

On Semi Generalization of Compatible Ideals Regarding Semi Generalised Open Sets

ALI BULAMA MAMMAN¹, ABDUL IGUDA²,
ENOCH SULEIMAN³, HARUNA USMAN IDRIS⁴, KAZE ATSI⁵.

Department of Mathematics

^{1,3,4,5} Federal University Gashua, Yobe State

² Bayero University Kano, Kano State

NIGERIA

Abstract: -In this paper, we use the exiting semi-generalized open set to define semi generalised local function as intersection between any subset of a topological space X and semi generalized-open neighborhood of any point of X that is not belong to ideal I and investigate its properties in ideal topological space. It is a generalization of the existing generalized local function. We also use the exiting semi-generalized open set to define semi generalised compatible ideals as for every $A \subseteq X$ such that for every $x \in A$, there exist semi generalized-open set U containing x such that $U \cup A \in I$, then $A \in I$. It is a generalization of the existing generalized compatible ideal. We characterized relationship between semi generalised local function and semi generalised compatible ideal.

Key-Words: - Dense, Ideal topological space, Semi generalised open sets, semi-generalized - neighbourhood, Semi generalised local function and Semi generalised compatible ideal.

Received: June 29, 2023. Revised: March 11, 2024. Accepted: May 9, 2024. Published: June 18, 2024.

1 Introduction

[1] was the first person that presented the concept of ideal in topological space in the year 1930 as a nonempty collection of subset of a topological space (X, τ) that is closed under heredity and finite additive properties. It is denoted by I . The notion of ideal in topological space was introduced in two ways: The first way was concerned with the study of local properties of topological space that may be extended to global properties. While the second way was concerned with ideals to generalize certain properties of topological space, such as compactness and separation axioms [2]. When a topological space is endowed with an ideal, it is called an ideal topological space denoted by (X, τ, I) . In 1933 [3] first presented the notion of local function $(\cdot)^* : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ defined such as $A^*(I, \tau) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin I\}$ where U is open neighborhood of x and A is any subset of X . When there is no uncertainty, we use A^* instead of $A^*(I, \tau)$. In 1944 [4] studies the local function introduced by Kuratowski and investigate some of its properties. [5] in 1987 gave the concept of semi generalized closed and open sets. In 2010 [6] presented the notion of semi-local function and semi-compatible ideals by using ideal and semi-open sets in an ideal topological space. In 2013 [7] presented the notion of local closure function as generalization of local function and explored the closure compatible ideal in ideal topological space. In 2013 [8] used generalised open sets to gave the concept of generalised

local function in ideal topological space. In 2014 [9] used a-open sets to presented the concept of a-local function and characterized a-compatible ideal with a-local function. In 2017 [10] presented a new class of closed sets called semi generalized $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets in topological spaces which properly lies between the class of semi-closed sets and the class of gs-closed sets. Further defined $sg\omega\alpha$ -closure and $sg\omega\alpha$ -interior in topological spaces and obtained some of their properties. In 2019, [11] presented the notion of generalized compatible ideal with generalized-open sets in codense ideal topological space. Therefore, in this paper we presented semi generalised local function and semi generalised compatible ideal.

The followings are very important in this paper.

1.1 Definition[1]

An ideal in topological space is defined as a collection I of nonempty subset of a topological space (X, τ) satisfying the following conditions.

1. If $A \in I$ and $B \subseteq A$, then $B \in I$ (*heredity*)
2. If $A \in I$ and $B \in I$, then $A \cup B \in I$ (*finite additivity*)

1.2 Definition [12]

A topology on a nonempty set X is a collection τ of subsets of X , that satisfies the following.

1. \emptyset and X belong to τ ;

2. The union of any collection of sets in τ belong to τ ;
3. The intersection of any finite number of sets in τ also belong to τ .

1.3 Remark

The space (X, τ) is called a topological space and also the space (X, τ, I) is called an ideal topological space. The members of topology τ are called open subsets of X . If $A \subseteq X$, the interior of A denoted as $int(A)$ is the union of all open subsets of X contained in A .

1.4 Definition [13]

Let (X, τ, I) be defined as an ideal topological space. An ideal I is said to be compatible with respect to open subsets of X , denoted by $\tau \sim I$ if the following condition is satisfied for every $A \subseteq X$: if for every $x \in A$ there exists an open set U containing x such that $U \cap A \in I$, then $A \in I$.

