

# Design of Structure Filtering IoT Wireless Communication

PAVEL TOMASEK

Tomas Bata University in Zlin  
Department of Electronics and Measurements  
Nad Stranemi 4511, 760 05 Zlin  
CZECH REPUBLIC  
tomasek@fai.utb.cz

*Abstract:* This work aims at analysis of ways of shielding communication under a very new standard for wireless transmission, IEEE 802.11ah. This standard is going to be applied mostly in the rapidly growing area of the Internet of Things (IoT) due to its well designed complex battery saving system and great range of operation. This work also focuses on a theoretical design of a filter working as a frequency selective surface attenuating a very narrow band of frequencies used just under IEEE 802.11ah and passing the other frequency ranges used under the other standards of wireless communication. Shielding of such a communication can be, in certain situations, very important for elimination of the possibility of eavesdropping.

*Key-Words:* IEEE 802.11ah, Frequency Selective Filter, Internet of Things, Eavesdropping

## 1 Introduction

The very new standard from the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) with the label of 802.11ah is a quite hot topic in the field of the Internet of Things (IoT). For the purpose of a wireless communication between even very small electrical appliances a very special energy saving way of transmission of information has been developed.

The world of IoT is full of electrical devices, sensors, accessories, wearables, security elements, various appliances utilizable in Smart Home (for instance lighting, cooking, heating) and also agriculture monitoring, industrial automation and smart metering. The number of mentioned devices is supposed to raise rapidly in the near future what is related with potentially significant security risks.

Therefore the main goal of this study is aimed at possible ways of shielding communication under this standard against eavesdropping outside a room or a building.

This study is partially based on the previous work [18] where the goal was to reflect wireless communication under older standard IEEE 802.11b,g.

This article includes the following content: section 2 contains brief description of IEEE 802.11ah. In section 3, there are very simply described some possible ways of shielding of this type of wireless communication. Section 4 informs about a chosen way of optimization of a filter based on a Frequency Selective Surface. And finally Section 5 presents synthetic results and section 6 concludes the whole idea presented in this study.

## 2 IEEE 802.11ah

The IEEE standard of 802.11ah, also called "Wi-Fi HaLow", has a great potential of usability in the area of IoT because of two main reasons [8]:

- Lower power consumption thanks to a native power saving mechanism with sleep modes (among others) should consume much less energy than for instance Bluetooth or Wireless-Fidelity (Wi-Fi) of earlier standards b, g, a or n. This makes the electrical appliances work longer on a battery.
- Long range (can penetrate walls much more easily), the penetration and range of various Wi-Fi standards is depicted in Fig. 1.

Both items mentioned above are based on the key technological features of IEEE 802.11ah [3]:

- Sub 1 GHz frequency operation.
- Design of new Physical Layer (PHY layer) and Media Access Control Layer (MAC layer). These new layers include several modifications with respect to consolidated IEEE standards. The IEEE 802.11ah MAC layer incorporates most of the main IEEE 802.11 characteristics, adding some novel power management mechanisms.
- Typical range of IEEE 802.11ah is 100 – 1000 m.
- Transmission power is from <10 mW to <1 W (depending on the country's regulations).




Figure 1: Comparison of different Wi-Fi ranges [4].

- Battery operation should be from months to years (also thanks to long sleeping periods).

The mentioned standard is very new. It was standardized and introduced in January 4 2016 [2] (the first IEEE 802.11 standard was released in June 1997). The first certified devices should come soon (probably in 2017 or 2018). Due to these data, the topic of this study is unique and potentially very important and interesting from the point of view of secure communication.

### 3 Shielding

Considering wireless communication between electrical sensors or generally devices using IEEE 802.11ah, the first idea of how to shield a communication in a room, in a small building or area is to use:

- A very simple Faraday cage or
- A very specific and hard to develop wallpaper reflecting only a desired frequency range.

#### 3.1 Faraday cage

Faraday cages are named after the English scientist Michael Faraday. Faraday shield (cage) is an enclosure made from a conductive material or by a mesh of such material to block electric fields.

