## **Protection of Nuclear Power Plants from Fraudulent Items**

DANA PROCHAZKOVA, JAN PROCHAZKA Department of Energy Czech Technical University in Prague Technicka 4, 166 00 Praha 6 CZECH REPUBLIC

*Abstract:* - At present a lot of problems with fraudulent items occurred. They disrupt the safety of industry, power engineering and other objects that are important for the life of human society. The article gives information on causes of occurrence of fraudulent items in nuclear power plants and shows that protection against fraudulent items needs to perform during the whole supply chain of critical items. In detail, it concentrates to the quality of awarding terms of references, which nuclear power plant operator prepares when it gives order to commercial supplier and of process of take-over control of the deliver commodity. Due to national security, the safety of nuclear facilities is also supervised by national nuclear regulatory body. During our research we processed checklists by which national nuclear regulatory body can reveal risks in awarding the contracts to commercial suppliers and in take-over control, and ensures improvement of these processes.

*Key-Words:* - nuclear power plant, risk, safety, fraudulent items, terms of references of orders, national nuclear regulatory body, and checklist.

Received: February 22, 2024. Revised: September 2, 2024. Accepted: October 2, 2024. Published: November 4, 2024.

#### **1** Introduction

A fake, forgery, or forgery is an item that passes for another, usually an object of higher value. Its originator is a forger or falsifier and its activity is referred to as counterfeiting. Today, counterfeits can be found in many areas, from means of payment (counterfeiting), deeds and documents, through works of art, antiques and industrial goods, especially branded or very important ones. Nowadays, counterfeit and fraudulent items are a growing problem for the industry.

There are many places where counterfeits are created, it is the entire supply chain. Therefore, procedures for detecting and reporting the suspicious items must be put in place at nuclear installations in order to ensure safety. The article follows selected procedures for ensuring the protection of nuclear facilities against fraudulent items. Specifically, it states:

- the causes of the occurrence of fraudulent items in nuclear power plants,
- principles for reducing the risks associated with fraudulent items,
- methodology for assessing the acceptability of commercial quality items for nuclear installations
- and a specific example of a checklist used by the national nuclear regulatory body for targeted inspections focused on safety in area when nuclear

power plant operator creates terms of references of orders of critical items.

Due to national security, the safety of nuclear facilities is also supervised by national nuclear regulatory body. The article shows two checklists by which national nuclear regulatory body can reveal risks in awarding the contracts to commercial suppliers and in take-over control, and ensures improvement of these processes.

#### **2** Present Situation

Counterfeit and fraudulent items are a growing concern worldwide, especially for critical infrastructure, including the nuclear facilities. They often pose an immediate and potential threat to the safety of workers, the performance of facilities, the safety of the public and the environment, and have a great potential to adversely affect the costs of operation and maintenance of nuclear installations. The concerns in question go well beyond the level of the nuclear installations themselves and extend to the level of the semi-finished products used in the construction of nuclear installations and in the selection of chemical and other auxiliary substances to be used in such nuclear installations. Even in cases where an item for a nuclear facility is purchased from a certified original equipment manufacturer, there is a possibility that the materials or components or documentation used by the manufacturer may be counterfeit or fraudulent at some point in the supply chain [1]. Therefore, the monitoring of supply chains and procurement procedures at nuclear installations play a major role in detecting and preventing the introduction of such counterfeit or fraudulent items into nuclear facilities.

The current situation is illustrated by the following facts - according to [1] The United States Department of Commerce states that there was a 140% increase in counterfeit items among suppliers of industrial parts to the United States Department of Defense between 2006 and 2009, and this meant problems not only in defense, but also in national safety. According to U.S. Customs, Border Protection, Immigration and Customs and Customs Enforcement, the retail value of counterfeit and pirated goods seized in 2012 was more than \$1.26 billion, representing a more than 21% increase in the value of seized goods compared to 2011 values [2].

Fraudulent items in nuclear facilities, e.g. according to [3], have been detected in many areas: machinery; electrical equipment; apparatuses; software; certificates; services; and building blocks.

One obvious common element of fraud is the potential for profit [2]. Counterfeiters can sell their products on the market at prices equal to or lower than the price of the original items without incurring the costs associated with: research and development in the field of materials, production and testing; licensing responsibilities; marketing; and other expenses that are usually incurred by legitimate producers. A particularly high flow of counterfeit materials and products is from Asia [2]; Figure 1.



Fig. 1. Countries suspected of being sources of counterfeits - results of a survey conducted in January 2010 [2].

The results of detailed investigation of:

• problems connected with fraudulent items in nuclear power plants and in nuclear industry,

• good practice connected with identification of fraudulent items and with prevention their location in nuclear power plants and nuclear industry

#### **3** IAEA Knowledge and Guidance on Fraudulent Items

In the document [1], the IAEA presents the facts that indicate the causes of the occurrence of fraudulent items in nuclear facilities, as well as protective measures:

- 1. In recent years, nuclear installations have been affected by major events and facts related to public procurement. There have been temporary and permanent shutdowns of nuclear power plants due to the installation of counterfeit, fraudulent and suspicious items related to: increased reliance of nuclear power plants on digital devices and software components; computer security; increased globalization of the nuclear supply chain: obsolescence of items and ageing of nuclear power plant components; the gradual narrowing of the supply chain as a result of the interruption of nuclear construction in the 1990s; reduction of supply chains caused by changes in atomic legislation and subsequent insurance of nuclear damages; increasing the availability of technologies that can be used for the falsification of accompanying the technical documentation; increasing the availability of "reverse engineering" technologies; and requirements for the protection of personal data involved in the processes of production, testing and verification of items, and therefore, it is necessary for organizations engaged in the purchase (acquisition) of critical items for nuclear facilities to systematically consider these facts.
- 2. A significant number of nuclear power plants in some countries are approaching the end of their original design lifetime or are striving for an extended lifetime. With aging the equipment comes increased difficulties in sourcing the parts to support the maintenance and repair of critical components. More than 20% of nuclear power plant equipment in some countries is considered obsolete. The original suppliers of components have completely ceased their operations, consolidated with other companies, or made business decisions (usually due to reduced market demand) not to manufacture certain items or not to supply them with nuclear quality certificates (i.e. that they have the required safety) because it has become costly to maintain nuclear certificates from national regulators or nuclear damage reinsurers.