1.5 Definition [14]

Let (X, τ) be a topological space. A subset A of X is said to be generalized open (g -open) if $F \subseteq int(A)$ and $F \subseteq A$ whenever F is closed in (X, τ) .

And A is said to be a generalized closed (g -closed) if $Cl(A) \subseteq U$ and $A \subseteq U$ whenever U is open in (X, τ) .

Complement of a g -open set is g -closed and complement of g -closed set g -open. A collection of all generalized open sets in a topological space (X, τ) is denoted as τ_g .

1.6 Definition [15]

Let (X, τ) be defined as a topological space. A subset A of X is said to be Semi-open set if the following holds $A \subseteq cl(int(A))$. The family of all semi-open subsets of X is denoted by τ_s . The union of all semi-open subsets of X contained in A is called the semi-interior of A denoted by $_sint(A)$ or $int_s(A)$.

1.7 Definition [15]

Let (X, τ) be defined as a topological space. A subset A of X is said to be a Semi-closed set if $int(cl(A)) \subseteq A$. The family of all semi-closed subsets of X is denoted by τ_{sc} -closed. The intersection of all semi-closed sets containing a subset A of X is known as semi-closure of A and is denoted by $_scl(A)$ or $cl_s(A)$.

1.8 Definition [5, 15, 16]

Let (X, τ) be defined as a topological space. A subset A of X is said to be a semi-generalized open (sg -open) set if $F \subseteq int_s(A)$ whenever $F \subseteq A$ and F is semi-closed set in (X, τ) . The family of all semi-generalized open subsets of X is denoted as τ_{sg} . The union of all semi-generalized open subsets of X contained in $A \subseteq X$ is called semi generalized-interior of A denoted by $int_{sg}(A)$.

1.9 Remark

The complement of sg -open set is sg -closed and complement of sg -closed set is sg -open.

1.10 Definition [15, 16]

Let (X, τ) be a topological space. A subset A of X is said to be a semi-generalized closed (sg -closed) set if $Cl_s(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is semi-open in (X, τ) . The family of all semi-generalized closed subsets of X is denoted by τ_{sg} -closed. The intersection of all semi-generalized closed subsets of X containing a subset A of X is called semi-generalized closure of A denoted by $cl_{sg}(A)$.

1.11 Definition

If (X, τ, I) is an ideal topological space. A subset A of X is said to be [17]

1. L^* -perfect if $A - A^* \in I$
2. R^* -perfect if $A^* - A \in I$
3. C^* -perfect if A is both L^* -perfect and R^* -perfect.

1.12 Definition [13, 16]

Let X be a topological space and $x \in X$. A subset N of X is said to be semi-generalized - neighbourhood (sg - neighbourhood) of x if there exist sg -open set G such that $x \in G \subset N$.

1.13 Definition [3]

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$, then a point $x \in A$ is said to be semi-generalized interior (sg - interior) point of A if A is sg - neighbourhood of x . The set of all sg - interior point of A is called the sg - interior of A and is denoted by $sg - int(A)$ or $int_{sg}(A)$.

1.14 Definition [3]

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$, the intersection of all sg - closed sets containing A is called sg - closure of A denoted by $sg-cl(A)$. i.e. $cl_{sg}(A) = \cap \{F : A \subset F \in sgc(X)\}$.

1.15 Lemma [16]

If A is a subsets of X , then $g-int(A) \subset sg-int(A)$, where $g-int(A)$ is given by $g-int(A) = \cup \{G : G \text{ is } g\text{-open}, G \subset A\}$.

1.16 Proof

Let A be the subset of X .
 Let $x \in int(A) \Rightarrow x \in \cup \{G : G \text{ is } g\text{-open}, G \subset A\}$
 \Rightarrow there exists a g -open set G such that $x \in G \subset A$
 \Rightarrow there exists a sg -open set G such that $x \in G \subset A$, as every g -open set is sg -open set in X
 $\Rightarrow x \in \cup \{G : G \text{ is } sg\text{-open}, G \subset A\}$. Hence $g-int(A) \subset sg-int(A)$.

1.17 Example [10]

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with topology $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$. Then $\tau_{sg} = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $\tau_g = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$. If $A = \{b, c\}$, then $sg-int(A) = \{b, c\}$ and $g-int(A) = \{c\}$. It follows $g-int(A) \subset sg-int(A)$.