These shields – cages can be used to protect different kinds of electronic equipment from electrostatic discharges. They cannot block magnetic fields like Earth's magnetic field, but they can protect the interior from electromagnetic radiation coming from the outside. An external electrical field leads to rearrangement of the charges, and this cancels the field inside.

Electric fields (applied externally) create forces on electrons in the conductor, creating a current, which will further result in charge rearrangement. The current will cease when the charges rearrange and the applied field inside is cancelled [1].

This approach is cheap but has several very negative side effects. First of all, whole frequencies coming to or from a cage are reflected, generally:

- Global System for Mobile (GSM),
- Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS),
- Long-Term Evolution (LTE),
- All standards of Wi-Fi and its' frequency ranges (sub 1 GHz, 2.4 and 5 GHz),
- Bluetooth,
- And possibly also the visible light if not using a dense mesh.

This approach may go against the original aim to use IEEE 802.11ah in longer distances.

#### 3.2 FSS

Frequency Selective Surfaces (FSSs) are important spatial filters, which can efficiently filter desired band of frequencies. Therefore these can play a significant role in electromagnetic related problems.

Frequency selective surfaces can be used and adjusted to prepare a structure reflecting just a desired narrow range of a spectrum.

To briefly sketch the history, the beginning of FSS relates to Ben A. Munk who was the guru of this approach [13]. In the last decade, the idea of FSS has spread out into many applications. Example of a band-pass FSS is in [9] where the goal was to transmit GSM signals through energy efficient windows. One of the first FSS absorbers was presented by Salisbury and Jaumann [7]. Great research has been already done in the field of FSS including also the analysis of frequency characteristics of dielectric period structures [11] and another analysis of characteristics of dielectric grating of left-handed and right-handed materials [12]. FSS are also used in the antenna theory and experiments like analysis of ultra wide band planar monopole antenna and its design [14].

Back to shielding: this second idea of how to shield the communication (using FSS) is to use a special pattern/wallpaper selectively attenuating just the frequency range used in IEEE 802.11ah.

With respect to the design, rules and law of various countries the frequency range for Europe is 863 –




Figure 2: Sub 1 GHz spectrum specified in the IEEE 802.11ah channelization [15].




Figure 3: Schema of a cell containing the simple cross (on the left) and the Jerusalem-cross (on the right).

868 MHz (for example in USA it is 902 – 928 MHz and in China it is 755 – 787 MHz) [15]. Fig. 2 presents the ranges in more detail.

The standard of IEEE 802.11ah is operating in sub-gigahertz frequencies in comparison with traditional IEEE 802.11b or IEEE 802.11g working at 2.4 GHz and IEEE 802.11a working at 5 GHz.

The schema of a typical FSS structure: simple cross and a Jerusalem-cross is presented in Fig. 3. Both models consist of simple rectangular elements. Theoretically, the second geometry may have better reflection. Moreover double-layer should provide a more narrow band-stop filter. Several comparisons have been presented in [17].

In Fig. 3,  $a$  and  $a_j$  represent the width and height of a cell (a cell is just one square element of the whole structure of FSS; index  $j$  relates to the structure depicted on the right: the Jerusalem-cross),  $l$  and  $l_j$  is the total width and height of the cross,  $w$  and  $w_j$  is the width of an arm and  $l_{ej}$  represents the length of the bar connected to the end of an arm of the Jerusalem-cross.

There is a special software suitable for optimiza-

tion of FSS elements. It is FSSMR software [6], which was developed at Tomas Bata University in Zlin and which analyses the planar periodic structures and tries to optimize them with respect to defined optimization goals. Therefore this software is suitable for estimation of proper values of design variables ( $a_j$ ,  $l_j$ ,  $w_j$ , and  $l_{ej}$ ) to meet the optimization goals (and thus to reflect the desired frequency band in this case of IEEE 802.11ah).