- 3. The current situation is also complicated by the fact that there is only limited information available on the original procurement of original components for nuclear power plants. Due to changes over time, technical information and expertise related to some items is incomplete or lost. This is especially true for products that represent a small portion of suppliers' products. These facts are, therefore, a source of risks for safety and economics, which will manifest themselves during the operation. These risks lead to unplanned downtime because safety-related equipment is not available when it is required or is not of the
  - required quality. As a result, an engineering function in the procurement sector has already been created in some countries. The main function of the engineering is to identify technical, qualitative and commercial requirements for critical items and to carry out conformity assessments (equivalence) of items, especially those that are obtainable from the commercial market.
- The procurement function for nuclear installa-4. tions plays a key role in nuclear safety. Enquiries in public tenders can have a positive effect in terms of the price offered. At the same time, however, the same mechanism works against ensuring the high quality and safety when the 'minimum bid price' criterion is usually used. This application typically discriminates against manufacturers with a long tradition of production, who are burdened with the costs associated with developing technology and maintaining key personnel, technology and documentation that are necessary for production and verification of the quality/safety of the item. The purchase of items, especially critical ones, affects the lifetime of nuclear facilities. During the initial design, designers specify the materials to be used for the manufacture of a particular device. This specification has long-term implications for supply chain actors and for future operations. During the construction and the commissioning, service contracts are concluded in order to recruit personnel and related services. During the operation, spare parts for maintenance are purchased, engineering and other services are used, and even minor changes are made compared to the project (associated with related material purchases). The quality and size of the stock of materials and spare parts has an impact on the operating costs of the equipment. During the decommissioning process, important contracts are concluded in some countries, with the result that some parts that are not too worn, or surplus stocks, are brought back

Dana Prochazkova, Jan Prochazka

to the market, where they can be sold as new after a little modification.

- 5. In a number of cases of nuclear installations, the best practice of procurement of supplies and activities related to the operation and maintenance of nuclear installations is not followed, which is to include the following activities for each lot:
  - identification of needs,
  - a description of requirements,
  - performing a value analysis,
  - conducting a supplier survey,
  - negotiation on quality, production process, delivery dates and price,
  - purchasing activities,
  - setting the criteria for product acceptability,
  - item inspection and test plan,
  - contract management,
  - inspections and inventories,
  - mode of transport,
  - admission and acceptance tests
  - and storage requirements.
- 6. Supply chain management involves planning and managing all activities related to sourcing, procurement management, changes, and logistics. It also involves coordinating and collaborating with distribution partners who are not direct suppliers, intermediaries or service providers. Supply chain management integrates supply and demand within and across participating companies. In the context of nuclear installations, it is assumed that:
  - procurement has an active role in supply chain management
  - and in the supply chain organization of the operational organization, i.e. the nuclear installation,

and namely in contrast to the classic relatively passive role of the operational organization, which consists of simply issuing procurement specifications and responding to tenders. Therefore. for new nuclear construction projects, suppliers of Tier 1 technologies (i.e. critical items), it needs to set up and manage supply chains, while the procurement of spare parts related to operation and maintenance activities uses a commercial basis to the widest extent possible. These two activities are always linked, as purchasing decisions and choices made by a technology supplier (e.g., the choice and location of key suppliers) have implications for the entire supply chain throughout the lifetime of the equipment. The above-mentioned necessary measures, cultivating the environment of supply chains, can, if interpreted uninformed, give the non-professional public the wrong impression that their introduction distorts the free movement of goods and services on the market, with the accompanying phenomena described below. From the point of view of valid legislation, the obligation to manage and control its supply chains lies with the operator. At the same time, the operator is significantly limited in this activity by other supranational provisions implementing the conditions for the movement of goods on the free market. Based on all these requirements and international experience from recent times, a number of suppliers and operators apply EN ISO 19 443 (2018) to increase the transparency of their chains.

- 7. In general, the risks in the procurement process are: bribery; giving gifts; conflict of interest; ignoring the absence or falsification of documentation; money laundering; nepotism; blackmail; influencing the trade; reducing the apparent value of the purchase in order to avoid competition requirements; approval of an unfair practice (e.g. splitting and awarding projects or contracts as multiple consecutive contracts to the same contractor); pressure on workers (including subcontractors) through unfair labor practices to disregard industrial safety standards.
- To ensure safety, a clear risk management strat-8. egy must be focused on safety - ISO 31000, 31010. Risk management is a continuous and iterative process that includes risk documents and associated risk management plans. It also emphasizes the communication of risks and the measures taken to mitigate them. In the context of public procurement of goods and services, risks are monitored: technical; time; cost; and the impacts of phenomena that undermine the transparency and credibility of the supply chain. Organizations of facilities that are associated with critical infrastructure should have a defined risk management structure that includes: chain of powers; communication structure; and governance framework under which risk management and decision-making processes are carried out.
- 9. Examples of risks associated with public procurement are: underestimation of need; overestimating the need; insufficient funding to address needs; impractical target dates; failure to carry out a fair procurement procedure; misinterpretation of users' needs; the political or business environment (e.g. changes of direction by senior management or government); likely media interest; a narrow definition or commercial specification (e.g. a specific identified product or brand name and not a general requirement); definition of an unsuitable product or service; distortion of

specification; insufficient specification of technical or quality requirements of a "special order" that require suppliers to carry out activities outside of their normal production processes; firstof-its-kind purchases, new items, customized items, or items that haven't been produced for a long time period; and insufficient contract specification or failure to request a statement of work (for services), including the insufficient specification:

- criteria and methods of inspection,
- examinations or conditions of admission,
- computer security measures,
- packaging,
- labelling,
- transport and storage requirements; and unaddressed harmful impacts on the environment or on the reputation of a nuclear installation.
- 10. Risks associated with the procurement scenario: potential gaps in the source are not identified; an inappropriate method is chosen; it is collusion with the supplier; choosing a company that dominates the market; conditions unacceptable to service providers; provision of insufficient information (later questions of interpretation or disputes due to ambiguities or inconsistencies in documentation, requirements or contracts); failure to address service provider queries; actual or perceived favoritism in the provision of information; actual or suspected breach of confidentiality; not requiring an evaluation of quality service providers; failure to comply with effective evaluation procedures; safety or security breaches (e.g., unauthorized access to or disclosure of sensitive business or security matters, information); overlooking the fact that offers don't meet needs; a decision made for subjective reasons; selection of an unsuitable service provider; selection of an unsuitable product; the stalemate over the details of the agreement; failure to ensure binding conditions; unfair or onerous requirements for service providers in the terms and conditions: failure to take into account the terms offered and agreed in the contract; and inadvertently creating a contract without proper approval or for an unsuitable product.
- 11. Rights and contract performance risks: price fluctuations and foreign currency exchange; the reluctance of the service provider to accept the contract; lack of contract administration; poor coordination (e.g. delays in handover, poor communication, language or cultural issues); the ab-

sence of an effective dispute resolution procedure that causes delays in contractual activities; production pressures or pressures to comply with a schedule leading to non-compliance with the production process or test procedure and schedules; problems with implementing a quality management system at suppliers or a quality assurance program (especially for new or renewed programs); commencement of work by the service provider prior to the exchange of the contract or delivery of the letter of acceptance of the service; an unjustified or unexpected increase in the scope of work; loss of intellectual property; failure to meet third-party obligations (e.g., royalties or third-party property insurance); loss or damage to goods in transit; fraud or other unethical behavior (including delivery of counterfeit or fraudulent items); malicious cyber threats to electronic devices at the retailer's location, during the storage, or during the transportation; lack of security during the production, including lack of a secure environment for computer development, supplier qualification, and on-site security inspections; disclosure of sensitive information or technology to vendors or subcontractors; key employees are not available (i.e. retirement, transfer to another company, reassignment of the company to another job); unavailability of labor or products (personnel or materials not available when needed, including the inability to fulfill larger orders than usual, incorrectly shipped product, or the impact of possible labor disputes); lack of transparency in supply chains or a significant change in scope, respectively acquisition of purchased items, supplier activities (including the termination of the supplier's activities or its acquisition or merger with another entity); technological failures (product or project does not work, design failure); the supplier is not familiar with the specified design standards (especially when purchasing internationally); the supplier does not have experience with the requirements for the identification of suspicious items, or the customer has not sufficiently specified the requirements for these specific activities; unusual or even normal (i.e. within the expected normal ranges for the location) weather conditions that lead to unplanned activities; unexpected operating conditions; slippages in the performance of subcontracts; poor productivity and performance of subcontractor; damage, theft, or tampering with an item in transit (including hijacking, piracy, or cyber-attacks) or improper storage; overlooking the industrial or radiation safety issues (i.e. procedural accidents, events, or near-miss

accidents); improper disposal of waste (environmental impacts, items entering the counterfeit or fraudulent supply chain, and reputational impacts); non-evaluation of public procurement procedures and their management; failure to learn from problems and lessons learned, and failure to take corrective action (both internal and external); misinterpretation of supply chain stakeholder behavior caused by cultural differences; and lack of quality and safety culture.

- 12. A key outcome of any risk management process is a properly compiled and documented risk management plan.
- 13. Incentives and penalties are usually not part of industrial contracts, leading to delays in deliveries or a reduction in the quality of items.
- 14. Insurance is used as a tool to mitigate risks, which in some cases can lead to a decrease in the quality of items
- 15. Acceptance criteria and acceptance methods at a number of nuclear installations are not established in such a way as to provide assurance that the required technical and quality requirements have been met. Establishing the technical acceptance criteria is an engineering function. The acceptance criteria for an item are: a list of prescribed measurements; and a list of checks or test results that can be objectively verified. Since measurements can never be absolutely accurate, result tolerances must be provided for all criteria. A good rule of thumb is to select at least one acceptance criterion that addresses each safety feature. The established criteria, once verified, should provide reasonable assurance that the item complies with all the technical and quality requirements established at the time of awarding the contract. Factors to consider when developing acceptance criteria include: the possible consequences of the failure of the item for the nuclear safety, security and operability of the installation; the supplier's historical performance in providing items that meet the specified requirements; historical performance of the item in operation; complexity of the design; the complexity of the production or service process; industry experience; the effect that verification of acceptance criteria has on the dependability of item (e.g. the possibility of damage to the item as a result of testing); costs of verifying the acceptance criteria in relation to the increased assurance provided by the verification; access to suppliers' facilities if the item is available in stock or will be manufactured when the order is received; requirements, if the supplier is a manu-

facturer who has no experience or through an intermediary by a third party; availability of design information; applying the regular supervision and reviews; the ability of the organization's operational staff to perform post-installation testing; trust in the supplier's documentation; the practicality of carrying out the source verifications; and inspection and ability to test the operation of the organization.