1.18 Remark

Every g -open set is sg -open set in X . But the converse is not true.

2 Semi-generalized local function

2.1 Definition

Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space. A set operator $(\cdot)_{sg}^* : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ is called semi-generalized local (sg -local) function of A with respect to τ and I is defined as $A_{sg}^*(I, \tau) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin I \text{ for every } U \in N(sg)_x\}$ where $N(sg)_x$ is called a semi-generalized neighbourhood (sg -neighbourhood) system of x . i.e the collection of all semi generalized open (sg -open) sets containing x . $N(sg)_x = \{U \in \tau_{sg} : x \in U\}$. Where τ_{sg} is the set of all semi generalized open sets in (X, τ, I) .

2.2 Lemma

Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then the following holds:

1. $\emptyset_{sg}^* = \emptyset$;
2. $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow A_{sg}^* \subseteq B_{sg}^*$;
3. $A_{sg}^* \subseteq A$;
4. If $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I$, then $A_{sg}^*(I_2) \subseteq A_{sg}^*(I_1)$;
5. $(A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* \subseteq A_{sg}^*$;
6. $A_{sg}^* \cup B_{sg}^* = (A \cup B)_{sg}^*$;
7. $(A_{sg}^* - B_{sg}^*) \subseteq (A - B)_{sg}^* - B_{sg}^* = (A - B)_{sg}^*$;
8. If $I_1, I_2 \subseteq I$, then $A_{sg}^*(I_1) \cup A_{sg}^*(I_2) \subseteq A_{sg}^*(I_1 \cap I_2)$;
9. $A_{sg}^* = cl_{sg}(A_{sg}^*) \subseteq cl_{sg}(A)$.

2.3 Proof

Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space. If $A, B \subseteq X$.

1. $\emptyset_{sg}^* = \emptyset$ is obvious.
2. Let $A \subseteq B$, then we want to show that $A_{sg}^* \subseteq B_{sg}^*$. Thus, if $x \in A_{sg}^*$, then there exist sg -open set U which contain x such that $U \cap A \notin I$. Since $A \subseteq B$, then clearly $U \cap A \subseteq U \cap B$ by heredity property of ideal $U \cap B \notin I$. Hence $A_{sg}^* \subseteq B_{sg}^*$.