There are also some shortages in this approach. One of the most questionable aspects of the FSS approach is the influence of the angle of incidence which must be also examined. Another problem is with windows when attempting to secure a room against transmitting sub 1 GHz frequencies outside the room.

### 4 Optimization

A frequency range, an initial geometry with design variables (e.g. width and height of the arms of the cross) and optimization goals must be set before performing the optimization of an FSS filter.

The transmission coefficient depends on frequency and other parameters forming the parameter vector of the filter which specifies the geometry (defined by design variables). An optimization method searches for the set of parameters which satisfies the given objectives, at least approximately, being thus in a certain sense optimal.

An optimization goal is defined by a frequency range where the transmission coefficient must be lower or greater than a defined threshold value.

In our experiment three optimization goals were modelled (also graphically presented by green color in Fig. 4 together with results of the initial configuration):

1. To transmit frequencies from 0.1000 to 0.8222 GHz (threshold: -2.5 dB),
2. To reflect frequencies from 0.8223 to 0.9088 GHz (this range relates to the Q factor equal to 10,  $f_{stop\ range} = f_c/10 = 86.55\text{MHz}$ ; threshold: -20.0 dB),
3. To transmit frequencies from 0.9089 to 3.0000 GHz (threshold: -2.5 dB).

The initial values of design parameters with lower and upper bounds were guessed (by the earlier professional experiences with FSS filters) and they are mentioned in Table 1 where  $l = ka$ ,  $l_j = k_{1j}a_j$  and  $l_{ej} = k_{2j}l_j$ .

In this work, optimization was performed numerically using an implementation of local optimizer of




Figure 4: Transmission coefficients of the initial geometry of the FSS IEEE 802.11ah filter.

Table 1: Description of design parameters related to the geometry of the Jerusalem-cross FSS filter (see Fig. 3).

Var.	Description	Initial Value	Boundary Value
$a_j$	The width and height of a cell [m]	0.15	$\langle 0.1, 0.2 \rangle$
$w_j$	The width of an arm [m]	0.0055	$\langle 0.001, 0.010 \rangle$
$k_{1j}$	The width parameter ( $k_{1j} = l_j/a_j$ )	0.85	$\langle 0.7, 1.0 \rangle$
$k_{2j}$	The length parameter ( $k_{2j} = l_{ej}/l_j$ )	0.35	$\langle 0.2, 0.5 \rangle$

Levenberg-Marquardt (a possible alternative is *fmincon* [10] or *fminsearchbnd* [5] which can be directly used in Matlab, evolutionary algorithms are another possible way).

The settings of the optimization process:

- Optimization technique: Levenberg-Marquardt,
- FunTol =  $10^{-3}$ , this represents the threshold tolerance,
- MaxIter = 100, this constant defines the maximal number of iterations,
- NormStep = 0.06, this is the constraint on maximal norm of a step,
- Constraints on design variables  $a_j$ ,  $w_j$ ,  $k_{1j}$  and  $k_{2j}$  respect the lower and upper bounds mentioned in Table 1,
- Cost function uses the method of moments [13, 16] to analyse and estimate the FSS transmission coefficients.

All computations by optimization were based on perpendicular angle of incidence only.

In this study, FSSMR software [6] was used. It was developed at Tomas Bata University in Zlin and it analyses the planar periodic structures and tries to optimize them with respect to the optimization goals.

## 5 Results

The optimization procedure results in a filter which suppresses well the central channels. The attenuation is below -20 dB what can be considered as a very good filter. The final transmission coefficients are presented in Fig. 5. The process of optimization in Matlab took at about 5 hours using an average computer (mainly depends on the amount of computed frequency points and the density of mesh cells in computation).

There is also some kind of an unwanted low subsidiary attenuation between 2.5 and 3.0 GHz.

The optimized values of design parameters of Jerusalem-cross FSS filter are presented in the list below:

- $a_j = 0.132m$ ,
- $w_j = 0.007m$ ,
- $k_{1j} = 0.85$ ,
- $k_{2j} = 0.35$ .