- 16. Inspection at source (i.e. material suppliers and manufacturers) is necessary when an item is procurement that is vital (critical) to the safety of the equipment, or is complex in design or manufacture, difficult to test or has difficult-to-verify acceptance criteria upon receipt (post-delivery), or when the supplier management system has not been directly audited. For critical equipment that is assembled far from the location of operating organization, consideration should be given to the establishment of resident supervisory personnel at the factory site during the production of components.
- 17. A trustworthy supplier should have a sufficiently robust nonconformance management system in place that can not only identify but also eliminate counterfeit, fraudulent or suspicious items from the supply chain process in a timely manner. A non-conformance management system is meaningless in itself if the responsible personnel are not sufficiently familiar with its use and continuously trained in its use. Item inspectors must pay attention to receipt when they discover: altered or incomplete markings; overt attempts to conceal the nature of previous processes; evidence of unauthorized marking (hand-cut characters); deviations in the appearance, packaging method and labelling of the packaging of goods from the same manufacturer; and discrepancies in the documentation or illegibility of some parts of the documentation.
- 18. Traceability of items from the manufacturer, through the carrier, through storage, transport to the storage location, and installation in a specific facility is important, as is the monitoring of the processes associated with handling, transport and storage, as damage to the item, loss, deterioration or unintentional use must be prevented.
- 19. Particular attention must be paid to instruments and control elements (I&C) in procurement. Mainly, it is the acquisition of software and equipment with built-in software or firmware. This is particularly important for instrumentation, control and monitoring devices in electronics and computer technology. Lack of control over the software can: jeopardize the safety or

operation of the equipment; interfere with the operation or maintenance of the equipment; allow unauthorized access to critical points or secret documentation; and provide information that could be used for attacks or add additional administrative burdens. Software errors can result either from poor or unclear specification of requirements (which leading to errors in logical design or implementation), or they can arise during the implementation phase or in operation.

#### 4 Principles for Reducing Risks Associated with Fraudulent Items

According to the results contained in the documents [5,6], in order to protect against counterfeit and fraudulent goods, nuclear operators must have safety management programs that include measures aimed at: prevent suspicious and fraudulent items from entering and installing in a nuclear facility; identify, investigate and resolve suspicious and fraudulent items; manage, monitor, and review identified suspicious and fraudulent items; and share information with other potentially affected facilities, regulators, and other industry participants. These four sets of measures must be interlinked.

Based on the findings summarized in [5,6], the basic principles to mitigate the risk associated with the introduction of suspicious and fraudulent items into nuclear facilities are:

- establish, validate, and improve safety-enabled programs, processes, and tools,
- involve the management of the nuclear facility,
- early identification and intervention to promote safety,
- effective management, monitoring and controls,
- documentation and destruction of suspicious items; and information sharing.

Activities related to the procurement of critical items for nuclear installations have a key impact on safety. The proper use of a scaled approach underpinned by risk analysis allows operators to concentrate efforts on critical equipment and ensure that processes in the supply chain cannot adversely affect the safe operation of a nuclear power plant [5,6].

## 5 Safety Management Process in Application of Commercial Items to Nuclear Facility

According to [6-8], ensuring a safe commercial item starts in the procurement process and continues with monitoring its production and transportation, i.e. the safety of the entire supply chain. Quality verification is also important, for a commercial item; it is necessary to verify compliance with the requirements of nuclear facility operator upon acceptance of the commercial item, when it is necessary to verify: the properties of material (composition and structure) from which the item is made; the quality of material processing, including, for example, subsequent heat treatment; robustness of the item; item designation; whether the item's durability meets the requirements; and whether the functionality of the item corresponds to the design requirements of the higher systems in

which it works and performs safety-related functions. Preventing the insertion of counterfeit or suspicious items into a nuclear facility means Process Safety Management (PSM) at the insertion of an item into a nuclear facility when an item is replaced or when it is upgraded. Like any other process, this process is affected by risks that have the potential to reduce safety.

Process Safety is a set of measures and activities that ensure safe protected assets, e.g. in the case of chemical processes, they focus on preventing fires, explosions and leakage of hazardous substances from tanks into the environment. The specific discipline of Process Safety Management has been developing for the last 40 years and its goal is to ensure safe processes that take place in technologies. It is about the management of principles and systems for the identification of possible threats, understanding and mastering the processes leading to the implementation of threats [8]. It is advisable to use site-specific checklists and technical tests [6,10-18] for verification.