3. Let $A \subseteq X$, if $x \in A_{sg}^*$, then there exist sg -open set U which contain x such that $U \cap A \notin I$. Since $U \cap A \subseteq A$, then clearly $A_{sg}^* \subseteq A$.
4. Let $I_1, I_2 \subseteq I$ and $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, if $x \in A_{sg}^*(I_1)$, then there exist sg -open set containing x such that $U \cap A \notin I_1$ and also $x \in A_{sg}^*(I_2)$, implies here exist sg -open set containing x such that $U \cap A \notin I_2$, but $I_1 \subseteq I_2$ implies $U \cap A \notin I_2 \subseteq U \cap A \notin I_1$. Hence $A_{sg}^*(I_2) \subseteq A_{sg}^*(I_1)$.
5. Let $A \subseteq X$ and $x \in A_{sg}^*$, then there exist sg -open set U containing x such that $U \cap A \notin I$. Since by our lemma 2.2 (3) $A_{sg}^* \subseteq A$ implies $U \cap A_{sg}^* \subseteq U \cap A \notin I$ by considering the heredity property of ideal $U \cap A_{sg}^* \notin I$. Hence $(A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* \subseteq A_{sg}^*$.
6. Let $A, B \subseteq X$. Then since $A \subseteq A \cup B$ and $B \subseteq A \cup B$. By lemma 2.2 (2) $A_{sg}^* \subseteq (A \cup B)_{sg}^*$ and $B_{sg}^* \subseteq (A \cup B)_{sg}^*$. Clearly, $A_{sg}^* \cup B_{sg}^* \subseteq (A \cup B)_{sg}^*$. conversely, Suppose $x \in (A \cup B)_{sg}^*$, then there exist sg -open set containing x such that $U \cap (A \cup B) \notin I$. Thus, $U \cap (A \cup B) \subseteq U \cap A \cup (U \cap B)$ by considering the heredity and finite additive properteis of ideal $U \cap (A \cup B) \subseteq U \cap A \cup (U \cap B) \notin I$ implies $U \cap A \notin I$ and $U \cap B \notin I$. Clearly, $(A \cup B)_{sg}^* \subseteq A_{sg}^* \cup B_{sg}^*$. consequently, $A_{sg}^* \cup B_{sg}^* = (A \cup B)_{sg}^*$.
7. Suppose $A, B \subseteq X$, since $A \subseteq (A - B) \cup B$ by our lemma 2.2 (2) $A_{sg}^* \subseteq (A - B)_{sg}^* \cup B_{sg}^*$ implies $A_{sg}^* - B_{sg}^* \subseteq (A - B)_{sg}^* \cup B_{sg}^* - B_{sg}^*$ implies $A_{sg}^* - B_{sg}^* \subseteq (A - B)_{sg}^*$. conversely, since $A - B \subseteq A$ by lemma 2.2 (2) $(A - B)_{sg}^* \cup B_{sg}^* \subseteq A_{sg}^*$ implies $(A - B)_{sg}^* \cup B_{sg}^* - B_{sg}^* \subseteq A_{sg}^* - B_{sg}^*$ implies $(A - B)_{sg}^* \subseteq A_{sg}^* - B_{sg}^*$. Therefore, $A_{sg}^* - B_{sg}^* = (A - B)_{sg}^*$.
8. Suppose $I_1, I_2 \subseteq I$, then we want to show that $A_{sg}^*(I_1) \cup A_{sg}^*(I_2) \subseteq A_{sg}^*(I_1 \cap I_2)$. Since $I_1 \cap I_2 \subseteq I_1$ and $I_1 \cap I_2 \subseteq I_2$ by lemma 2.2 (4) $A_{sg}^*(I_1) \subseteq A_{sg}^*(I_1 \cap I_2)$ and $A_{sg}^*(I_2) \subseteq A_{sg}^*(I_1 \cap I_2)$. Clearly $A_{sg}^*(I_1) \cup A_{sg}^*(I_2) \subseteq A_{sg}^*(I_1 \cap I_2)$.
9. Let $A \subseteq X$ and since $A_{sg}^* \subseteq cl_{sg}(A_{sg}^*)$ hold in general. Let $x \in cl_{sg}(A_{sg}^*)$, then there exist sg -open set U which contain x such that $A_{sg}^* \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, there exist some $y \in A_{sg}^* \cap U$ and $U \in \tau_{sg}(x)$. Since $y \in A_{sg}^* \cap U \notin I$ and so $x \in A_{sg}^*$. Thus $cl_{sg}(A_{sg}^*) \subseteq A_{sg}^*$. Hence

$A_{sg}^* = cl_{sg}(A_{sg}^*)$ since by lemma 2.2 (3) $A_{sg}^* \subseteq A$ implies $cl_{sg}(A_{sg}^*) \subseteq cl_{sg}(A)$. Consequently $A_{sg}^* = cl_{sg}(A_{sg}^*) \subseteq cl_{sg}(A)$.

2.4 Example

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$
 $\tau - closed = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a\}\}$.
 $P(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$
 $\tau_s = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\}$
 $\tau_s - closed = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$.
 $\tau_{sg} = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b\}\}$.
 Taking $I = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$, $A = \{c\}$ and $B = \{a, c\}$
 then we have $A_{sg}^* = \{c\}$ and $B_{sg}^* = \{a, c\}$. Hence $A_{sg}^* \subseteq B_{sg}^*$

2.5 Example

Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$
 $\tau - closed = \{\emptyset, X, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{d\}\}$.
 $P(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{c, d, a\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$
 $\tau_s = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$
 $\tau_s - closed = \{\emptyset, X, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{d\}, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$
 $\tau_{sg} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$.
 Taking $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $A = \{a, b, c\}$,
 then $A_{sg}^* = \{c\}$, clearly $A_{sg}^* \subseteq A$.

2.6 Example

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$
 $\tau - closed = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a\}\}$.
 $P(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$
 $\tau_s = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\}$
 $\tau_s - closed = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$.
 $\tau_{sg} = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b\}\}$.
 Taking $I_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$, $I_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $A = \{b, c\}$, then $A_{sg}^*(I_1) = X$ and $A_{sg}^*(I_2) = \{c\}$.
 Hence $A_{sg}^*(I_2) \subseteq A_{sg}^*(I_1)$

2.7 Example

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b\}\}$
 $\tau - closed = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{a\}, \{a, c\}\}$.
 $P(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$
 $\tau_s = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b\}\}$
 $\tau_s - closed = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{a\}, \{a, c\}\}$.
 $\tau_{sg} = \{\emptyset, X, \{b, c\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$.
 Taking $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $A = \{b, c\}$, then $A_{sg}^* = \{c\}$ and also $(A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* = \{c\}$. Therefore, $(A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* \subseteq A_{sg}^*$.