Figure 5: Transmission coefficients of the optimized geometry of the FSS IEEE 802.11ah filter.




Figure 6: Detailed transmission coefficients of the optimized geometry of the FSS IEEE 802.11ah filter.

Furthermore, from the design parameters the lengths  $l$ ,  $l_j$  and  $l_{ej}$  can be computed in the following way:

- $l_j = k_{1j}a_j$ ;  $l_j = 0.1122m$  (the total width and height of the Jerusalem-cross),
- $l_{ej} = k_{2j}l_j$ ;  $l_{ej} = 0.03927m$  (the total length of the outer arms).

A more detailed view of the stop-band (863 – 868 MHz) is presented in Fig. 6.

### 5.1 Wi-Fi in the US region

The previous filter focused on application in Europe. In this section there is also included analysis and synthesis of a possible filter applicable in the United




Figure 7: Transmission coefficients of the optimized geometry of the FSS IEEE 802.11ah filter (US region).

States of America. The US region has a different band devoted to 802.11ah. As depicted in Fig. 2, 902 – 928 MHz are used for this kind of communication. With respect to slightly different border frequencies the desired filter must fulfil the following three goals:

1. To transmit frequencies from 0.1000 to 0.86924 GHz (threshold: -2.5 dB),
2. To reflect frequencies from 0.86924 to 0.96075 GHz (this range relates to the Q factor equal to 10,  $f_{stop\ range} = f_c/10 = 91,5MHz$ ; threshold: -20.0 dB),
3. To transmit frequencies from 0.96076 to 3.0000 GHz (threshold: -2.5 dB).

The initial configuration remains the same along with the intervals of the design parameters as in the original case study (see Table 1 and Fig. 4).

The final transmission coefficients for this case are presented in Fig. 7.

A more detailed view of the stop-band (902 – 928 MHz) is presented in Fig. 8.

Also in this case the filter seems to reflect the part of the spectrum used in 802.11ah in US region perfectly, similarly as the original FSS filter for the region of Europe.

The optimized values of design parameters of Jerusalem-cross FSS filter are presented in the list below:

- $a_j\ US = 0.129m$ ,
- $w_j\ US = 0.009m$ ,
- $k_{1j}\ US = 0.84$ ,




Figure 8: Detailed transmission coefficients of the optimized geometry of the FSS IEEE 802.11ah filter (US region).

- $k_{2j US} = 0.37$ .

Again, from the optimized design parameters the lengths  $l_{US}$ ,  $l_{j US}$  and  $l_{ej US}$  can be computed in the following way:

- $l_{j US} = k_{1j US} a_{j US}$ ;  $l_{j US} = 0.1084m$  (the total width and height of the Jerusalem-cross),
- $l_{ej US} = k_{2j US} l_{j US}$ ;  $l_{ej US} = 0.0401m$  (the total length of the outer arms).

## 6 Conclusion

A very new standard for wireless communication suitable for the Internet of Things, IEEE 802.11ah, has been introduced in this preliminary study together with possible ways of how to shield communication under mentioned standard. A theoretical concept of a wallpaper with a deep practical impact has been revealed. A technique of how to generate an optimized geometry of an FSS filter with adaptation to the narrow specific range of frequencies used in IEEE 802.11ah has been presented. Also, some shortages of this approach have been described.

The boom of the Internet of Things is coming. It can make life simpler (like other technologies in the history), but it also contains a great portion of a threat of abuse. This article points this out.

Further analysis with practical experiments and measurements are proposed as a possible further work in this domain.

**Acknowledgements:** This work was partially supported by Internal Grant Agency of

Tomas Bata University under the project No. IGA/CebiaTech/2016/004. This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic within the National Sustainability Programme project No. LO1303 (MSMT-7778/2014).