## 6 Example of Checklists Used by National Regulatory Body during Inspection

The national nuclear regulatory body (NNRB) is the central body of the state administration of the Czech Republic, exercising state administration in the use of nuclear energy and ionizing radiation and in the field of non-proliferation of nuclear, chemical and biological weapons. The aim of NNRB, as an inspection body, is to carry out inspections in order to ensure nuclear safety. In the case under review, this means that items that could endanger nuclear and overall safety cannot get into nuclear facilities. It should be noted that the methodology for ensuring the quality of a commercial item begins when the commercial item is ordered, and that checklists may vary according to the type of local conditions [8]. Therefore, "type" checklists cannot be used. To detect dangerous items, NNRB inspectors need tools. Therefore, as part of the research [19], with regard to the findings presented in the already cited sources, checklists were compiled for NNRB inspections:

- 1. A checklist for identifying risks in a nuclear plant operator's management system.
- 2. A checklist for identifying risks in the awarding the commercial items by a nuclear facility operator.
- 3. A checklist to identify risks in the selection of a supplier of commercial items by a nuclear plant operator.
- 4. A checklist to identify the risks involved in the acquisition of new items by a nuclear facility operator.
- 5. A checklist to identify risks in the monitoring of the supply chain of commercial items by a nuclear plant operator.
- 6. A checklist for identifying risks in the acceptance of commercial items by a nuclear facility operator.
- 7. A checklist for identifying risks in the monitoring of a nuclear facility operator when monitoring the behavior of commercial items after they are inserted into a nuclear facility.
- 8. A checklist for the identification of risks in the system of training of the nuclear operator with regard to the protection of the nuclear facility against hazardous items.

The checklists in question are based on the findings of the IAEA, OECD, EPRI, US NRC, US DOE and others summarized in the paper [19], and on our research [4]. Their aims are to identify and value partial risks and overall (integral) risk in the followed domains, which reduce the ability of a nuclear facility operator to detect counterfeit and fraudulent items in cases in which it uses commercial items.

We give two examples of our checklists:

- 1. Table 1 provides a checklist for identifying risks in the awarding the commercial items by a nuclear facility operator. Its aim is to judge if awarding the commercial items by a nuclear facility operator has capability to avert inserting fraudulent items into nuclear installation.
- 2. Table 2 provides a checklist for identifying risks in the acceptance of commercial items by a nuclear facility operator. Its aim is to judge if acceptance of commercial items by a nuclear facility operator has capability to avert inserting fraudulent items into nuclear installation.

The assessment of the overall risk according to Table 1 and Table 2 is carried out according to the scale [20], which is shown in Table 3.

Table 1. A checklist for identifying risks in the awarding the commercial items by a nuclear facility operator. Y - Yes, N - No.

Question			Ν	Note	
Do	the terms of reference of the commercial item include:				
•	• correct and complete identification of the item or scope of the service, including the charac-				
	teristics necessary for: the form, adaptation or functional characteristics of the item?				
•	essential technical characteristics required for: the shape, fit or functional design of the item?				
•	list of required standards and regulations?				
•	requirements for: technical safety, environmental safety, occupational health and safety and				
	cybersecurity?				
•	requirements for: surface treatments, packaging, storage, storage maintenance and shelf life?				
Do	the terms of reference include commercial items:				
•	• requirements for: inspection, investigation of work procedures, sampling and testing?				
•	specific requirements for: the qualifications of the contractor's personnel who process the				
	item or parts thereof?				
•	requirements for the submission and review of documentation?				
•	the right of the purchaser (i.e. the operator of the nuclear installation) to access the contrac-				
	tor's production facility?				
•	demonstration of the contractor's ability to meet the work schedule and cost plan?				
•	proof that the contractor has material and production resources and insurance?				
•	procedures for determining conformity (including the content of the conformity approval				
	document)?				
•	penalties for contractors when they fail to meet quality deadlines or cost schedules?				
•	dispute resolution methods?				
•	determining the owner of the intellectual property?				
•	requirements for the availability of spare parts, etc.?				
Do	es the contracting authority (i.e. the operator of the nuclear facility) know the risk-based de-				
sig	n principles of the commercial item?				
Ar	the technical, qualitative and commercial requirements for the lots and for the procedure for				
ass	essing the equivalence of lots clearly defined in the terms of reference?				
Ar	e considered at formulation of the terms of reference of a commercial item the experiences in				
orc	ler to eliminate the obsolescence of existing items?				
Is t	Is the use of a commercial item clearly defined in the terms of reference?				
Is s	safety defined as functional safety in the terms of reference of the commercial item and does				
it i	it include both active and passive safety functions?				
Is t	here a provision in the terms of reference that ensures the protection of the intellectual prop-				
ert	erty of the contracting authority (i.e. the operator of the nuclear installation)?				
Do	es the required technical evaluation in the terms of reference of the commercial item include				
ver	verification of seismic, environmental or other resistance, if necessary?				
Ar	Are the required critical characteristics of the commercial item (safety-related, related to failure				
mechanisms, seismic and environmental requirements) clearly defined in the terms of reference					
of the commercial item?					
Are there clearly defined tolerances for the required critical characteristics of the commercial					
itei	item in the terms of reference of the commercial item?				
Do	Do the terms of reference for a critical commercial item require an item certificate from accred-				
Itee	ited institutions?				
Do	Does the nuclear operator have a clear system of technical evaluation of critical commercial				
iter	Items that guarantees that the Item Is correctly classified and correctly specified?				
Ar	Are the terms of reference for the commercial item written clearly and comprehensibly?				
Do	Do the terms of reference for a critical commercial item include requirements for detailed docu-				
me	mentation of production, product designation and method of delivery?				