2.8 Example

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$

$\tau - closed = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a\}\}$.
 $P(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$
 $\tau_s = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\}$
 $\tau_s - closed = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$.
 $\tau_{sg} = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b\}\}$.
 Taking $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $A = \{c\}$, $B = \{a, c\}$, then $A_{sg}^* = \{c\}$, $B_{sg}^* = \{c\}$ and $(A \cup B)_{sg}^* = (\{a, c\})_{sg}^* = \{c\}$. Hence $A_{sg}^* \cup B_{sg}^* = (A \cup B)_{sg}^*$.

2.9 Example

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$
 $\tau_{sg} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a\}, \{c\}\}$.
 Taking $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $A = \{a, b\}$, $B = \{c\}$, then $A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$,
 $B_{sg}^* = \{c\}$ implies $A_{sg}^* - B_{sg}^* = \emptyset$ and since $(A - B) = \emptyset$ implies $(A - B)_{sg}^* = \emptyset_{sg}^* = \emptyset$. Hence $A_{sg}^* - B_{sg}^* = (A - B)_{sg}^*$.

2.10 Example

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$
 $\tau_s - closed = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$.
 $\tau_{sg} = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b\}\}$.
 Taking $I_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$, $I_2 = \{\emptyset\}$ and $A = \{a, c\}$, then $A_{sg}^*(I_1) = \{c\}$ and $A_{sg}^*(I_2) = \{a, c\}$, since $I_2 \subseteq I_1$ implies $I_1 \cap I_2 = I_2$, thus, $A_{sg}^*(I_1 \cap I_2) = A_{sg}^*(I_2) = \{a, c\}$. Hence $A_{sg}^*(I_1) \cup A_{sg}^*(I_2) \subseteq A_{sg}^*(I_1 \cap I_2)$.

2.11 Definition

A subset A of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be

1. τ_{sg}^* - closed if $A_{sg}^* \subseteq A$;
2. $*$ -sg-dense in itself if $A \subseteq A_{sg}^*$;
3. I - sg-dense if $A_{sg}^* = X$;
4. $*$ -sg-perfect if $A = A_{sg}^*$;
5. L_{sg}^* - perfect if $A - A_{sg}^* \in I$;
6. R_{sg}^* - perfect if $A_{sg}^* - A \in I$;
7. C_{sg}^* - perfect if A is both L_{sg}^* - perfect and R_{sg}^* - perfect.

3 Semi-generalized compatible (Sg-compatible) ideal

3.1 Definition

Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space. An ideal I is said to be semi generalized compatible (sg-compatible) with respect to semi-generalized open (sg-open) subsets of X , denoted by $\tau \sim_{sg} I$ if the following condition is satisfied for every $A \subseteq X$: if for every $x \in A$, there exist sg-open set U containing x such that $U \cup A \in I$, then $A \in I$.

3.2 Theorem

Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and A be any subset of X , then the following are equivalent.

1. $\tau \sim_{sg} I$;
2. If $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is semi-generalized open cover for A such that $cl(U_\alpha) \cap A \in I, \forall \alpha \in \Delta$, then $A \in I$;
3. $A \cap A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$, then $A \in I$;
4. A is L_{sg}^* - perfect set;
5. If A is R_{sg}^* - perfect set, then $A \Delta A_{sg}^* \in I$;
6. For every τ_{sg}^* -closed subset of A , then $A - A_{sg}^* \in I$.