## References:

- [1] Faraday Cage (2016). URL <http://www.faradaycage.org/>. Accessed: 30 March 2016
- [2] Wi-Fi Alliance(R) introduces low power, long range Wi-Fi HaLow(TM) (2016). URL <http://www.wi-fi.org/news-events/newsroom/wi-fi-alliance-introduces-low-power-long-range-wi-fi-halow>. Accessed: 30 March 2016
- [3] Adame, T., Bel, A., Bellalta, B., Barcelo, J., Oliver, M.: IEEE 802.11ah: The WiFi Approach For M2M Communications. *IEEE Wireless Communications* **21**, 144–152 (2014). ISSN: 1536-1284
- [4] DeLisle, J.J.: What's the Difference Between IEEE 802.11af and 802.11ah? *Microwaves and RF* **54**, 69–72 (2015). ISSN: 0745-2993
- [5] D'Errico, J.: fminsearchbnd, fminsearchcon - File Exchange - MATLAB Central (2012). URL <http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/file-exchange/8277-fminsearchbnd-fminsearchcon>. Accessed: 30 March 2016
- [6] Gona, S., Kresalek, V.: Development of a Versatile Planar Periodic Structure Simulator in MATLAB. *COMITE* **14** (2008)
- [7] Haupt, R.: Scattering from Small Salisbury Screens. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation* **54**, 1807–1810 (2006). ISSN: 0018-926X
- [8] Khorov, E., Lyakhov, A., Krotov, A., Guschin, A.: A survey on IEEE 802.11ah: An enabling networking technology for smart cities. *Computer Communications* **58**, 53–69 (2014). ISSN: 0140-3664
- [9] Kiani, G., Olsson, L., Karlsson, A., Esselle, K., Nilsson, M.: Cross-Dipole Bandpass Frequency Selective Surface for Energy-Saving Glass Used in Buildings. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation* **59**, 520–525 (2011). ISSN: 0018-926X

- [10] MathWorks: Find minimum of constrained nonlinear multivariable function - matlab (2016). URL <http://www.mathworks.com/help/optim/ug/f-mincon.html>. Accessed: 30 March 2016
- [11] Mehrnejad, R., Razmjoueian, R.: In: ACMIN'12 Proceedings of the 14th international conference on Automatic Control, Modelling & Simulation, and Proceedings of the 11th international conference on Microelectronics, Nanoelectronics, Optoelectronics, pp. 187–190. WSEAS, Stevens Point, Wisconsin, USA (2012). ISBN: 978-1-61804-080-0
- [12] Mehrnejad, R., Razmjoueian, R.: In: ACMIN'12 Proceedings of the 14th international conference on Automatic Control, Modelling & Simulation, and Proceedings of the 11th international conference on Microelectronics, Nanoelectronics, Optoelectronics, pp. 205–208. WSEAS, Stevens Point, Wisconsin, USA (2012). ISBN: 978-1-61804-080-0
- [13] Munk, B. (ed.): Frequency selective surfaces – theory and design. New York, USA: Willey & Sons (2000). ISBN: 978-0-471-37047-5
- [14] Rahim, M., Masri, T., Majid, H., Ayop, O., Zubir, F.: Design and analysis of ultra wide band planar monopole antenna. WSEAS Transactions on Communications, WSEAS **10**, 212–221 (2011). ISSN: 1109-2742
- [15] Sun, W., Choi, M., Choi, S.: IEEE 802.11ah: A Long Range 802.11 WLAN at Sub 1 GHz. Journal of ICT Standardization **1**, 83–108 (2013). ISSN: 2246-0853
- [16] T.K. Wu (ed.): Frequency selective surfaces and grid arrays. New York, USA: Willey & Sons (1995). ISBN: 0-471-31189-8
- [17] Tomasek, P.: Optimization of FSS Filters. International Journal of Circuits, Systems and Signal Processing **8**, 594–599 (2014). ISSN: 1998-4464
- [18] Tomasek, P., Gona, S.: In: PIERS, pp. 221–224. Electromagnetics Academy, Cambridge (2013). ISBN: 9781934142264