Do the terms of reference for a critical commercial item contain test requirements and values that		
the commercial item must meet?		
Do the terms of reference for a critical commercial item include requirements for technical eval-		
uations, drawings, and production procedures?		
Do the terms of reference for a critical commercial item contain requirements for a critical prop-		
erty (ability to perform a safety function)?		
Do the definitions of critical properties in the terms of reference of critical commercial items		
contain requirements for physical properties, performance and reliability?		
Do the terms of reference for the commercial item include requirements to perform non-destruc-		
tive testing?		
Do the terms of reference for a commercial item (relays, starters, sensors, etc.) contain require-		
ments for functionality under normal and abnormal conditions and, if necessary, even under crit-		
ical conditions?		
Does the contracting authority (i.e. the operator of the nuclear installation) require the supplier		
to provide a production documentation plan and evidence of verification of the acceptability of		
the item's critical characteristics and performance in the terms of reference for the critical com-		
mercial item?		
Do the terms of reference for a critical commercial item contain a list of norms and standards		
that the item should meet?		
Do the terms of reference for a critical commercial item, if the item needs to be calibrated, in-		
clude a calibration requirement and an accredited, validated calibration certificate?		
Do the terms of reference for a critical commercial item include a requirement to confirm the		
authenticity of the item?		
Are the requirements for technical evaluations in the terms of reference set by engineers with		
appropriate technical qualifications, experience and training, who have excellent knowledge of		
item design, safety function and end-use?		
Do the terms of reference for a critical commercial item include a clear system for the return of		
unacceptable commercial items?		
TOTAL		

Table 2. A checklist for identifying risks in the acceptance of commercial items by a nuclear facility operator. Y - Yes, N - No.

Question			Note
Are the persons of the nuclear operator who adopt critical commercial items familiar with the			
principles of risk-based design and risk-based operation?			
Does the operator of a nuclear facility have a clear system for controlling the packaging, labelling			
and attached documentation of the critical commercial item received?			
Does the operator of a nuclear installation have a clear system for the technical evaluation of			
critical commercial items in the field of:			
• design characteristics,			
• material properties,			
• performance characteristics,			
which guarantees that the item meets the requirements imposed on it?			
Does the operator of a nuclear facility have a clear method of accepting a critical commercial			
item?			
Does the nuclear operator have a method of acceptance of a critical commercial item aimed at			
verifying that the item will fulfil its intended safety function?			
Does the operator of a nuclear installation have criteria that monitor when accepting a commer-			
cial item:			
• the possible consequences of the failure of the item?			
• nuclear safety, security and operability of the facility?			
• the supplier's historical performance in providing items that meet the specified requirements?			

•	the historical performance of the item in operation?				
•	• the complexity of the item's design?				
•	the complexity of the item's manufacturing process?				
•	experience from another industry with a supplier?				
•	the impact of verification tests on the operability of the item (e.g. the possibility of damage				
	to the item due to tests)?				
•	the cost of verifying the acceptance criteria in relation to the increased assurance provided				
	by the verification?				
•	access to suppliers' facilities?				
•	• whether the item was available in the warehouse or whether it was produced after the order				
	was received?				
•	• is the supplier a manufacturer or a third party?				
•	• availability of information about the project and production process?				
•	• applying the regular production supervision and product review?				
•	• the ability of the operating personnel of a nuclear facility to perform tests after the item has				
	been installed in a nuclear facility?				
•	the credibility of the documentation obtained from the supplier of the item?				
•	the level of the supplier's practices in verifying information about subcontractors?				
•	checking and verifying receipts?				
Do	es the nuclear operator's team check the commercial item according to the documentation				
upo	on acceptance?				
Do	es the nuclear operator's team inspect the commercial item upon acceptance against the mark-		-		
ing	of the commercial item – visually to identify deficiencies such as:				
•	altered or incomplete labelling?				
•	obvious attempts at beautification?				
•	• evidence of hand-cut signs?				
•	suspicion of concealment of other markings?				
•	illegibility of the sign?				
•	documentation discrepancies?				
•	etc.				
Do	es the nuclear operator's team check the commercial item on acceptance according to the				
cor	nmercial item marking?				
Do	Does the nuclear operator's team check the commercial item at the time of acceptance by means				
of	of tests?				
Do	Does the nuclear facility operator's team inspect the commercial item upon acceptance against				
the	requirements of technical evaluations, drawings, and production procedures?				
Do	Does the nuclear operator's team check the commercial item at acceptance according to the norms				
and	and standards specified in the tender documents?				
Ar	Are the technical assessments carried out by the nuclear operator's engineers with the appropriate				
technical qualifications, experience and training, who have excellent knowledge of the item de-					
Sig	sign, safety function and end-use?				
	Does the nuclear operator's team check the commercial item upon acceptance according to the				
De	requirements specified in the terms of reference?				
D0	Does the nuclear operator's team specifically check the safety requirements of a commercial item				
upo Do	upon acceptance?				
D0	Does the nuclear facility operator's team check the results of the required non-destructive inspec-				
	es the nuclear facility operator's team have a procedure for accepting a commercial item what				
to	to increase required non-destructive inspections are not acceptable?				
	to do it the results of the required non-destructive inspections are not acceptable?				
be evaluated technically?					
Δr	Are the nuclear operator's engineers who are familiar with operation and maintenance involved				
	in the acceptance of commercial items at a nuclear facility?				
i 111 1		In the acceptance of commercial items at a indefeat facility?			