3.3 Proof

1. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose $A \subseteq X$ and for every $x \in A$, there exist sg -open set U which contain x such that $U \cap A \in I$, then $A \in I$. Implies (2)
2. (2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose $A \subseteq X$ has sg -open cover whose intersection with A belongs to I . If $x \in A$, there exist $U \in \tau_{sg}(x)$ such that $U \cap A \in I$. Since $x \in A$ clearly $x \notin A_{sg}^*$ and so $A \cap A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$, then $A \in I$. Implies (3)
3. (3) \Rightarrow (4) Suppose for every $A \subseteq X$ and $A \cap A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$, then $A \in I$. Since $A - A_{sg}^* \subseteq A$, then by lemma 2.2 (2) $(A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* \subseteq A_{sg}^*$ clearly $(A - A_{sg}^*) \cap (A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* \subseteq (A - A_{sg}^*) \cap A_{sg}^*$ since by lemma 2.2 (3) $A_{sg}^* \subseteq A$ implies $(A - A_{sg}^*) \cap A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$. Hence $(A - A_{sg}^*) \cap (A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* = \emptyset$, then $A - A_{sg}^* \in I$. Therefore, A is L_{sg}^* perfect set. Implies (4)
4. (4) \Rightarrow (5) Suppose for every $A \subseteq X$, A is L_{sg}^* -perfect set. i.e $A - A_{sg}^* \in I$. If A is R_{sg}^* -perfect set, then $A_{sg}^* - A \in I$. Therefore, by finite additive property of ideal $(A - A_{sg}^*) \cup (A_{sg}^* - A) \in I$. Hence $AA_{sg}^* \in I$. Implies (5)
5. (1) \Rightarrow (5) Suppose for every $A \subseteq X$: If for every $x \in A$, there exist sg -open set which contain x such that $U \cap A \in I$, then $A \in I$. Since $x \in A$ and $A \in I$ clearly $x \notin A_{sg}^*$ and $A \cap A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$ also we all know that $A - A_{sg}^* \subseteq A$ and by lemma 2.2 (2) implies $(A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* \subseteq A_{sg}^*$. Thus, $(A - A_{sg}^*) \cap (A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* \subseteq A \cap A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$ implies $(A - A_{sg}^*) \cap (A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* = \emptyset$ and $A - A_{sg}^* \in I$. If A is R_{sg}^* -perfect set, then $A_{sg}^* - A \in I$. By finite additive property of ideal $(A - A_{sg}^*) \cup (A_{sg}^* - A) \in I$. Hence $AA_{sg}^* \in I$. Implies (5)

6. (5) \Rightarrow (6) Suppose for every $A \subseteq X$ and A is R_{sg}^* -perfect set, then $AA_{sg}^* \in I$ implies $(A - A_{sg}^*) \cup (A_{sg}^* - A) \in I$. Since by lemma 2.2 (3) $A_{sg}^* \subseteq A$ clearly $A_{sg}^* - A \subseteq A - A_{sg}^*$ implies $(A - A_{sg}^*) \cup (A_{sg}^* - A) \in I = A - A_{sg}^* \in I$ implies $A - A_{sg}^* \in I$. Hence A is τ_{sg}^* -closed subset. Implies (6)
7. (1) \Rightarrow (6) Suppose for every $A \subseteq X$ and for every $x \in A$ there exist sg -open set U which contain x such that $U \cap A \in I$, then $A \in I$. Since $x \in A$ and $A \in I$ clearly $x \notin A_{sg}^*$ and so $A \cap A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$. Since $A - A_{sg}^* \subseteq A$, then by lemma 2.2 (2) $(A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* \subseteq A_{sg}^*$ implies $(A - A_{sg}^*) \cap (A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* \subseteq A \cap A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$ implies $(A - A_{sg}^*) \cap (A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* = \emptyset$, then $A - A_{sg}^* \in I$. Hence for every τ_{sg}^* -closed subset of A , then $A - A_{sg}^* \in I$ Implies (6)

3.4 Theorem

Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space. If $\tau \sim_{sg} I$, then the following properties are equivalent.

1. If for every $A \subseteq X$ and $A \cap A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$, then $A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$;
2. If for every $A \subseteq X$, then $(A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* = \emptyset$;
3. If for every $A \subseteq X$, then $(A \cap A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* = A_{sg}^*$.

