \mathrm{v}_{3}, \mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{a}-3}\right\}$ forms a smallest detourmonophonic set, $\operatorname{dm}(G)=a$. But the subgraph $[\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{W}]$ is not disconnected. Let $\mathrm{W}_{1}=\mathrm{W}$ $\cup\{x\}$. Now, the set $W_{1}$ becomes a minimum split detourmonophonic set. Hence $\operatorname{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{a}+1$. Moreover, the subgraph induced by $\mathrm{W}_{2}=\mathrm{W}_{1} \cup\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}\right\}$ is connected. Therefore, $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ becomes smallest connected detourmonophonic set, $\operatorname{dm}_{c}(G)=a+2$.

Result 3.3 There is an interconnected undirected circuit $G$ of order $n$ with $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{b}$ where $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ are integers with $3 \leq \mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{b} \leq \mathrm{n}$,

Proof. Let $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}+3}: \mathrm{V}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}+3}$ be the path of order $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}+3$. Let $\mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}, \mathrm{w}_{3}, \ldots, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b}}$ be a set of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b}$ vertices. Now, join each vertex $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}(1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b})$ with $u_{1}$ and $u_{3}$ and add the set of new vertices $\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{a}-3}$ with $\mathrm{w}_{1}$. Therefore, the desirable circuit G with n vertices as shown in Figure 5.


Fig. 5: A graph $G$ of order $n$ with $\operatorname{dm}_{s}(G)=a$ and $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{b}$

The extreme vertices set $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \ldots\right.$ , $\mathrm{y}_{\left.\mathrm{a}-3, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}+3}\right\}}$ is not detourmonophonic set. Let $\mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{S}$ $U\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{3}\right\}$. It observed that $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ is the unique smallest split detourmonophonic set and $\operatorname{dm}_{s}(G)=a$. Also, the set $S_{1}$ is not connected. Now, let $S_{2}=S_{1} \cup\left\{w_{1}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{v}_{4}, \mathrm{v}_{5}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}+2}\right\}$. Observed $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ is the smallest connected detourmonophonic set, $\mathrm{dm}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{b}$.

## 4 Conclusion

The work contains a new parameter 'split detourmonophonic number'. It helps in a circuit or network to find removal nodes in the longest connecting path between two nodes so that it split the circuit or network. Also, we studied the relationship and realization between connected and split of the longest path between nodes. This design of the circuit may facilitate thedevelopment algorithms in Locating Capacitors, [20], to split the network and to one of step detection, [21], of fault in the longest monophonic path by splitting the network
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