In the event that there is a requirement for calibration in the tender documentation of a critical					
commercial item is an accredited and confirmed calibration certificate required at the time of	asymptotical item is an appredited and confirmed collibration cortificate required at the time of				
commercial item, is an according and commined canoration contineate required at the time of					
In the event that the collimation contificate is not would do at the muchan plant encenter have a					
In the event that the canoration certificate is not vand, does the nuclear plant operator have a					
procedure, what to do next?					
Does the nuclear plant operator have a clear system for the documentation of the acceptance of					
the commercial item to determine whether the documentation is:					
• clear?					
• complete?					
• detailed enough?					
Does the operator of a nuclear facility have a procedure for taking over a commercial item that					
is not identical to the original?					
That is, does it have a way of evaluating equivalence to ensure that the design function in a					
nuclear facility is maintained?					
Does the operator of a nuclear facility have a procedure for requesting a document of conformity					
of the content from the supplier?					
Does the nuclear operator require a certificate from the supplier of a critical commercial item					
confirming the fact that the item has been manufactured and tested and is provided in accordance					
with the controls set out in the tender documents?					
Does the nuclear operator have a method for verifying that a commercial critical item fulfils					
safety functions?					
Does the nuclear operator have a method for verifying that a critical commercial item meets the					
nerformance requirements?					
Does the operator of a nuclear facility have clear accentance criteria for accenting a critical com-					
mercial item?					
Include from the concrete of a nuclear facility does not have the expertise or skills or necessary					
tacting againment for technical evaluations, does it have a cortified organization that will corre-					
testing equipment for technical evaluations, does it have a certified organization that will carry					
out the evaluation and evaluate the acceptance criteria on its behalf?					
Does the nuclear plant operator verify at the time of acceptance whether critical commercial					
items such as:					
• relays,					
• starters, sensors,					
• sensors,					
• etc.,					
meet the requirements for functionality under normal and abnormal conditions and, if necessary,					
even critical conditions?					
Does the nuclear plant operator verify at the time of acceptance whether the required tolerances					
for the required critical characteristics of the critical commercial item are met?					
Does the operator of a nuclear installation verify that critical commercial items such as:					
• software					
• and services					
meet the requirements for functionality under normal and abnormal conditions and where an-					
propriate even under critical conditions?					
Does the operator of a nuclear installation have a clearly defined method for verifying the prop-					
erties of a critical commercial item?					
Does the nuclear plant operator use multiple methods (based on different principles) to verify					
the properties of a critical commercial item?					
Does the nuclear plant operator verify compliance with norms and standards when accepting a					
critical commercial item?					
Does the operator of a nuclear facility varify at the time of acceptance of a critical commercial					
item whether the handover protocol on the authenticity of the item is confirmed by the supplier?					
Does the nuclear plant operator verify the certificates and certification marks of the supplied					
items upon receipt of a critical commercial item?					

Does the operator of a nuclear facility verify whether the certificates of the items are from ac-			
credited institutions upon receipt of a critical commercial item?			
Does the operator of a nuclear facility verify whether the signatures on the certificates are genu-			
ine when accepting a critical commercial item?			
Does the operator of a nuclear installation, upon acceptance of a critical commercial item, verify			
whether the tests of the commercial item aimed at verifying the critical properties of the com-			
mercial item have been carried out under conditions that correspond to the expected operating			
conditions and is this documented?			
Does the nuclear operator verify, upon receipt of a critical commercial item, whether the item			
could not be counterfeit or fraudulent, obsolete or highly vulnerable?			
Does the nuclear plant operator prepare a correct and detailed acceptance document when ac-			
cepting a critical commercial item on acceptance?			
If a verification of seismic, environmental or other resistance is necessary and required in the			
terms of reference of a commercial item, does the operator of the nuclear installation verify at			
the time of acceptance of the critical commercial item whether the verification is:			
• substantiated by document?			
• its scope and content sufficient?			
Is there evidence of compliance with the required critical characteristics of the commercial item			
(safety-related, related to failure mechanisms, seismic and environmental requirements)?			
Does the operator of a nuclear facility have a system for informing the NNRB, the Ministry of			
Industry and Trade and foreign institutions when a counterfeit item is detected upon acceptance?			
TOTAL			

Table 3. Value scale to determine the level of risk n/N, where n is the number of NO responses and N is the total number of items in Table 1 / Table 2.

Risk rate n/N	Values in % N				
Extremely high – 5	More than 95%				
Very high – 4	70–95 %				
High – 3	45-70 %				
Medium – 2	25–45 %				
Low – 1	5-25 %				
Negligible – 0	Less than 5%				

If the result of the assessment, i.e. the level of risk, belongs to the following categories:

- 0 and 1, the risk is acceptable and no action is required
- 2 and 3, the risk is conditionally acceptable and appropriate further corrective action is required,
- 4 and 5, the risk of counterfeits being introduced into a nuclear facility is unacceptable and immediate corrective action is required.

Inspections so far have shown that the operator of nuclear power plants in the Czech Republic (ČEZ) has measures [21], so it is only a matter of increasing safety.

## 7 Conclusion

Research described in [4,19] has shown that fraudulent items can compromise both, the nuclear and the integral safety of nuclear and other important facilities. A critical analysis of the causes of the insertion of hazardous items into nuclear facilities has shown the relevant sources of risk on the part of the plant operator. Therefore, it is necessary to insert into the legislation an obligation for operators:

- 1. Improve and implement policies, programs, procedures, processes and practices to: eliminate the risks posed by suspicious and counterfeit items currently in nuclear facilities; prevent any further introduction, installation, or use of suspicious and counterfeit items; ensure that the goods and services supplied meet the specified requirements; ensure the detection, control and reporting of the handling of suspicious and counterfeit items; and provide training and information for managers, supervisors, engineers, and workers on processes and inspections for suspicious and counterfeit items.
- 2. Apply the basic principles to prevent the insertion of suspicious and counterfeit items into nuclear facilities, i.e. in practice: if possible, to buy only from authorized (long-term verified) manufacturers and suppliers; to put in place appropriate and effective programs, processes and tools; to involve management in the solution; to involve engineers in (procurement, receipt of items, inspection and testing, maintenance, replacement or modification of equipment); to determine and place technical and quality assurance requirements in procurement specifications; to

indicate processes with acceptability/compliance acceptance criteria (accepting only those items that comply with procurement specifications, consensus standards and generally accepted industry practices); to ensure the timely identification, investigation and disposition of the items in question; to ensure effective management, monitoring and control, documentation, segregation and evaluation of the items concerned; and introduce the obligation to share information and create reports on the items in question.