3.5 Proof

1. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose $\tau \sim_{sg} I$ and if for every $A \subseteq X$, $A \cap A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$, then $A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$. Since we all know that $A - A_{sg}^* \subseteq A$, then by lemma 2.2 (2) $(A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* \subseteq A_{sg}^*$ implies $(A - A_{sg}^*) \cap (A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* \subseteq A \cap A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$ implies $(A - A_{sg}^*) \cap (A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* = \emptyset$, then $(A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* = \emptyset$ implies (2).
2. (2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose $\tau \sim_{sg} I$ and if for every $A \subseteq X$, then $(A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* = \emptyset$. Since by theorem 3.2(3) $A \cap A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$, then $A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$ clearly $A = (A - A_{sg}^*) \cup (A \cap A_{sg}^*)$ by lemma 2.2 (2) $A_{sg}^* = ((A - A_{sg}^*) \cup (A \cap A_{sg}^*))_{sg}^*$ implies $A_{sg}^* = (A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* \cup (A \cap A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^*$ since $(A - A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* = \emptyset$, then clearly $A_{sg}^* = (A \cap A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^*$ implies (3).
3. (1) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose $\tau \sim_{sg} I$ and if for every $A \subseteq X$, $A \cap A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$, then $A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$. Since by 4.2.1(3) $A_{sg}^* \subseteq A$, then clearly $A \cap A_{sg}^* \subseteq A$ by lemma 2.2 (2) $(A \cap A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* \subseteq A_{sg}^*$. Since $A \cap A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$, then $A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$ by lemma 2.2 (1) $\emptyset_{sg}^* = \emptyset$ and $A_{sg}^* = \emptyset_{sg}^*$ implies $(A \cap A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* \subseteq A_{sg}^* = \emptyset$. Hence $(A \cap A_{sg}^*)_{sg}^* = A_{sg}^*$ implies (3).

4 Conclusion

It is concluded that every generalized local function is semi generalized local function but the converse is not true. Every generalized compatible ideals is semi generalized compatible ideals but the converse is not true.

References:

- [1] K.Kuratowski, Topologies I, Warszawa,(1930)
- [2] Irina Zvina,"On i - topological space:generalization of concept of a topological space via ideals", Applied general topology", Vol.7, No.1, (2006).
- [3] K.Kuratowski, Topology ,Vol.1,Academic press,New york,(1933).
- [4] R.Vaidyanathaswamy, " The locazation theory in set-topology", Proceedings of the indian Academy of science-section A. Vol.20. No.1 springer india,(1944),51-61
- [5] P.Bhattacharyya and B.K.Lahiri," Semi generalized closed sets in topology", Indian J.Pure Appl.Math., Vol.29, (1987), 374-382.
- [6] M.Khan and T.Noiri."Semi-local functions in ideal topological spaces",Journal of Advanced Research in pure Mathematics,Vol.2,(2010), 36-42.
- [7] Al-Omari Ahmad and Takashi Noiri," Local closure function in ideal topological space", NOVI SAD.J.MATH,Vol.43, No.2,(2013),139-149.
- [8] K.Bhavani," g -local functions", J.Adv.Stud. Topol. Vol.5, No.1, (2013).
- [9] W.Al-Omeri, Mohd. Salmi,MD. Noorani and A.Al-Omeri," α -local function and its properties in ideal topological space", FASCICULI Mathematici, Vol.52, (2014),5-15.
- [10] Rajeshwari K, Rayanagoudar T. D., Sarika M. Patil. On Semi Generalized $\omega\alpha$ -Closed Sets in Topological Spaces, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. ISSN 0973-1768 Volume 13, Number 9 (2017), pp. 5491–5503.
- [11] K.Bhavani and R.Sumathy,"Generalization of compatible ideals with respect to g -open sets", J.Phy.Conf.Series, Vol.1377, No.1,(2019).
- [12] Mercedes Orus-lacort and Christophe Jouis,"Topology concepts", Conf. paper, (2020).
- [13] Jankovic Dragan and T.R.Hamlett,"New topologies fom old via ideals", American Mathematical Monthly, Vol.97, No.4, (1990), 295-310.
- [14] Norman Levine," Generalized closed sets in topology", Reendiconti del circolo Mathematico di Palermo.
- [15] N.Levine,"Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces",Amer.Math.Monthly, Vol.70, (1963), 36-41.
- [16] S.Sekar and K.Mariappa," sg -interior and sg -closure in topological spaces",I.J.Scientific and engineering research, Vol.3, (2012).
- [17] Manoharan,R. and P.Thangavelu," Some new sets and topologies in ideal topological space", Chiness Journal of mathematics (2013):1-6

Contribution of Individual Authors to the Creation of a Scientific Article (Ghostwriting Policy)

The authors equally contributed in the present research, at all stages from the formulation of the problem to the final findings and solution.

Sources of Funding for Research Presented in a Scientific Article or Scientific Article Itself

No funding was received for conducting this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (Attribution 4.0 International , CC BY 4.0)

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en_US