According to the results of the research [4,19], it is necessary for the supervision of the safety of nuclear installations in this area to carry out: review the commercial item acquisition proposal; checking the tender documentation for the purchase of a commercial item; inspection of purchased materials, equipment and services; identification and inspection of material, parts and components; inspection of the handling of non-conforming materials, parts or components; and a review of corrective actions and the effectiveness of the program.

#### References:

- IAEA. Procurement Engineering and Supply Chain Guidelines in Support of Operation and Maintenance of Nuclear Facilities. No. NP-T-3.21. ISSN 1995–7807, ISBN 978–92–0– 107315–0. Vienna: IAEA 2016, 268 p.
- [2] TANNENBAUM, M. Plant Support Engineering: Counterfeit and Fraudulent Items Mitigating the Increasing Risk. Revision 1 of 1019163. EPRI 2014, 128 p.
- [3] EPRI. Plant Engineering: Guideline for the Acceptance of Commercial-Grade Items in Nuclear Safety-Related Applications. Revision 1 to EPRI NP-5652 and TR-102260. Palo Alto: EPRI 2014, 378 p.
- [4] PROCHAZKOVA, D., PROCHAZKA, J. Good Practice Connected with Identification of Suspicious and Fraudulent Items and Protection of Their Location in Nuclear Installations. Praha: ČVUT 2022, 106 p.
- [5] PROCHAZKOVA, D. Principles of Risk Management of Complex Technological Facilities. ISBN 78-80-01-06182-4. Praha: ČVUT 2017, 364 p. Doi:10.14311%2FBK.9788001061824
- IAEA. No. NP-T-3.26. Managing Counterfeit and Fraudulent Items in the Nuclear Industry. ISBN 978–92–0–102318–6.ISSN 1995–7807. Vienna: IAEA 2019. 110 p.
- [7] CHERMAK, V. Counterfeit, Fraudulent and Suspect Items (CFSI) also known as Suspect

/Counterfeit Items (S/CI). Presentation in IAEA 2022. (IDAHO nat. Lab.).

- [8] EPRI. Guideline for Sampling in the Commercial-Grade Item Acceptance Process. TR-017218-R1. Palo Alto: EPRI 1999, 99 p.
- [9] PROCHAZKOVA, D. Optimal Risk management and Settlement Concept. In: *Protection of Population 2014*. ISBN 978-80-7385-142-2, ISSN 1803-7372. Ostrava: SPBI 2014, pp. 181-190.
- [10] EPRI. Plant Engineering: Guideline for the Acceptance of Commercial-Grade Items in Nuclear Safety-Related Applications. Revision 1 to EPRI NP-5652 and TR-102260. Palo Alto: EPRI 2014, 378 p.
- [11] EPRI. EPRI 1021493, Counterfeit and Fraudulent Items: A Self-Assessment Checklist. Palo Alto: EPRI 2010.
- [12] EPRI. EPRI 1020955, Counterfeit, Fraudulent, and Substandard Items: Computer-Based Training. Palo Alto: EPRI 2009.
- [13] US DOE. DOE G 414.1-3 Suspect/Counterfeit Items Guide for Use with 10 CFR 830 Subpart A, Quality Assurance Requirements. Washington: DOE 2010.
- [14] US NRC. Information Notice 89-70, Possible Indications of Misrepresented Vendor Products. Washington: US NRC 1989.
- [15] EPRI. EPRI 3002020809 –Prevention and Detection of Undeclared Digital Content Computer Based Training. Palo Alto: EPRI 2010.
- [16] EPRI. EPRI 3002008010 -Guideline on Prevention and Detection of Undeclared Digital Content. Palo Alto: EPRI 2010.
- [17] EPRI. EPRI 3002007381 Counterfeit, Fraudulent, and Suspect Items CBT (CFI), version 2.0. Palo Alto: EPRI 2010.
- [18] EPRI. EPRI 1021493 Plant Support Engineering: Counterfeit and Fraudulent Items, A Self-Assessment Guideline. Palo Alto: EPRI 2010.
- [19] PROCHAZKOVA, D., PROCHAZKA J. Tools for Inspection of Nuclear Installation Aimed at Assessing the Quality of Its Protection against Suspicious and Fraudulent Items in Event of Replacement of Components or Their Parts in Nuclear Installation.. Praha: ČVUT 2023, 104 p.
- [20] PROCHAZKOVA, D. Fundamentals of Critical Infrastructure Safety Management. ISBN 978-80-01-05245-7. Praha: CVUT 2013, 223p.
- [21] VOLF, T. Defense against Risks Associated with Open Technology. In: Safety-Focused Risk Management of Processes, Equipment and Complex Technical Facilities 2023. ISBN 978-80-01-07239-4. Praha: ČVUT 2023, pp. 226-227. Doi: 10.14311/BK.9788001072394

#### Contribution of Individual Authors to the Creation of a Scientific Article (Ghostwriting Policy)

The authors equally contributed in the present research, at all stages from the formulation of the problem to the final findings and solution.

## Sources of Funding for Research Presented in a Scientific Article or Scientific Article Itself

No funding was received for conducting this study.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

# Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (Attribution 4.0 International, CC BY 4.0)

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en</u> US